Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Association between promoter polymorphisms of matrix metalloproteinase-I and risk of gastric cancer

Qisong Peng Yong Xu

Department of Laboratory Medicine, The Affiliated Jiangning Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Yong Xu Department of Laboratory Medicine, The Affiliated Jiangning Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, No 168 Gushan Road, Jiangning District, Nanjing, Jiangsu 211100, People's Republic of China Email 1553278952@qq.com **Abstract:** Growing evidences show that matrix metalloproteinase-1 (*MMP1*) plays important roles in tumorigenesis and cancer metastasis. The interactions between MMPI-1607 1G>2Gpolymorphism and risk of gastric cancer (GC) have been reported, but results remained ambiguous. To determine the association between MMP1-1607 1G>2G polymorphism and risk of GC, we conducted a meta-analysis and identified the outcome data from all the research papers estimating the association between MMP1-1607 1G>2G polymorphism and GC risk, which was based on comprehensive searches using databases such as PubMed, Elsevier Science Direct, Excerpta Medica Database (EMBASE), and Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI). The fixed-effects model was used in this meta-analysis. Data were extracted, and pooled odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. In this meta-analysis, six studies involving 1,377 cases and 1,543 controls were included. We identified the significant association between MMP1-1607 1G>2G polymorphism and GC risk for allele model (OR =1.05; 95% CI, 1.01-1.08), for dominant model (OR =1.11; 95% CI, 1.08-1.15), and for recessive model (OR =1.06; 95% CI, 0.98-1.14). In summary, our analysis demonstrated that MMP1-1607 1G>2G polymorphism was significantly associated with an increased risk of GC. Keywords: MMP1, gene polymorphisms, gastric cancer

Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is the fourth most common cancer and second leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide.¹ The mechanism of gastric carcinogenesis remains elusive. Environmental and genetic factors possibly play a role in the etiology of the disease.^{2,3} However, these risk factors cannot fully explain the development of GC, since only a minority of exposed population finally developed GC, indicating possible interplay between risk factors and personal background including genetic susceptibility.⁴ Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) are a group of proteolytic enzymes involved in physiological and pathological extracellular matrix processing, capable of degrading essentially all extracellular matrix components.^{5,6}

MMPs are divided into five structural families, including collagenases, gelatinases, stromelysins, matrilysins, and membrane-type MMPs. More evidence indicates that many MMPs are involved in tumorigenesis by modulating cell proliferation, apoptosis, and angiogenesis.⁷ *MMP1*, located on 11q22.3, is one member of the MMP family and degrades interstitial collagen types I, II, and III. The expression level of *MMP1* gene is at low level in normal cells under physiological conditions;^{8,9} however, *MMP1* expression is dramatically increased in many malignancies.^{5,10} It has been reported that the promoter of *MMP1* can regulate *MMP1* gene transcription,

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com

http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S83004

© 2015 Peng and Xu. This work is published by Dove Medical Press Limited, and Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License. The full terms of the License are available at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. Permissions beyond the scope of the License are administered by Dove Medical Press Limited, Information on how to request Permission may be found at http://www.dovepress.com/permissions.php in which there is a functional single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), MMP1–1607 1G>2G (rs1799750),^{11,12} which contains a guanine insertion/deletion polymorphism at position –1607¹³ and leads to higher expression of MMP1. In the current study, we performed a meta-analysis of hospital-based studies to determine the association between the MMP1–1607 1G>2G polymorphism and GC risk.

Materials and methods Study strategy

A systematic computerized search in all the electronic databases that could search for literatures, including PubMed, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), EMBASE and Elsevier Science Direct etc, was conducted to collect all case-control studies evaluating *MMP1* and GC in humans published until August 2014. The search was developed without any language restriction and searching for the following terms: (matrix metalloproteinase-1 OR *MMP1*), (polymorphism OR polymorphisms OR variant OR variants OR genotype), and (cancer OR carcinoma OR neoplasm). To expand our research, we also performed the search in the CNKI database using terms in Chinese, such as *MMP1*, gastric cancer risk OR GC risk, and polymorphism. The references for all identified publications were hand-searched for additional studies.

Statistical methods

We used odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to measure the strength of association between MMP1-1607 1G>2G polymorphism and GC risk. Pooled ORs and 95% CIs were calculated for an allele mode, a dominant model (variant homozygote + heterozygote vs wild-type homozygote), and a recessive model (variant homozygote vs heterozygote + wild-type homozygote).

Then, we assessed an estimate of potential publication bias using the funnel plot, in which the standard error of log (SEL) of every study was plotted against its log (OR), and an asymmetric plot indicated a potential publication bias. We assessed funnel plot asymmetry using Egger's linear regression test, a linear regression method of evaluating funnel plot asymmetry on the natural logarithm scale of the OR. The significance of the intercept was determined using the *t*-test suggested by Egger, and P<0.05 was considered representative of statistically significant publication bias. All of the statistical tests were performed using STRATA version 12.0 (StrataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Data extraction

The following basic data were collected from the studies that met the inclusion criteria: first author's name, tumor type, year of publication, country, ethnicity of study population, number of cases and controls, and genotyping method. Two independent investigators conducted data extraction work, and they resolved discrepancies through discussion. Study qualities were judged according to the criteria modified from a previously published study¹⁴ (Table S1).

Results

Eligible study characteristics

A total of 649 publications, all written in English or Chinese and all extracted from the PubMed, MEDILINE, EMBASE, and CNKI databases, were reviewed. Finally, six articles^{15–20} containing six studies, including 1,377 GC cases and 1,543 non-cancer controls were included in the current metaanalysis. A flowchart shows the study selection procedure (Figure 1). The main characteristics of the studies are listed in Table 1. Sample sizes and *MMP1*–1607 1G>2G allele and genotype distributions in the studies considered in the present meta-analysis are shown in Table 2.

Quantitative synthesis

The fixed-effects model is used in the current meta-analysis. The association between the MMP1-1607 1G>2G polymorphism and cancer risk was estimated with the following models: an allele model (2G vs 1G), a dominant model (2G/2G+ 1G/2G vs 1G/1G), and a recessive model (2G/2G vs 2G/1G+ 1G/1G). The evaluations of the association of MMP1-1607 1G>2G with cancer risk are shown in Table 3. In the allele model (2G vs 1G), the overall pooled effect showed that the 2G allele was associated with an increased overall cancer risk, compared with the 1G allele (OR =1.05; 95% CI, 1.01–1.08) (Figure 2A). In the recessive model (2G/2G vs 2G/1G + 1G/1G), the overall pooled effect showed that the 2G/2G homozygote was not associated with an overall cancer risk, compared with the 2G/2G + 1G/1G homozygote (OR =1.06; 95% CI, 0.98-1.14) (Figure 2B). In the dominant model (2G/2G + 1G/2G vs 1G/1G), the overall pooled effect demonstrated that the 2G/2G + 1G/2G genotypes were associated with a significantly increased overall cancer risk, compared with the 1G/1G homozygote (OR =1.11; 95% CI, 1.08-1.15) (Figure 2C).

Heterogeneity analysis

Heterogeneity was assessed using the χ^2 -based *Q*-test among studies in the overall comparisons analysis. Heterogeneity was found in the pooling models (*P*<0.1 in all models); thus, the fixed-effects model was used to produce an extended pool of studies with 95% CIs. No significant heterogeneity can be



Figure I Flowchart of study selection procedure of this meta-analysis.

Abbreviations: EMBASE, Excerpta Medica Database; CNKI, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure; MMP1, matrix metalloproteinase-1.

seen among the six comparisons using the dominant model, recessive model, or allelic contrast.

Publication bias

For *MMP1*–1607 1G>2G, Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test provided no evidence of publication bias in an allele model (2G vs 1G, Egger's test: 95% CI, -4.65 to -4.81, P=0.964; Begg's test: P=0.851). Similarly, there was no evidence of publication bias for *MMP1*–1607 1G>2G in a dominant model (2G/2G + 1G/2G vs Egger's test: 95% CI, -6.78 to -3.50, P=0.425; Begg's test: P=0.75), and in a recessive model (2G/2G vs 1G/2G + 1G/1G, Egger's test: 95% CI, -7.48 to -6.22, P=0.81; Begg's test: P=0.851) (Figure 3). These findings demonstrated that publication bias, if any, did not significantly affect the results of our current meta-analysis for the association between *MMP1*–1607 and GC risk.

Discussion

MMPs can degrade the extracellular matrix and basement membrane, which is an important event in many physiological and pathological processes, including tumor invasion and metastasis. MMP expression has been found in a variety of human tumors and is significantly correlated with tumor invasion, metastasis, and therapeutic response.²¹ However, certain members of the MMP family exert contradicting roles at different stages during cancer progression, depending upon other factors and upon the tumor stage, tumor site, enzyme localization, and substrate profile.²² The expression level of the *MMP1* gene was found to be increased in various tumors and was related to a poor prognosis in several types of cancers. *MMP1* expression can be regulated by the *MMP1* promoter. The polymorphism at position –1607 among the *MMP1* promoters determined the increased *MMP1* transcriptional level, which is attributed to its 2G allele generating a core-binding site for the E26 transformation-specific (ETS) transcription factor family, leading to the increased *MMP1* expression.²³

There were six articles^{15–20} containing six studies, including 1,377 GC cases and 1,543 non-cancer controls used in the present meta-analysis. The procedure of meta-analysis was performed by using STRATA version 12.0 software. We found that individuals with the 2G allele and 1G/2G + 2G/2Ggenotypes had a higher risk of GC for all models, including allele and dominant models. Interestingly, in a recessive model, there was no significant difference between 2G/2G genotype and 1G/2G + 1G/1G genotypes. These results indicated that 2G allele and heterozygote 2G might affect the individual's phenotype more than other genotypes; the 2G allele or 1G/2G genotype carriers therefore seemed more susceptible to cancer development than 1G allele genotype carriers, or 1G/1G genotype carriers.

In the past several years, other studies have also found that the 2G allele is associated with an increased risk of other cancers. Zhang et al¹² have reported that the *MMP1*–1607 1G>2G polymorphism is associated with an increased risk of head and neck cancer. Hu et al's results showed that the *MMP1* rs1799750 polymorphism is associated with a decreased risk

First author's name (year)	Country	Genotype n	nethod	Select	ion/char	acteristics of	cases		Selection/charac	teristics of controls	Ref
Matsumura et al	Japan	PCR-RFLP		215 ga	stric canc	er patients, 153	males and 62 femal	es (median	166 healthy contro	ol subjects, 95 males	20
(2004)	- -			age 67	7±11.4 ye	ars), including l	122 patients with an	intestinal	and 71 females		
				type of	gastric c	ancer and 93 pa	tients with a diffuse	type			
Jin et al	People's Republic of Chir	na PCR		183 pa	tients wit	h gastric cardiac	: adenocarcinoma,		350 healthy individ	uals, 229 males and	61
(2005)				134 m;	iles and 4	9 females, avera	ige age 55.0±10.5 ye	ears	121 females, averag	te age 51.7±10.7 years	
Fang et al	People's Republic of Chir	na PCR-RFLP		246 ga	stric canc	er patients, 163	males and 83 femal	es,	252 normal contrc	ols, 167 males and	18
(2013)				averag	e age 57.9	±11.8 years			85 females, average	e age 58.8±11.2 years	
Devulapalli et al	India	PCR-RFLP		166 ga	stric canc	er patients, 118	males and 48 femal	es,	202 normal contrc	ols, 132 males and	16
(2014)				82.53%	i ≥50 yea	rs			70 females, 62.37%	i ≥50 years	
Dey et al	India	PCR		145 ga	stric canc	er patients, 112	males and 33 femal	es,	145 normal contrc	ols, 81 males and	17
(2014)				range	12.6-65.8	years			64 females, range 3	34.5-62.5 years	
Hua et al	People's Republic of Chir	na PCR		422 ga	stric canc	er patients, 237	males and 185 fem	ales,	428 gastric cancer	patients, range	15
(2014)				range 4	ł2.2±5.6 y	ears			43.3±4.6 years		
Note: Equations sno Abbreviations: PCI	w data are presenced as mean ± s 3, polymerase chain reaction; RFL	P, restriction fragment lei	ığth polymorpl	.m							
Table 2 Sample	sizes and MMP1–1607 1G	i>2G allele and gen	otype distri	butions	in the st	udies consider	red in the presen	t meta-analys	Si		
Gene Fii	rst author's name Cas	ses			Ŭ	ontrols			HWE of control	Frequency of IG	Quality*
Ň)	ear)			0					(P-value)	allele in controls	
>		27/21 27/27	כוכ	2	ב כ	りてらて	וכ/זכ וכיוכ	シーシフ	(anima)		

Gene	First author's name	Case	S					Cont	rols					HWE of control	Frequency of IG	Quali
	(year)	z	2G/2G	I G/2G	DI/DI	50	<u>u</u>	z	2G/2G	IG/2G	ופ/ופ	2G	<u>u</u>	(P-value)	allele in controls	
MMP1-1607	Matsumura et al ²⁰ (2004)	215	101	88	26	290	140	166	88	61	17	237	95	Y (0.1953)	0.713855	7
IG/2G	Jin et al ¹⁹ (2005)	183	112	51	20	275	16	350	194	105	51	493	207	N (0.0000)	0.704286	7
	Fang et al ¹⁸ (2010)	246	I 55	85	6	395	67	252	161	78	13	400	104	Y (0.3826)	0.793651	7
	Devulapalli et al ¹⁶ (2014)	166	46	114	6	206	126	202	50	130	22	230	174	N (0.0000)	0.569307	7
	Dey et al ¹⁷ (2014)	145	56	66	23	178	112	145	53	72	20	178	112	Y (0.2713)	0.613793	9
	Hua et al ⁴ (2014)	422	186	187	49	559	285	428	158	195	75	511	345	Y (0.5686)	0.596963	7
Note: *Represe Abbreviations	ants the confidence of the study. : MMP1, matrix metalloproteinas	se-1; HV	VE, Hardy–V	Veinberg equi	librium; N, n	umber c	f subject	S.								

Peng and Xu

Table 3 Meta-analysis of the association	between the studied MMP	I alleles and GC in different	populations
--	-------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------

Gene	Genotypes	Group	Fixed-effects mod	el			Heterog	eneity
			OR (95% CI)	Z	Р	χ^2	l² (%)	P _{Q-test}
MMP1-1607	2G vs IG	Total	1.05 (1.01–1.06)	2.49	0.013	9.21	45.7	0.101
IG/2G	2G/2G vs 2G/IG + IG/IG	Total	1.06 (0.989-1.14)	1.52	0.129	6.57	23.9	0.254
	2G/2G + 2G/IG vs IG/IG	Total	1.11 (1.08–1.15)	6.14	0.000	4.51	0	0.478

Abbreviations: GC, gastric cancer; MMP1, matrix metalloproteinase-1; OR, odds ratio; Cl, confidence interval; vs, versus.



В	Study		OR (95% CI)	% weight
	Matsumura et al ²⁰		0.89 (0.72–1.08)	15.36
	Jin et al ¹⁹		1.10 (0.95–1.28)	20.60
	Fang et al ¹⁸		0.99 (0.86–1.13)	24.60
	Devulapalli et al ¹⁶		1.12 (0.79–1.58)	6.98
	Dey et al ¹⁷		- 1.06 (0.79–1.42)	8.20
	Hua et al⁴		- 1.19 (1.01–1.41)	24.26
	Overall (I ² =23.9%, P=0.254)		1.06 (0.98–1.14)	100.00
	0.633	1	1.58	

Figure 2 (Continued)



Figure 2 Meta-analysis of the association between GC and the MMP1-1607 1G>2G polymorphisms.

Notes: (A) MMP1-1607 IG>2G allele model (2G vs IG), among all populations in the fixed-effects model. (B) MMP1-1607 IG>2G recessive model (2G/2G vs IG/2G + IG/1G), among all populations in the fixed-effects model. (C) MMP1-1607 IG>2G dominant model (2G/2G + IG/2G vs IG/1G), among all populations in the fixed-effects model.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; MMP1, matrix metalloproteinase-1; GC, gastric cancer; vs, versus.



Figure 3 Begg's funnel plot for publication bias test. Each point represents a separate study for the indicated association. Log (OR) is the natural logarithm of OR. Horizontal line is the effect size.

Notes: (A) $MMPI-1607 \ IG>2G$, $2G \ vs \ IG$; (B) $MMPI-1607 \ IG>2G$, $2G/2G \ vs \ IG/2G + IG/IG$; (C) $MMPI-1607 \ IG>G$, $2G/2G + IG/2G \ vs \ IG/IG$. Abbreviations: SE, standard error; OR, odds ratio; MMPI, matrix metalloproteinase-1; vs, versus. of lung cancer in Asian, but not in Caucasian subjects.²⁴ Taken together, the relationship between *MMP1* rs1799750 polymorphism and cancer risk may be disease-specific and may depend on other factors, such as race, age, habits, etc. There are another two studies that relate to GC and *MMP1*, included in Li et al (2013)²⁵ and Yang et al (2014).²⁶ Meanwhile, Li's study^{14,25} gathered data before August 2011, and Yang's before June 2013. In our results, there are four more studies involved, indicating the advantages of our study compared to previously published similar studies.

Recently, multiple therapeutic agents named matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors (MMPIs) have been developed to target MMPs and to control their enzymatic activity.²² MMP1–1607 1G>2G polymorphism may be regarded as a target of MMPIs in treatment of GC in the future.

Study limitations

There are some limitations to the current study. First, we have collected all eligible studies, but the study number was not large and the numbers of patients examined were small. Second, we did not assess the potential effects of other factors such as differences in race. Third, only one SNP in *MMP1* was included in this study. Some other SNPs in *MMP1* also could contribute to susceptibility to GC. The effects of these SNPs and the interaction or network among these related genes should also be studied in the future.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our present meta-analysis indicates that $MMP1-1607 \ 1G>2G$ polymorphism is associated with GC risk. However, our results should be further validated with larger samples and in different ethnic populations, due to the limited study numbers and relatively small sample sizes.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Bou Kheir T, Futoma-Kazmierczak E, Jacobsen A, et al. miR-449 inhibits cell proliferation and is down-regulated in gastric cancer. *Mol Cancer*. 2011;10:29.
- Reeves GK, Pirie K, Green J, Bull D, Beral V; Million Women Study Collaborators. Comparison of the effects of genetic and environmental risk factors on in situ and invasive ductal breast cancer. *Int J Cancer*. 2012; 131:930–937.
- 3. Wang XQ, Terry PD, Yan H. Review of salt consumption and stomach cancer risk: epidemiological and biological evidence. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2009;15:2204–2213.
- Hua HB, Yan TT, Sun QM. miRNA polymorphisms and risk of gastric cancer in Asian population. World J Gastroenterol. 2014;20: 5700–5707.
- Du X, Wang S, Lu J, et al. Correlation between *MMP1*-PAR1 axis and clinical outcome of primary gallbladder carcinoma. *Jpn J Clin Oncol.* 2011;41:1086–1093.

- 6. Egeblad M, Werb Z. New functions for the matrix metalloproteinases in cancer progression. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2002;2:161–174.
- Chimal-Ramírez GK, Espinoza-Sánchez NA, Utrera-Barillas D, et al. MMP1, MMP9, and COX2 expressions in promonocytes are induced by breast cancer cells and correlate with collagen degradation, transformation-like morphological changes in MCF-10A acini, and tumor aggressiveness. *Biomed Res Int*. 2013;2013:279505.
- 8. Decock J, Hendrickx W, Vanleeuw U, et al. Plasma *MMP1* and MMP8 expression in breast cancer: protective role of MMP8 against lymph node metastasis. *BMC Cancer*. 2008;8:77.
- Decock J, Paridaens R, Ye S. Genetic polymorphisms of matrix metalloproteinases in lung, breast and colorectal cancer. *Clin Genet.* 2008; 73:197–211.
- Lu X, Wang Q, Hu G, et al. ADAMTS1 and *MMP1* proteolytically engage EGF-like ligands in an osteolytic signaling cascade for bone metastasis. *Genes Dev.* 2009;23:1882–1894.
- 11. Rutter JL, Mitchell TI, Butticè G, et al. A single nucleotide polymorphism in the matrix metalloproteinase-1 promoter creates an Ets binding site and augments transcription. *Cancer Res.* 1998;58:5321–5325.
- Zhang C, Song X, Zhu M, et al. Association between *MMP1*-1607 1G>2G polymorphism and head and neck cancer risk: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2013;8:e56294.
- Fakhoury H, Noureddine S, Chmaisse HN, Tamim H, Makki RF. MMP1-1607(1G>2G) polymorphism and the risk of lung cancer in Lebanon. Ann Thorac Med. 2012;7:130–132.
- Li C, Fu W, Zhang Y, et al. Meta-analysis of microRNA-146a rs2910164 G>C polymorphism association with autoimmune diseases susceptibility, an update based on 24 studies. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0121918.
- Dedong H, Bin Z, Peisheng S, Hongwei X, Qinghui Y. The contribution of the genetic variations of the matrix metalloproteinase-1 gene to the genetic susceptibility of gastric cancer. *Genet Test Mol Biomarkers*. 2014;18:675–682.
- Devulapalli K, Bhayal AC, Porike SK, et al. Role of interstitial collagenase gene promoter polymorphism in the etiology of gastric cancer. *Saudi J Gastroenterol*. 2014;20:309–314.
- Dey S, Ghosh N, Saha D, Kesh K, Gupta A, Swarnakar S. Matrix metalloproteinase-1 (MMP-1) Promoter polymorphisms are well linked with lower stomach tumor formation in eastern Indian population. *PLoS One.* 2014;9:e88040.
- Fang WL, Liang WB, Gao LB, Zhou B, Xiao FL, Zhang L. Genetic polymorphisms in Matrix Metalloproteinases-1 and -7 and susceptibility to gastric cancer: an association study and meta-analysis. *Iran J Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2013;12:203–210.
- Jin X, Kuang G, Wei LZ, et al. No association of the matrix metalloproteinase 1 promoter polymorphism with susceptibility to esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and gastric cardiac adenocarcinoma in northern China. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2005;11:2385–2389.
- Matsumura S, Oue N, Kitadai Y, et al. A single nucleotide polymorphism in the MMP-1 promoter is correlated with histological differentiation of gastric cancer. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol*. 2004;130:259–265.
- Luukkaa H, Klemi P, Hirsimäki P, et al. Matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-7 in salivary gland cancer. *Acta Oncol.* 2010;49:85–90.
- 22. Gialeli C, Theocharis AD, Karamanos NK. Roles of matrix metalloproteinases in cancer progression and their pharmacological targeting. *FEBS J*. 2011;278:16–27.
- Hart K, Landvik NE, Lind H, Skaug V, Haugen A, Zienolddiny S. A combination of functional polymorphisms in the CASP8, *MMP1*, IL10 and SEPS1 genes affects risk of non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer*. 2011;71:123–129.
- Hu J, Pan J, Luo ZG. *MMP1* rs1799750 single nucleotide polymorphism and lung cancer risk: a meta-analysis. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2012;13:5981–5984.
- Li X, Qu L, Zhong Y, Zhao Y, Chen H, Daru L. Association between promoters polymorphisms of matrix metalloproteinases and risk of digestive cancers: a meta-analysis. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol*. 2013;139:1433–1447.
- Yang TF, Guo L, Wang Q. Meta-analysis of associations between four polymorphisms in the matrix metalloproteinases gene and gastric cancer risk. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2014;15:1263–1267.

Criteria	Score
I. Representativeness of cases	
Gastric cancer diagnosed according to acknowledged criteria	2
Mentioned the diagnosed criteria but not specifically described	I
Not mentioned	0
2. Source of controls	
Population or community-based	3
Hospital-based GC-free controls	2
Healthy volunteers without total description	I
GC-free controls with related diseases	0.5
Not described	0
3. Sample size	
>300	2
200–300	I
<200	0
4. Quality control of genotyping methods	
Repetition of partial/total tested samples with a different method	2
Repetition of partial/total tested samples with the same method	I
Not described	0
5. Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE)	
Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium in control subjects	I
Hardy–Weinberg disequilibrium in control subjects	0

Abbreviation: GC, gastric cancer.

OncoTargets and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic agents and protocols on

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal

patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.