

# The Application and Influence of Hospice Care Among Patients with Advanced Esophageal Cancer [Corrigendum]

Li ZF, Liu MJ. *Int J Gen Med*. 2021;14:6839–6845.

part of inaccurate data have no impact on the overall results of the study.

The authors have advised after the paper was published, professional statistics teachers were invited to check the table data and found that some data in the tables were not accurate, so data re-proofreading and verification were carried out. The unskilled statistical methods and a small

Tables 1 and 2 on page 6842 should read as follows.

Tables 3 and 4 on page 6843 should read as follows.

**Table 1** General Information of Patients in the Two Groups

		Control Group (N=60)	Study Group (N=64)	P
Gender	Male	40(66.7%)	40(62.5%)	1
	Female	20(33.3%)	24(37.5%)	
Age		52.28±4.38	52.84±4.30	0.474
Diameter of tumor cm		1.29±0.22	1.34±0.17	0.171
TNM Stage	Stage III	24(40%)	26(40.6%)	0.943
	Stage IV	36(60%)	38(59.4%)	
Zubrod score before intervention		1.37±0.82	1.36±0.6	0.955
Zubrod score 3 months after intervention		3.68±0.47	3.64±0.52	0.631

**Table 2** Comparison of HAMD, SAS and PSQI Scores Between the Two Groups Before and After Intervention ( $\bar{x} \pm s$ , Point)

Group	n	HAMD		SAS		PSQI	
		Before the Interventions	After the Interventions	Before the Interventions	After the Interventions	Before the Interventions	After the Interventions
Study group	64	53.14±3.14	28.75±2.28*	52.16±2.74	27.25±1.91*	17.34±1.50	8.77±1.65*
Control group	60	52.82±3.27	35.27±2.61*	51.57±3.07	34.08±1.74*	17.12±1.79	13.28±0.93*
t		-0.561	14.848	-1.13	20.779	-0.768	18.604
P		0.576	<0.001	0.261	<0.001	0.444	<0.001

**Notes:** Compared with the same group before the intervention \*P<0.05.

**Table 3** Comparison of GWB and PDI Scores Between the Two Groups Before and After Intervention ( $\bar{x} \pm s$ , Point)

Group	n	GWB		PDI	
		Before the Interventions	After the Interventions	Before the Interventions	After the Interventions
Study group	64	47.52±3.05	70.27±2.53*	119.43±3.00	80.75±3.81*
Control group	60	47.67±2.46	61.17±3.67*	118.53±3.20	91.43±4.07*
t		0.303	-15.982	-1.626	15.094
P		0.763	<0.001	0.107	<0.001

**Notes:** Compared with before intervention in the same group \*P<0.05.

**Table 4** Comparison of Pain Grading Between the Two Groups Before and After Intervention [Num., (%)]

Time	Group	n	Level I	Level II	Level III	Level IV	Level V
Before the interventions	Study group	64	0(0.00)	0(0.00)	40(62.50)	22(34.4)	2(3.1)
	Control group	60	0(0.00)	0(0.00)	40(66.7)	20(33.33)	0(0.00)
	Z						-0.601
	P						0.548
After the interventions	Study group	64	0(0.00)	24(37.50)*	34(53.13)*	6(9.4)*	0(0.00)*
	Control group	60	0(0.00)	10(16.7)*	36(60.00)*	14(23.3)*	0(0.00)*
	Z						-2.971
	P						0.003

**Notes:** Compared with the group before intervention \* $P < 0.05$ .

International Journal of General Medicine

Dovepress

## Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of General Medicine is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that focuses on general and internal medicine, pathogenesis, epidemiology, diagnosis, monitoring and treatment protocols. The journal is characterized by the rapid reporting of reviews, original research and clinical studies

across all disease areas. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-general-medicine-journal>