LETTER

Screening History and 7-Year Survival in 32,099 Colorectal Cancer Patients: A Population-Based Cohort Study [Letter]

Slamet Wardoyo (1)¹, Taufik Anwar²

¹Department of Environmental Health, Poltekkes Kemenkes Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia; ²Department of Environmental Health, Poltekkes Kemenkes Pontianak, Pontianak, Indonesia

Correspondence: Slamet Wardoyo, Tel +62 857 8754 9486, Email slaametwardoyo91@gmail.com

Dear editor

We am writing to comment on the article titled "Screening History and 7-Year Survival in 32,099 Colorectal Cancer Patients: A Population-Based Cohort Study" by Bo-Yu Hsiao et al, published in Clinical Epidemiology 2023:15. The study provides valuable insights into the screening history and survival outcomes of colorectal cancer patients. This study used sensitivity analysis to assess the stability of the results, adjusted for various colorectal cancer staging systems, and accounted for common biases in screening impact studies, demonstrating a robust methodology. This study provides important insights into the impact of screening history on the survival of colorectal cancer patients, and contributes to the existing knowledge in this field.

Despite the advantages, we would like to criticize this study for its lack of detailed information regarding patient demographics, comorbidities, and treatment modalities. More detailed information regarding these factors could provide a more comprehensive understanding of the factors affecting colon cancer patient survival. Also, the study did not address the potential impact of lifestyle factors, such as diet and physical activity, on colon cancer survival. Furthermore, the study did not explore potential solutions to overcome barriers such as lack of patient awareness, limited health services, and financial constraints that affect participation in screening and follow-up examinations. Thus, this study has weaknesses in terms of the depth of analysis of factors affecting colon cancer patient survival and the lack of exploration of solutions to overcome related barriers.

To improve the quality of future studies, it is recommended to explore more detailed information regarding patient demographics, comorbidities, and treatment modalities in relation to colon cancer patient survival. In addition, future studies can expand the scope by considering the influence of lifestyle factors, such as diet, physical activity level, and smoking habits, on patient survival. In addition, it is important to explore solutions to overcome barriers identified in this study, such as lack of patient awareness, limited health services, and financial constraints that affect participation in screening and follow-up examinations. Future studies could also expand the analysis by considering the costs and benefits of different screening methods and interventions to overcome these barriers. Thus, future research is expected to provide a more comprehensive understanding and more effective solutions regarding colon cancer management.^{2,3}

In conclusion, the study by Hsiao et al provides valuable insights into the screening history and survival outcomes of colorectal cancer patients. Addressing the identified weaknesses and implementing the recommendations can further enhance the impact of future research in this field.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

Dovepress Wardoyo and Anwar

References

1. Hsiao BY, Chiang CJ, Yang YW, Lin LJ, Hsu TH, Lee WC. Screening history and 7-year survival in 32,099 colorectal cancer patients: a population-based cohort study. Clin Epidemiol. 2023;1009-1025. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S424918

- 2. Stuart EA, Cole SR, Bradshaw CP, Leaf PJ. The use of propensity scores to assess the generalizability of results from randomized trials. J R Stat Soc Ser a Stat Soc. 2011;174(2):369–386. doi:10.1111/j.1467-985X.2010.00673.x
- 3. Green LW, Glasgow RE. Evaluating the relevance, generalization, and applicability of research: issues in external validation and translation methodology. Eval Health Prof. 2006;29(1):126-153. doi:10.1177/0163278705284445

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The contentTxt of the Clinical Epidemiology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Epidemiology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the contentTxt of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the contentTxt of any letter, nor is it responsible for the contentTxt and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Epidemiology

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Epidemiology is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal focusing on disease and drug epidemiology, identification of risk factors and screening procedures to develop optimal preventative initiatives and programs. Specific topics include: diagnosis, prognosis, treatment, screening, prevention, risk factor modification, systematic reviews, risk & safety of medical interventions, epidemiology & biostatistical methods, and evaluation of guidelines, translational medicine, health policies & economic evaluations. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a vary which is all possible programs. completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-epidemiology-journal

https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S451619