# REVIEW

# High-Impact Clinical Studies That Fomented New Developments in Anesthesia: History of Achievements, 1966-2015

#### Igor Kissin

The Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

Correspondence: Igor Kissin The Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, 02115, USA Tel +1 617-732-5052 Email ikissin@bwh.harvard.edu

Abstract: The aim of this work is to identify the most influential initial clinical studies that fomented important developments in anesthesiology over the past 50 years. Studies fomenting new development can be selected using vastly different approaches and, therefore, might provide diverse outcomes. In the present work, two basic aspects of study assessments - the stage of development (eg, generation of idea, preclinical studies, clinical trials) and the method of selection (eg, committee vote, various types of citation analysis, method of finding the invention disclosure) - were chosen according to the following model. The stage of development: the initial clinical studies demonstrating the basic advantage of an innovation for providing anesthesia. The method: a combination of two factors – the study priority in terms of the time of its publication and the degree of its acknowledgement in the form of citation impact; the time of study publication was regarded as a primary factor, but only if the study's citation count was =/>20. The initial high-impact studies were selected for 16 drugrelated topics (ketamine, isoflurane, etomidate, propofol, midazolam in anesthesia, vecuronium, alfentanil, atracurium, sevoflurane, sufentanil, rocuronium, desflurane, ropivacaine, remifentanil, dexmedetomidine in anesthesia, and sugammadex), and 9 technique-related topics (ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve block, capnography in anesthesia, targetcontrolled intravenous anesthesia, pulse oximetry in anesthesia, total intravenous anesthesia, transesophageal echocardiography in anesthesia, combined spinal-epidural anesthesia, and bispectral index). Twenty-five studies were designated the first high-impact studies (one for each topic); 16 are drug-related and 9 are technique-related. Half of the first high-impact studies had a citation count of =/>100, (range: 100 to 555). The citation count of the other half of high-impact studies did not reach the 100-citation threshold (range: 41 to 97). If a selected first high-impact study had a citation count <100, a next-on-timeline, additional study with citation count =/>100 was also selected; (range: 100 to 344). The present results show that an initial high-impact clinical study on a new development in anesthesiology can be determined and that related citations usually vary from one hundred to five hundred.

Keywords: anesthetic techniques, citation impact, clinical trials, drugs, priority rules, scientometrics

#### Introduction

In a previous study,<sup>1</sup> we analyzed important new developments in anesthesiology over the past 50 years. The topics for such developments were selected using an objective indicator - the degree to which the number of academic articles on a topic increased. More than 20 such topics were identified. The aim of this study was to

c 0 0 0 0 2021 Kissin. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and by no incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). determine for each of these topics the most influential initial clinical studies, also using an objective indicator – the number of citations generated by the study's publication.

In science, priority rule is the credit given to the person who first published a new finding or proposed a theory.<sup>2,3</sup> Determining priority of discovery is a two-step process that includes its disclosure and validation.<sup>4</sup> The validation reflects the scientific community's response to a disclosure centered on two questions: Is a new finding correct, and is it of sufficient interest to merit attention and further development? In practice, this acknowledgement usually comes in the form of citations in papers by other scientists, which can accumulate over time. Unlike disclosure (eg, publication), which is an event with a definite time stamp, validation can take a variable amount of time.<sup>4</sup>

The chain of accomplishments leading to any important new development has many links. Among the main ones are disclosure(s) of a new idea (eg, patents), definitive bench and/or animal studies, and human studies leading to the formal approval of a drug or technique for use in clinical practice. The aim of the current study is to assess only one of these links - initial clinical studies that prominently contributed to the acceptance of a new development by the scientific community. We sought to assess the contribution of initial clinical studies to the success of a new development by combining two factors: the time of the study publication and its acknowledgement by the scientific community in the form of total citation impact. For this, we identified initial studies that proved the effectiveness of a drug (or technique) in achieving a specific aim in anesthesia, and were the first to have an impressive citation impact.

# **Methods**

#### On Topics of New Developments

The selection of the topics of new developments was based on the results of the previous study, in which the degree of increase in the number of academic articles on a topic was used for selection.<sup>1</sup> The main tool for selection was popularity index (PI),<sup>5</sup> the percentage of articles on the topic among all articles related to the PubMed (MeSH) term "Anesthesia" over the same 5-year period. A topic was selected if it had reached a PI =/> 0.5 during any 5-year period from 1966 to 2015, or the total number of articles on the topic reached at least 500 articles.<sup>1</sup> There were 27 such topics, 19 of them were drug-related

(ketamine, isoflurane, enflurane, etomidate, propofol, midazolam in anesthesia, vecuronium, alfentanil, atracurium, sevoflurane, sufentanil, mivacurium, rocuronium, desflurane, ropivacaine, remifentanil, dexmedetomidine in anesthesia, levobupivacaine, and sugammadex) and 9 technique-related (ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve block, capnography in anesthesia, target-controlled intravenous anesthesia, pulse oximetry in anesthesia, total intravenous anesthesia, transesophageal echocardiography in anesthesia, combined spinal-epidural anesthesia, bispectral index, and transversus abdominis plane block). In the present study, we excluded from the list of drugs three agents that were discontinued in the USA in 2020 - enflurane, mivacurium, and levobupivacaine; the list of techniquerelated topics was not modified. Basic search details on these topics are presented in Table 1.

## Requirements for Selection of Initial High-Impact Studies General Requirements

The selection of initial high-impact studies was based on the following general requirements: 1) the principal aim of the study should be the assessment of the effectiveness of a new agent (or technique) in achieving a specific aim in anesthesia; 2) the study should be published as an original research article; 3) the study should be one of the initial clinical studies on a topic; 4) the study should have an impressive citation impact (the Thomson Reuters Web of Science Database),<sup>6</sup> preferably more than 100, but not fewer than 20 citations, most importantly, it should be published before other clinical studies on the topic with citation records =/> 20. If the study had a citation record =/> 20, but not =/> 100, an additional study with =/> 100 citations was also selected, on condition that it was published the next on the timeline after the first study. As a result, there were two types of initial studies with =/>100 citations: the first high-impact studies with =/>100citations and the additional high-impact studies with =/> 100 citations. The choice of the number of citations thresholds (20 and 100) was a voluntary one.

#### Specific Requirements

Specific requirements for the selection of studies with 20citation threshold: If several studies with =/> 20 citations were published almost simultaneously (within six-month period), all of them were identified, unless they had <30% of the number of citations of the study with the highest citation rate.

### Table I Search Details on Initial High-Impact Clinical Studies

(A) Dru	ıgs						
#	Торіс	Patent		Search	Search Terms	Additional	
		Year <sup>a</sup>	Inventors	Timespan		MeSH Headings <sup>b</sup>	
1	Ketamine	1963	Stevens CL	1963–1972	Ketamine, CI-581, Ketalar	Anesthesia, Humans	
2	lsoflurane	1969	Terrell RC	1969–1976	Isoflurance, Forane	Anesthesia, Humans	
3	Etomidate	1964	Fred GE, Eijcken CAMV	1964–1976	Etomidate, R26490	Anesthesia, Humans	
4	Propofol	1974	Glen JB, James R	1976–1984	Propfol, ICI 35868, Diprivan	Anesthesia, Humans	
5	Midazolam in anesthesia	1973	Fryer RI, Walser A	1973–1981	Midazolam, RO 21–3981	Anesthesia, Humans	
6	Vecuronium	1974	Hewett CL, Savage DS	1974–1982	Vecuronium, ORG NC45	Anesthesia, Humans	
7	Alfentanil	1978	Janssens FPB	1978–1986	Alfentanil, R-39209	Anesthesia, Humans	
8	Atracurium	1975	Stenlake JB, Waigh RO, Dewar CH	1975–1983	Atracurium, 33A74	Anesthesia, Humans	
9	Sevoflurane	1969	Terrell RC	1969–1987	Sevoflurane	Anesthesia, Humans	
10	Sufentanil	1976	Janssen PAJ, Van Daele GHP	1976–1984	Sufentanil, R30730	Anesthesia, Humans	
11	Rocuronium	1990	Sleigh T, Carlye IC, Muir AW	1990–1996	Rocuronium, ORG9426	Anesthesia, Humans	
12	Desflurane	1987	Terrell RC	1987–1992	Desflurane, I-653	Anesthesia, Humans	
13	Ropivacaine	1986	Sandberg RV	1986–1992	Ropivacaine	Anesthesia, Humans	
14	Remifentanil	1989	Feldman PL, James MK, Brackeen MR, et al.	1989–1998	Remifentanil	Anesthesia, Humans	
15	Dexmedetomidine in anesthesia	1988	Karjalainen AJ, Virtanen RE, Savolainen EJ	1988–2003	Dexmedotomidine	Anesthesia, Humans	
16	Sugammadex	2000	Zhang M, Palin R, Bennett J	2000–2008	Sugammadex, ORG 25969	Anesthesia, Humans	

(Continued)

#### Table I (Continued).

(B) Techniques								
#	# Topic		Patent		Search Terms	Additional		
		Year <sup>a</sup>	Inventors	Timespan		MeSH Headings <sup>b</sup>		
I	Ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve block	-	-	1977–1995	"Ultrasound-guided AND Nerve Block NOT Biopsy"	Anesthesia, Humans		
2	Capnography in anesthesia	-	-	1982–1989	"Capnography OR Capnometry OR End-tidal PCO2"	Anesthesia, Humans		
3	Target-controlled intravenous anesthesia	-	-	1982–1993	"Target-controlled intravenous anesthesia OR Target-controlled infusion"	Anesthesia, Humans		
4	Pulse oximetry in anesthesia	1979	Aoyagi T, Kobayashi N, Sasaki T	1982–1989	"Pulse oximetry"	Anesthesia, Humans		
5	Total intravenous anesthesia	-	-	1985–1997	"Total intravenous anesthesia OR TIVA"	Anesthesia, Humans		
6	Transesophageal echocardiography in anesthesia	-	-	1986–1996	"Transesophageal echocardiography OR TEE"	Anesthesia, Humans		
7	Combined spinal- epidural anesthesia	-	-	1982–1989	"Combined spinal- epidural OR Combined subarachnoid and epidural"	Anesthesia, Humans		
8	Bispectral index			1994–2000	"Bispectral index OR BIS"	Anesthesia, Humans		
9	Transversus abdominis plane block			2005–2008	"Transversus abdominis plane block"	Anesthesia, Humans		

Notes: <sup>a</sup>Year of worldwide patent application (Google Patent); <sup>b</sup>MeSH (Medical Subject Headings) vocabulary of Medline database.

Specific requirements for the selection of additional studies with 100-citation threshold: 1) If the =/> 100 citations study is an additional one, its date of publication should be closest to that of the first study with <100 citations (but >20 citations). 2) If several studies with the =/>100 citation count were published during the same six-month period, all were listed according to their publication dates. Any of these studies with fewer than 30% of the citations of the first study were excluded.

# Results

Table 2 presents data on studies according to the number of citations they elicited as well as their publication dates (year and month), which are the principal criteria for the assessment of their priority. The table indicates the first authors of the articles, all other authors (along with the article titles, journal names, and other publication details). The review's list of selected studies as systemized in Table 2 can be viewed in Supplement. In Table 2 studies are divided into two categories: those which crossed only = 20 citation-threshold and those which crossed a higher threshold, =/> 100 citations. The selected studies were prioritized based on publication date, but only if their citation count was =/> 20. Subject to a number of specifications (presented in the Methods), one study for each topic was designated as the first high-impact study. If the first high-impact study did not reach the 100 citation-threshold, the study next to it on the timeline was also selected; it was designated as the additional high-impact study - published at a later date, but having a higher citation count. As a result, there were two types of initial studies with =/>100 citations: the first studies with => 100 citations and additional studies with =/>100 citations; both of them are presented in Table 2. They are listed separately in Table 3.

#### (A) Drugs

(A) Dru	ξ,								
#	Торіс	Studies According to Number of Citations							
		≥20 1	Threshold <sup>a</sup>		≥100 Threshold				
		First Author and Journal	Time of Publication	Number of Citations	First Author and Journal	Time of Publication	Number of Citations		
I	Ketamine	-	_	-	Domino EF <sup>7</sup> Clin Pharmacol Ther	1965 (May - Jun)	555		
2	lsoflurane	Dobkin AB <sup>8</sup> Can Anaeth Soc J	1971 (May)	43	Stevens WC <sup>9</sup> Anesthesiology	1971 (Jul)	344		
3	Etomidate	Doenicke A <sup>10</sup> Anaesthetist	1973 (Aug)	72	Holdcroft A <sup>11</sup> Br J Anaesth	1976 (Mar)	100		
4	Propofol	-	-	-	Kay B <sup>12</sup> Acta Anaesth Belg	1977 (Feb)	122		
5	Midazolam in anesthesia	-	-	-	Conner JT <sup>13</sup> Anesth Analg	1978 (Jan - Feb)	106		
					Reves JG <sup>14</sup> Can Anaesth Soc J	1978 (May)	118		
					Fragen RJ <sup>15</sup> Anesthesiology	l 978 (Jul)	128		
6	Vecuronium	-	-	-	Agoston SI <sup>16</sup> Br J Anaesth	1980 (Jun)	125		
					Krieg N <sup>17</sup> Br J Anaesth	1980 (Aug)	95		
7	Alfentanil	Kay B <sup>18</sup> Anaesthesia	1980 (Dec)	41	Ausems ME <sup>19</sup> Anesthesiology	1986 (Oct)	210		
8	Atracurium	-	-	-	Payne JP <sup>20</sup> Br J Anaesth	1981 (Jan)	212		
9	Sevoflurane	-	-	-	Holaday DA <sup>21</sup> Anesthesiology	1981 (Feb)	194		
					Katoh T <sup>22</sup> Anesthesiology	1987 (Mar)	229		
10	Sufentanil	Bovill JG <sup>23</sup> Br J Anaesth	1982 (Jan)	48	de Lange S <sup>24</sup> Anesthesiology	1982 (Feb)	143		
11	Rocuronium	-	-	-	Wierda JM <sup>25</sup> Br J Anaesth	1990 (Apr)	132		
12	Desflurane	Jones RM <sup>26</sup> Anesth Analg	1990 (Jan)	76	Rampil IJ <sup>27</sup> Anesthesiology	1991 (Mar)	169		

(Continued)

## Table 2 (Continued).

13	Ropivacaine	Katz JA <sup>28</sup> Anesth Analg	1990 (Jan)	70	Brockway MS <sup>31</sup> Br J Anaesth	1991 (Jan)	146		
		Concepcion M <sup>29</sup> Anesth Analg	1990 (Jan)	63					
		Brown DL <sup>30</sup> Anesthesiology	1990 (Apr)	74					
14	Remifentanil	Dershwitz M <sup>32</sup> Anesth Analg	1995 (Sep)	97	Hogue CW Jr <sup>33</sup> Anesth Analg	1996 (Aug)	161		
					Lang E <sup>34</sup> Anesthesiology	1996 (Oct)	165		
15	Dexmedetomidine in anesthesia	Aantaa RE <sup>35</sup> Anesth Analg	1990 (Apr)	67	Aantaa R <sup>36</sup> Anesthesiology	1990 (Aug)	175		
16	Sugammadex	-	-	-	Gijsenbergh F <sup>37</sup> Anesthesiology	2005 (Oct)	179		
					Shields M <sup>38</sup> Br J Anaesth	2006 (Jan)	118		
(B) Tech	niques								
#	Торіс		Studies	According to	Number of Citations				
					≥100 Threshold				
		≥20 -	Threshold <sup>a</sup>		≥100	Threshold			
		≥20 <sup>-</sup> First Author and Journal	Threshold <sup>a</sup> Time of Publication	Number of Citations	≥100 First Author and Journal	Threshold Time of Publication	Number of Citations		
1	Ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve block	≥20 <sup>-</sup> First Author and Journal La Grange P <sup>39</sup> Br J Anaesth	Threshold <sup>a</sup> Time of Publication	Number of Citations 65	≥100 First Author and Journal Kapral S <sup>40</sup> Anesth Analg	Threshold Time of Publication	Number of Citations 219		
1	Ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve block Capnography in anesthesia	≥20 <sup>-</sup> First Author and Journal La Grange P <sup>39</sup> Br J Anaesth -	Threshold <sup>a</sup> Time of Publication 1978 (Sep)	Number of Citations 65	≥100 First Author and Journal Kapral S <sup>40</sup> Anesth Analg Linko K <sup>41</sup> Acta Anaesth Scand	Threshold Time of Publication 1994 (Mar) 1983 (Jun)	Number of Citations 219 102		
2	Ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve block Capnography in anesthesia Target-controlled intravenous anesthesia	≥20 <sup>-</sup> First Author and Journal La Grange P <sup>39</sup> Br J Anaesth - Schuttler J <sup>42</sup> Anaesthesia	Threshold <sup>a</sup> Time of Publication 1978 (Sep)	Number of Citations 65 - 65	≥100 First Author and Journal Kapral S <sup>40</sup> Anesth Analg Linko K <sup>41</sup> Acta Anaesth Scand Schwilden H <sup>43</sup> Anesthesiology	Threshold Time of Publication 1994 (Mar) 1983 (Jun) 1987 (Sen)	Number of Citations 219 102 226		
1 2 3 4	Ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve block Capnography in anesthesia Target-controlled intravenous anesthesia Pulse oximetry in anesthesia	≥20 <sup>-</sup> First Author and Journal La Grange P <sup>39</sup> Br J Anaesth - Schuttler J <sup>42</sup> Anaesthesia -	Threshold <sup>a</sup> Time of Publication I978 (Sep) -	Number of Citations 65 - 65 -	≥100 First Author and Journal Kapral S <sup>40</sup> Anesth Analg Linko K <sup>41</sup> Acta Anaesth Scand Schwilden H <sup>43</sup> Anesthesiology Yelderman M <sup>44</sup> Anesthesiology	Threshold Time of Publication 1994 (Mar) 1983 (Jun) 1987 (Sen) 1983 (Oct)	Number of Citations           219           102           226           364		
1 2 3 4 5	Ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve block Capnography in anesthesia Target-controlled intravenous anesthesia Pulse oximetry in anesthesia Total intravenous anesthesia	≥20 <sup>-</sup> First Author and Journal La Grange P <sup>39</sup> Br J Anaesth - Schuttler J <sup>42</sup> Anaesthesia - de Grood PM <sup>45</sup> Postgrad Med J	Threshold <sup>a</sup> Time of Publication I978 (Sep) I978 (Jan)	Number of Citations 65 - 65 - 46	≥100 First Author and Journal Kapral S <sup>40</sup> Anesth Analg Linko K <sup>41</sup> Acta Anaesth Scand Schwilden H <sup>43</sup> Anesthesiology Yelderman M <sup>44</sup> Anesthesiology Hogue CW Jr <sup>33</sup> Anesth Analg	Threshold Time of Publication 1994 (Mar) 1983 (Jun) 1987 (Sen) 1983 (Oct) 1996 (Aug)	Number of Citations           219           102           226           364           162		
1           2           3           4           5           6	Ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve block Capnography in anesthesia Target-controlled intravenous anesthesia Pulse oximetry in anesthesia Total intravenous anesthesia Total intravenous anesthesia	≥20 <sup>-</sup> First Author and Journal La Grange P <sup>39</sup> Br J Anaesth - Schuttler J <sup>42</sup> Anaesthesia - de Grood PM <sup>45</sup> Postgrad Med J -	Threshold <sup>a</sup> Time of Publication 1978 (Sep) - 1985 (Jan) -	Number of Citations 65 - 65 - 46 -	≥100 First Author and Journal Kapral S <sup>40</sup> Anesth Analg Linko K <sup>41</sup> Acta Anaesth Scand Schwilden H <sup>43</sup> Anesthesiology Yelderman M <sup>44</sup> Anesthesiology Hogue CW Jr <sup>33</sup> Anesth Analg Roizen MF <sup>46</sup> J Vasc Surg	Threshold           Time of Publication           1994 (Mar)           1983 (Jun)           1987 (Sen)           1983 (Oct)           1996 (Aug)           1984 (Mar)	Number of Citations           219           102           226           364           162           140		

(Continued)

#### Table 2 (Continued).

8	Bispectral index	Kearse LA <sup>48</sup> Electroenceph	1994 (Mar)	50	Kearse LA <sup>49</sup> Anesthesiology	1994 (Dec)	133
		Clin Neurophysiol			Vernon JM <sup>50</sup> Anesth Analg	1995 (Apr)	163
9	Transversus abdominis plane block	-	-	-	McDonnell JG <sup>51</sup> Anesth Analg	2007 (Jan)	421

**Notes:** <sup>a</sup>High-impact study crossing threshold of  $\geq$ 20 citations, but not threshold of  $\geq$ 100 citations; The numeral at the name of first author represents the reference number in the list of references.

Overall, 25 studies were designated as first high-impact studies, 16 of which are drug-related and 9 techniquerelated. Only half of the first high-impact studies had a citation count of =/>100: 8 of 16 with drug-related topics, from 106 to 555 citations; and 4 of 9 with technique-related topics, from 102 to 421 citations. The other half of the first high-impact studies did not reach the 100citation threshold: for drug-related topics their counts were from 41 to 97, and for technique-related topics - 46 to 65. There were no citation counts below 40 with any topic, although citation rates from 20 to 40 were searched for. Given the principles for selection, all additional highimpact studies had =/>100 citations (Table 3, right column): with eight drug-related topics the citations count varied from 100 to 344, and with four technique-related topics - from 133 to 219.

The selection of the first high-impact study (or the additional high-impact study) was often made more difficult by the presence of several studies with very close dates of publication and similar citation counts. The greatest difficulties in this respect were with five drug-related topics: midazolam, vecuronium, sevoflurane, ropivacaine, and sugammadex. This can be seen when dates of publication and citation counts of several similar high-impact studies (Table 2) are compared with those of a single study presented as the final choice (Table 3). For example, there were three studies on the use of midazolam for anesthesia published in 1978: Conner<sup>13</sup> in January-February, Reves<sup>14</sup> in May, and Fragen<sup>15</sup> in July; the citation counts for all three studies varied only from 106 to 128. The choice of Conner's study (Table 3) was based on several months earlier publication. Another example is the three studies on ropivacaine published in 1990: Katz<sup>28</sup> in January, Concepcion<sup>29</sup> in January, and Brown<sup>30</sup> in April. In this case, two studies (Katz and Concepcion) were

published in the same issue of Anesthesia & Analgesia and had similar citation counts -70 and 63, respectively (Table 2). Both studies are placed in Table 3 as the first high-impact study, as an exception to the rule of one study per topic.

# **Discussions**

In this review, we identified the initial high-impact clinical studies which fomented new developments in anesthesiology over the past 50 years. These studies were assessed by combining two factors: the study's publication date and the degree of its acknowledgement by the scientific community in the form of citations. As a result, twenty-five studies were designated the first high-impact studies (one for each topic); 16 are drug-related and 9 are techniquerelated. Half of the first high-impact studies had a citation count of =/>100, (range: 100 to 555). The citation count of the other half of high-impact studies did not reach the 100-citation threshold (range: 41 to 97). If a selected first high-impact study had a citation count =/>100 was also selected (range: 100 to 344).

These studies represent 25 topics of the most meaningful developments in anesthesiology. What is a meaningful development? According to Helmer,<sup>52</sup> one of the most important conditions for meaningful research is a practically useful impact on society. In this review, due to the retrospective view of developments whose usefulness was confirmed during many decades of clinical practice, research studies with impact on these developments were historically shown to be practically useful. They include the following topics which are well known to any anesthesiologist. Sixteen drug-related topics: ketamine, isoflurane, etomidate, propofol, midazolam in anesthesia, vecuronium, alfentanil, atracurium,

(A) Drugs						
#	Торіс	First S	tudy <sup>a</sup>	Additional Study <sup>b</sup>		
		Citation Impact	First Author	Citation Impact	First Author	
I	Ketamine	555	Domino EF <sup>7</sup>	-	-	
2	Isoflurane	43	Dobkin AB <sup>8</sup>	344	Stevens WC <sup>9</sup>	
3	Etomidate	72	Doenicke A <sup>10</sup>	100	Holdcroft A <sup>11</sup>	
4	Propofol	122	Kay B <sup>12</sup>	_	_	
5	Midazolam in anesthesia	106	Conner JT <sup>13</sup>	-	-	
6	Vecuronium	125	Agoston S <sup>16</sup>	-	-	
7	Alfentanil	41	Kay B <sup>18</sup>	210	Ausems ME <sup>19</sup>	
8	Atracurium	212	Payne JP <sup>20</sup>	-	-	
9	Sevoflurane	194	Holaday DA <sup>21</sup>	-	-	
10	Sufentanil	48	Bovill JG <sup>23</sup>	144	DeLange S <sup>24</sup>	
11	Rocuronium	132	Wierda JM <sup>25</sup>	-	-	
12	Desflurane	76	Jones RM <sup>26</sup>	169	Rampil IJ <sup>27</sup>	
13	Ropivacaine	70	Katz JA <sup>28</sup>	146	Brockway MC <sup>31</sup>	
		63	Conceptcion <sup>29</sup>			
14	Remifentanil	97	Dershwitz M <sup>32</sup>	161	Hogue GW Jr <sup>33</sup>	
15	Dexmedetomidi ne in anesthesia	67	Aantaa R <sup>35</sup>	175	Aantaa R <sup>36</sup>	
16	Sugammadex	179	GijsenberghF <sup>37</sup>	-	-	
( <b>B)</b> Techniq	ues					
#	Торіс	Initial Study <sup>a</sup>		Additional Study <sup>b</sup>		
		Citation Impact	First Author	Citation Impact	First Author	
I	Ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve block	65	La Grange P <sup>39</sup>	219	Kapral S <sup>40</sup>	
2	Capnography in anesthesia	201	Linko K <sup>41</sup>	-	-	
3	Target-controlled intravenous anesthesia	65	Schuttler J <sup>42</sup>	226	Schwilden H <sup>43</sup>	
4	Pulse oximetry in anesthesia	364	Yelderman M <sup>44</sup>	-	-	
5	Total intravenous anesthesia	46	de Grood PM <sup>45</sup>	162	Hogue CW Jr <sup>33</sup>	
6	Transesophageal echocardiography in anesthesia	140	Roizen MF <sup>46</sup>	-	-	
7	Combined spinal- epidural anesthesia	125	Rawal N <sup>47</sup>	-	-	
8	Bispectral index	50	Kearse LA <sup>48</sup>	133	Kearse LA <sup>49</sup>	
9	Transversus abdominis plane block	421	McDonnell JG <sup>51</sup>	-		

Notes: <sup>a</sup>First study with citation impact  $\geq$ 20; <sup>b</sup>If the first study had <100 citation, the next-on-timeline study with  $\geq$ 100 citations was added.

sevoflurane, sufentanil, rocuronium, desflurane, ropivacaine, remifentanil, dexmedetomidine in anesthesia, and sugammadex; and also nine technique-related topics: ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve block, capnography in anesthesia, target-controlled intravenous anesthesia, pulse oximetry in anesthesia, total intravenous anesthesia, transesophageal echocardiography in anesthesia, combined spinal-epidural anesthesia, and bispectral index.

It is important to underline that all selected studies had two specific features. First, only original research articles, in which the principle goal was the assessment of possible use of a new agent or technique for achieving a specific aim in anesthesia, were selected. Studies beyond the initial question of the basic advantage of a new drug (or technique) for anesthesia, such as drug's formulation, the general characteristic of drug's pharmacokinetics, or possible additional clinical indications, were not selected as the initial high-impact studies.

Second, only initial clinical studies were selected. Their impact on the opinion of the scientific community was sufficient to convince it that a new agent or technique is of interest for further development, indicating that the previous chain of events, from conceiving an idea (eg, patent) to bench or/and animal experiments, and finally to clinical trial, had real promise. The high citation impact of the initial clinical study elevates a new agent, following multiple study-steps on various aspects of the agent's action, to its destination – formal approval for use in clinical practice. In the long life of an introduced drug or technique, significant new research findings may change the drug's role in clinical practice. This assessment concentrated only on initial clinical studies that gave birth to new developments.

Among limitations of this review is, described in the methods, voluntary choice of the number of citations thresholds for selecting the studies. The other limitation is associated with the preselected aspects of the review, which requires the following explanation. The presented analysis of the studies has two aspects: 1) Which stage of development to analyze, and 2) What method to use. With the first aspect, each new development has a number of stages, such as the generation of a novel idea, its disclosure in a patent, definitive bench or/and animal studies, initial clinical studies, and advanced clinical studies leading to formal approval for general use in clinical practice. The aim of present assessment was to analyze only initial clinical studies. The second aspect, related to the methods of comparative assessment of the

studies on a new development, could be based on a list established by academic leaders or through committee vote;<sup>53</sup> another more objective one is based on various methods derived from raw citation data:<sup>54</sup> the most important factor in discovery assessment is associated with the date of disclosure.<sup>3</sup> In the present assessment, a combination of two factors was used: the study's priority in terms of the time of its publication and the degree of its acknowledgement by the academic community in the form of citations. With different approaches to these two aspects of analysis - what stage to analyze and what method to apply for the study selection - various outcomes are possible. This may be regarded as a potential limitation of the current review. For example, our approach, based on initial clinical studies, is not directed toward the most important element of any new development - the generation of a new idea including its disclosure (eg, patent). At the same time, it was centered on the most critical consideration in the development of a new idea - is it clinically useful? Thus, with different approaches the importance of different studies could be assessed quite differently; therefore, our results should be regarded only as an initial attempt in the selection of high-impact studies that fomented new developments in anesthesia.

In conclusion, the present results show that an initial high-impact clinical study on a new development in anesthesiology can be determined and that related citations usually vary from one hundred to five hundred. The review's List of Selected Studies. These initial clinical studies fomented the most important development in anesthesiology over the past 50 years.

#### Disclosure

The author reports no conflicts of interest in this work.

# References

- Kissin I. Academic journals assessed as springboards for new developments: a study of leading anesthesia journals over past 50 years. *J Anesth Hist.* 2019;5:7–12. doi:10.1016/j.janh.2018.08.005
- Merton RK. Priority in scientific discovery: a chapter in the sociology of science. Am Sociol Rev. 1957;22:635–659. doi:10.2307/2089193
- Stevens M. The role of the priority rule in science. J Philosophy. 2003;100:55–79. doi:10.5840/jphil.2003.100224
- Vale RD, Hyman AA. Priority of discovery in the life sciences. *eLife*. 2016;5:e16931. doi:10.7554/elife.16931
- Vlassakov KV, Kissin I. Scientometrics of anesthethic drugs and their techniques of administration, 1984–2013. Drug Des Devel Ther. 2014;8:2463–2473. doi:10.2147/dddt.s73862.ecollection2014

- Web of science core collection results accessed via apps. 2020. Available from: webofknowledge.com.ezp-prod1.hul.harvard.edu. Accessed May 26, 2021.
- Domino EF, Chodoff P, Corssen G. Pharmacological effects of Cl-581, a new dissociative anesthetic, in man. *Clin Pharmocol Ther.* 1965;6:279–291. doi:10.1002/cpt196563279
- Dobkin AB, Byles PH, Ghanooni S, Valbuena DA. Clinical and laboratory evaluation of a new inhalation anesthetic: forane (compound 469) CHF2-O-CHCICF3 (1-chloro-2,2,2-trifluoroethyl difluoromethylether). *Can Anaesth Soc J.* 1971;18(3):264–271. doi:10.1007/BF03025461
- Stevens WC, Cromwell TH, Halsey MJ, et al. The cardiovascular effects of a new inhalation anesthetic, Forane, in human volunteers at constant arterial carbon dioxide tension. *Anesthesiology*. 1971;35 (1):8–16. doi:10.1097/00000542-197107000-00005
- Doenicke A, Wagner E, Beetz KH. Blutgasanalysen (arteriell) nach dreikurzwirkenden i. v. Hypnotica (Propanidid, Etomidate und Methohexital [Arterial bloodgas analyses following administration of three short-acting i.v. hypnotics (propanidid, etomidate, methohexitone)(author's translation)]. *Anaesthesist.* 1973;22(8):353–356. [German].
- Holdcraft A, Morgan M, Whitwam JG, Lumley J. Effect of dose and premedication on induction complications with etomidate. *Br J Anaesth.* 1976;48(3):199–205. doi:10.1093/bja/48.3.199
- Kay B, Rolly G. I.C.I. 35868, a new intravenous induction agent. Acta Anaesthesiol Belg. 1977;28(4):303–316.
- Conner JT, Katz RL, Pagano RR, Graham CW. RO 21-3981 for intravenous surgical premedication and induction of anesthesia. *Anesth Analg.* 1978;57(1):1–5. doi:10.1213/00000539-197801000-00001
- Reves JG, Corssen G, Holcomb C. Comparison of two benzodiazepines for anaesthesia induction: midazolam and diazepam. *Can Anaesth Soc J.* 1978;25(3):211–214. doi:10.1007/BF03004881
- Fragen RJ, Gahl F, Caldwell N. A water-soluble benzodiazepine, RO21-3981, for induction of anesthesia. *Anesthesiology*. 1978;49 (1):41–43. doi:10.1097/0000542-197807000-00012
- Agoston S, Salt P, Newton D, Bencini A, Boomsma P, Erdmann W. The neuromuscular blocking action of ORG NC 45, a new pancuronium derivative, in anaestheti zed patient s. A pilot study. *Br J Anaesth.* 1980;52(Suppl 1):53S–59. doi:10.1093/bja/52. suppl 1.53S
- Krieg N, Crul JF, Booij LH. Relative potency of ORG NC 45, pancuronium, alcuronium and tubocurarine in anaesthetized man. *Br J Anaesth.* 1980;52(8):783–788. doi:10.1093/bja/52.8.783
- Kay B, Stephenson DK. Alfentanil (R39209): initial clinical experience with a new narcotic analgesic. *Anaesthesia*. 1980;35 (12):1197–1201. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2044.1980.tb05078.x
- Ausems ME, Hug CC, Stanski DR, Burm AG. Plasma concentrations of alfentanil required to supplement nitrous oxide anesthesia for general surgery. *Anesthesiology*. 1986;65(4):362–373. doi:10.1097/ 00000542-198610000-00004
- Payne JP, Hughes R. Evaluation of atracurium in anesthetized man. Br J Anaesth. 1981;53(1):45–54. doi:10.1093/bja/53.1.45
- Holaday DA, Smith FR. Clinical characteristics and biotransformation of sevoflurane in healthy human volunteers. *Anesthesiology*. 1981;54(2):100–106. doi:10.1097/0000542-198102000-00002
- Katoh T, Ikeda K. The minimum alveolar concentration (MAC) of sevoflurane in humans. *Anesthesiology*. 1987;66(3):301–303. doi:10.1097/00000542-198703000-00006
- Bovill JG, Sebel PS, Wauquier A, Rog P. Electroencephalographic effects of sufentanilanaesthesia in man. Br J Anaesth. 1982;54 (1):45–52. doi:10.1093/bja/54.1.45
- 24. de Lange S, Boscoe MJ, Stanley TH, Pace N. Comparison of sufentanil–O2 and fentanyl –O2 for coronary artery surgery. *Anesthesiology*. 1982;56(2):12–118. doi:10.1097/00000542-198202000-00006

- Weirda JM, de Wit AP, Kuizenga K, Agoston S. Clinical observations on the neuromuscular blocking action of Org 9426, a new steroidal non-depolarizing agent. Br J Anaesth. 1990;64(4):521–523. doi:10.1093/bja/64.4.521
- 26. Jones RM, Cashman JN, Eger E 2nd, Damask MC, Johnson BH. Kinetics and potency of desflurane (1–653) in volunteers. *Anesth Analg.* 1990;70(1):3–7. doi:10.1213/00000539-199001000-00002
- Rampil IJ, Lockhart SH, Eger EI 2nd, Yasuda N, Weiskopf RB, Cahalan MK. The electroencephalographic effects of desflurane in humans. *Anesthesiology*. 1991;74(3):434–439. doi:10.1097/ 00000542-199103000-00008
- Katz JA, Bridenbaugh PO, Knarr DC, Helton SH, Denson DD. Pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of epidural ropivacaine in humans. *Anesth Analg.* 1990;70(1):16–21. doi:10.1213/00000539-199001000-00004
- Concepcion M, Arthur GR, Steele SM, Bader AM, Covino BG. A new local anesthetic, ropivacaine. Its epidural effects in humans. *Anesth Analg.* 1990;70(1):80–85. doi:10.1213/00000539-199001000-00014
- Brown DL, Carpenter RL, Thompson GE. Comparison of 0.5% ropivacaine and 0.5% bupivacaine for epidural anesthesia in patients undergoing lower-extremity surgery. *Anesthesiology*. 1990;72 (4):633636. doi:10.1097/00000542-199004000-00010
- Brockway MS, Bannister J, McClure JH, McKeown D, Wildsmith MD. Comparison of extradural ropivacaine and bupivacaine. Br J Anaesth. 1991;66(1):31–37. doi:10.1093/bja/ 66.1.31
- Dershwitz M, Randel GI, Rosow CE, et al. Initial clinical experience with remifentanil, a new opioid metabolized by esterases. *Anesth Analg.* 1995;81(3):619–623. doi:10.1097/00000539-199509000-00035
- 33. Hogue CW, Bowdle TA, O'Leary C, et al. A multicenter evaluation of total intravenous anesthesia with remifentanil and propofol for elective inpatient surgery. *Anesth Analg.* 1996;83(2):279–285. doi:10.1097/00000539-199608000-00014
- 34. Lang E, Kapila A, Shlugman D, Hoke JF, Sebel PS, Glass PS. Reduction of isoflurane minimal alveolar concentration by remifentanil. *Anesthesiology*. 1996;85(4):721–728. doi:10.1097/ 00000542-199610000-00006
- 35. Aantaa RE, Kanto JH, Scheinin M, Kallio AM, Scheinin H. Dexmedetomidine premedication for minor gynecologic surgery. *Anesth Analg.* 1990;70(4):407–413. doi:10.1213/00000539-199004000-00011
- Aantaa R, Kanto J, Scheinin M, Kallio A, Scheinin H. Dexmedetomidine, an alpha 2-adrenoceptor agonist, reduces anesthetic requirements for patients undergoing minor gynecologic surgery. *Anesthesiology*. 1990;73(2):230–235. doi:10.1097/ 00000542-199008000-00007
- 37. Gijsenbergh F, Ramael S, Houwing N, van Iersel T. First human exposure of Org 25969, a novel agent to reverse the action of rocuronium bromide. *Anesthesiology*. 2005;103(4):695–703. doi:10.1097/00000542-200510000-00007
- 38. Shields M, Giovannelli M, Mirakhur RK, Moppett I, Adams J, Hermens Y. Org 25969 (sugammadex), a selective relaxant binding agent for antagonism of prolonged rocuronium-induced neuromuscular block. Br J Anaesth. 2006;96(1):36–43. doi:10.1093/bja/ aei314
- 39. la Grange P, Foster PA, Pretorius LK. Application of the Doppler ultrasound blood flow detector in supraclavicular brachia!! plexus block. Br J Anaesth. 1978;50(9):965–967. doi:10.1093/bja/ 50.9.965
- Kapral S, Krafft P, Eibenberger K, Fitzgerald R, Gosch M, Weinstabl C. Ultrasound-guided supraclavicular approach for regional anesthesia of the brachial! plexus. *Anesth Analg.* 1994;78 (3):507–513. doi:10.1213/00000539-199403000-00016

- Linko K, Paloheimo M, Tammisto T. Capnography for detection of accidental esophageal intubation. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* 1983;27 (3):199–202. doi:10.1111/j.1399-6576.1983.tb01934.x
- Schuttler J, Schwilden H, Stoekel H. Pharmacokinetics as applied to total intravenous anaesthesia. Practical implications. *Anaesthesia*. 1983;38:53–56. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2044.1983.tbl5179.x
- Schwilden H, Schuttler J, Stoekel H. Closed-loop feedback control of methohexital anesthesia by quantitative EEG analysis in humans. *Anesthesiology*. 1987;67(3):341–347. doi:10.1097/00000542-198709000-00011
- 44. Yelderman M, New W Jr. Evaluation of pulse oximetry. *Anesthesiology*. 1983;59(4):349–352. doi:10.1097/00000542-198310000-00015
- 45. de Grood PM, Ruys AH, van Egmond J, Booij LH, Crul JF. Propofol ('Diprivan') emulsion for total intravenous anaesthesia. *Postgrad Med J.* 1985;61(Suppl 3):65–69.
- 46. Roizen MF, Beaupre PN, Alpert RA, et al. Monitoring with two-dimensional transesophageal echocardiography. Comparison of myocardial function in patients undergoing supraceliac, suprarenal infraceliac, or infrarenal aortic occlusion. J Vasc Surg. 1984;1 (2):300–305. doi:10.1016/0741-5214(84)90061-2
- Rawal N, Schellin J, Wesstrom G. Epidural versus combined spinal epidural block for cesarean section. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 1988;32(1):61–66.
- 48. Kearse LA, Manberg P, DeBros F, Chamoun N, Sinai V. Bispectral analysis of the electroencephalogram during induction of anesthesia may predict hemodynamic responses to laryngoscopy and intubation. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol*. 1994;9(3):194–200.

- Kearse LA, Manberg P, Chamoun N, deBros F, Zaslavsky A. Bispectral analysis of the electroencephalogram correlates with patient movement to skin incision during propofol/nitrous oxide anesthesia. *Anesthesiology*. 1994;81(6):1365–1370. doi:10.1097/ 00000542-199412000-00010
- Vernon JM, Lang E, Sebel PS, Manberg P. Prediction of movement using bispectral electroencephalographic analysis is during propofol/ alfentanil or isoflurane/alfentanil anesthesia. *Anesth Analg.* 1995;80 (4):780–785. doi:10.1097/00000539-199504000-00023
- McDonnell JG, O'Donnell B, Curley G, Heffernan A, Power C, Laffey JG. The analgesic efficacy of transversus abdominis plane block after abdominal surgery: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Anesth Analg.* 2007;104(1):193–197. doi:10.1213/01. ane.0000250223.49963.0f
- 52. Helmer S. What is meaningful research and how should we measure it? *Scientometrics*. 2020;125:153–167.
- Bergstrom CT, West JD. Assessing citations with the Eigenfactor<sup>TM</sup> metrics. *Neurology*. 2008;71:1850–1857. doi:10.1212/01. wnl.0000338904.37585.66
- 54. Bollen J, Van de Sompel H, Hagberg A, Chute R. A principal component analysis of 39 scientific impact measures. *PLoS One*. 2009;4:e6022. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006022

#### Drug Design, Development and Therapy

#### **Dove**press

2505

Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peerreviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are a feature of the journal, which has also been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www. dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal