#### **∂** Open Access Full Text Article

#### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

# The clinicopathological significance and ethnic difference of *FHIT* hypermethylation in non-small-cell lung carcinoma: a meta-analysis and literature review

Xiaoyu Wu<sup>1,\*</sup> Guannan Wu<sup>1,\*</sup> Xuequan Yao<sup>1</sup> Gang Hou<sup>2</sup> Feng Jiang<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Surgical Oncology, Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, <sup>2</sup>Department of Respiratory Medicine, The First Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, <sup>3</sup>Department of Thoracic Surgery, Jiangsu Cancer Hospital, The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, People's Republic of China

\*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Feng Jiang Department of Thoracic Surgery, Jiangsu Cancer Hospital, The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, 42 Baiziting, Nanjing 210009, People's Republic of China Email fengjiang69@sina.com

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com
Dovepress

http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.\$85253

Abstract: Emerging evidence indicates that FHIT is a candidate tumor suppressor in many types of tumors including non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC). However, the prognostic value and correlation between FHIT hypermethylation and clinicopathological characteristics of NSCLC remains unclear. In this report, we performed a meta-analysis to evaluate the effects of FHIT hypermethylation on the incidence of NSCLC and clinicopathological characteristics of human NSCLC patients. Final analysis of 1,801 NSCLC patients from 18 eligible studies was performed. FHIT hypermethylation was found to be significantly higher in NSCLC than in normal lung tissue. The pooled odds ratio (OR) from ten studies included 819 NSCLC and 792 normal lung tissues (OR =7.51, 95% confidence interval [CI] =2.98-18.91, P<0.0001). Subgroup analysis based on ethnicity implied that FHIT hypermethylation level was higher in NSCLC tissues than in normal tissues in both Caucasians (P=0.02) and Asians (P<0.0001), indicating that the difference in Asians was much more significant. FHIT hypermethylation was also correlated with sex status, smoking status, as well as pathological types. In addition, patients with FHIT hypermethylation had a lower survival rate than those without (hazard ratio =1.73, 95% CI =1.10–2.71, P=0.02). The results of this meta-analysis suggest that FHIT hypermethylation is associated with an increased risk and poor survival in NSCLC patients. FHIT hypermethylation, which induces the inactivation of FHIT gene, plays an important role in the carcinogenesis and clinical outcome and may serve as a potential diagnostic marker and drug target of NSCLC.

**Keywords:** FHIT, methylation, tumor suppressor gene, meta-analysis, odds ratio, hazard ratio

#### Introduction

Lung cancers consist of two major histological types, non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) and small-cell lung carcinoma. NSCLC consists of squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), adenocarcinoma (AD), large-cell carcinoma, and others. NSCLC accounts for ~85% of all lung cancers, and there are ~80% of NSCLC cases in advanced stage where the prognosis remains poor.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, investigation of the mechanism of initiation, progression, and identification of prognostic markers is still needed for the selection of patients with NSCLC and to provide better individualized treatment. In recent years, a number of new tools, such as protein–protein interaction prediction approach,<sup>2</sup> pathway data integration,<sup>3</sup> and gene transcription analysis,<sup>4</sup> were widely used in the study of epigenetic regulation and modification. Epigenetic alterations, particularly aberrant DNA methylation, one of the best-characterized epigenetic modifications,

Drug Design, Development and Therapy 2016:10 699-709

© 2016 Wu et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraph 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (http://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

699

contribute to tumor initiation and progression.<sup>5,6</sup> Aberrant methylation of CpG dinucleotides is a commonly observed epigenetic modification in human cancer.<sup>5–7</sup> Thus, the analysis of specific gene promoter methylation as a tool for diagnosis of tumors or its use as prognostic marker has been widely used for many different cancers including NSCLC.<sup>8</sup>

FHIT, also known as bis(5'-adenosyl)-triphosphatase, is one of the histidine triad gene family members and is an enzyme encoded by the FHIT gene.9,10 The FHIT gene locates the most common fragile site in the human genome, FRA3B (3p14.2), a region which frequently undergoes genomic rearrangement, biallelic loss, and cytogenetic abnormalities in tumors.9,11,12 Previous reports showed that FHIT was inactivated by the loss of heterozygosity and methylation in cancer cells, which indicated that FHIT is a tumor suppressor protein.<sup>13,14</sup> Its precise function has been intensively studied in several tumors, by inducing cell cycle arrest and apoptosis, inhibition of cell proliferation, and increasing cell sensitivity to DNA-damaging agents.15-17 Lack of expression of FHIT protein by promoter methylation (hypermethylation) has been found to play an important role in lung alveolar differentiation regulation and epithelial tumorigenesis.<sup>18-21</sup> Although previous studies indicated that inactivation of the FHIT is mainly induced by hypermethylation of FHIT gene, the reported rates of FHIT hypermethylation in NSCLC were remarkably diverse. Moreover, whether or not it is associated with the incidence and clinical characteristics of NSCLC is still unclear. The various results of these studies underpin the need for assessing the evidence of the relationship between FHIT inactivation and NSCLC. Hence, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to quantitatively evaluate the effects of FHIT hypermethylation on the incidence and clinical characteristics of NSCLC.

#### Materials and methods Search strategy and selection criteria

We searched PubMed, Embase, and ISI Web of Knowledge to identify studies from May 1, 1998 to October, 2015 using the search terms "lung" and "cancer or tumor or neoplasm or carcinoma", "methylation", and "FHIT or Fragile histidine triad protein or Bis (5'-adenosyl)-triphosphatase". We also searched manually for the reference lists of the retrieved articles and reviews for additional articles.

Although our search did not have language limits initially, for the full-text reading and final evaluation, we only performed the review of the studies published in English language. After exclusion of nonrelevant and/or redundant publications from different databases, the remaining papers were evaluated in the full-text version for inclusion and exclusion criteria and for relevant articles in the reference lists. All searched data were retrieved. Authors' bibliographies and references of selected studies were also searched for other relevant studies. The most complete study was chosen to avoid duplication if the same patient populations were reported in several publications.

Criteria that an eligible study had to meet were as follows: (1) *FHIT* hypermethylation evaluated in the primary NSCLC tissues, (2) research revealed the relationship between *FHIT* hypermethylation and NSCLC clinicopathological parameters and prognosis, (3) *FHIT* hypermethylation examined by polymerase chain reaction, and (4) studies provided sufficient information to estimate hazard ratio (HR) about overall survival (OS) and 95% confidence interval (CI). The exclusion criteria included the following: (1) letters, reviews, case reports, conference abstracts, editorials, and expert opinion, and (2) all publications regarding in vitro/ex vivo studies, cell lines, and human xenografts were also excluded.

# Data extraction and methodological assessment

Two authors (XW and GW) independently reviewed and extracted data from eligible studies. Disagreements were resolved by discussion and consensus. Two authors (XY and GH) reviewed all the articles that fit inclusion and exclusion criteria. The following information was recorded for each study: the first author name, year of publication, sample source, number of cases, clinicopathological parameters, cancer tumor node metastasis stage, methylation detection method, methylation rate and/or expression, and follow-up. Data for study characteristics and clinical responses were summarized and organized into a table format. Heterogeneity of investigation was evaluated to determine whether or not the data of the various studies could be analyzed for a metaanalysis.

For the methodological evaluation of the studies, three investigators (XW, GW, and XY) read through each publication independently, and they assessed and scored them according to the REMARK guidelines and ELCWP quality scale.<sup>22,23</sup> The three readers provided the quality scores and compared them, and then they reached a consensus value for each item.

#### Statistical analysis

Analysis was conducted using the STATA 12.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA) and Review Manager 5.2 (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK). The pooled

frequency of *FHIT* hypermethylation and 95% CIs were estimated. The frequency of *FHIT* hypermethylation was compared in different tumor characteristics. Heterogeneity among studies was evaluated with Cochran's *Q* test<sup>24</sup> and the *I*<sup>2</sup> statistic.<sup>25,26</sup> When heterogeneity was not an issue (*I*<sup>2</sup> values <50%), a fixed-effect model was used to calculate parameters. If there was substantial heterogeneity (*I*<sup>2</sup> values  $\geq$ 50%), a random-effect model was used to pool data and attempt to identify potential sources of heterogeneity based on subgroup analyses. The pooled OR was estimated for the association between *FHIT* hypermethylation and clinicopathological features. *P*-values tailed <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Publication bias was assessed by using a method reported by Egger et al.<sup>27</sup> We also explored reasons for statistical heterogeneity using meta-regression, subgroup analysis, and sensitivity analysis. The analysis of meta-regression and publication bias was performed by using STATA version 10.0.

#### Results

Seventy publications were identified by the search method as described in the "Materials and methods" section. Fifty-two

of those were excluded as they were laboratory studies, non-original articles (review), or studies irrelevant to the current analysis. Eventually, there were 18 studies from 2001 to 2014 included in final meta-analysis<sup>19,28-44</sup> as shown in Figure 1. A total of 1,801 NSCLC patients from People's Republic of China, South Korea, Japan, Egypt, Italy, and USA were enrolled. Their basic characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

We first determined that *FHIT* hypermethylation was significantly higher in NSCLC than in normal lung tissues. The pooled odds ratio (OR) from ten studies including 819 NSCLC and 792 normal lung tissues is shown in Figure 2 (OR =7.51, 95% CI =2.98–18.91, P<0.0001), indicating that *FHIT* hypermethylation plays an important role in the carcinogenesis of NSCLC. Subgroup analysis based on ethnicity implied that *FHIT* hypermethylation level was higher in NSCLC tissues than in normal tissues in both Caucasians (P=0.02) and Asians (P<0.0001) as shown in Figure 3, indicating that the difference in Asians was much more significant. Next, we determined whether or not *FHIT* hypermethylation rate was correlated with sex status. The pooled OR from eight studies included NSCLC from 742 males and 298 females, as shown in Figure 4 (OR =1.44, 95% CI =1.07–1.94, P=0.02),



Figure I Flow chart of study selection.

| Study                                | Country                    | Patients | Methods                    | Primary aim  |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------------------------|--|
| Haroun et al <sup>28</sup>           | Egypt                      | 28       | MSP                        | Analyze the methylation status of three tumor suppressors in NSCLC   |
| Li et al <sup>29</sup>               | People's Republic of China | 56       | MSP                        | Analyze the methylation status of three tumor suppressors in NSCLC   |
| Yanagawa et al <sup>32</sup>         | Japan                      | 62       | MSP                        | Determine the methylation status of ten tumor suppressor genes in NSCLC  |
| Song et al <sup>33</sup>             | People's Republic of China | 78       | MSP/RT-PCR                 | Determine the methylation status of five<br>tumor suppressors in NSCLC   |
| Li et al <sup>34</sup>               | People's Republic of China | 123      | MSP                        | Assess the methylation status of FHIT in NSCLC   |
| Li et al <sup>35</sup>               | People's Republic of China | 52       | MSP/RT-PCR                 | Determine methylation status and protein expression of <i>FHIT</i> in NSCLC  |
| Verri et al <sup>19</sup>            | Italy                      | 187      | MSP/immuno-histochemistry  | Investigate the different molecular alterations leading to the inactivation of <i>FHIT</i> in NSCLC                  |
| Hsu et al <sup>31</sup>              | People's Republic of China | 63       | MSP                        | Determine hypermethylation status of six   |
| Yanagawa et al <sup>36</sup>         | Japan                      | 101      | MSP                        | Determine the methylation status of ten genes  |
| Kim et al <sup>37</sup>              | South Korea                | 99       | MSP                        | Determine methylation patterns of eight tumor<br>suppressor genes in NSCLC   |
| Kim et al <sup>38</sup>              | South Korea                | 335      | MSP                        | Determine methylation of five genes in NSCLC   |
| Nakata et al <sup>39</sup>           | Japan                      | 139      | MSP/immuno-histochemistry  | Determine the inactivation of <i>p16</i> , <i>CDH1</i> , and <i>FHIT</i> in NSCLC                                    |
| lliopoulos et al <sup>40</sup>       | USA                        | 24       | MSP/immuno-histochemistry  | Determine the inactivation of <i>FHIT</i> and WWOX in breast cancer, bladder cancer, and NSCLC                       |
| Tomizawa et al <sup>41</sup>         | Japan                      | 54       | MSP                        | Investigate the aberrant methylation of $RAR\beta 2$ ,<br>RASSF1A, and FHIT in NSCLC                                 |
| Tzao et al <sup>42</sup>             | People's Republic of China | 44       | MSP/RT-PCR                 | Determine protein and mRNA expression, and hypermethylation of the <i>FHIT</i> gene in NSCLC                         |
| Kim et al <sup>43</sup>              | South Korea                | 125      | MSP                        | Examine the clinicopathological and prognostic significance of <i>FHIT</i> methylation in NSCLC                      |
| Maruyama et al <sup>44</sup>         | USA                        | 124      | MSP                        | Examine the correlation between the aberrant<br>methylation of multiple genes and survival<br>in patients with NSCLC |
| Zochbauer-Muller et al <sup>30</sup> | USA                        | 107      | MSP/northern blot analysis | Determine the correlation of protein and<br>hypermethylation status of <i>FHIT</i> in breast<br>cancer and NSCI C    |

Abbreviations: MSP, methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung carcinoma; RT-PCR, reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction.

indicating that *FHIT* hypermethylation was correlated with sex status and it was higher in male than female. Then, we determined whether or not *FHIT* hypermethylation rate was correlated with smoking status. The pooled OR from ten studies including 287 and 818 NSCLC patients with and without smoking history is shown in Figure 5 (OR =0.74, 95% CI =0.55–1.00, P=0.05), indicating that *FHIT* hypermethylation was correlated with smoking status in NSCLC patients. We also determined whether or not *FHIT* hypermethylation was correlated with pathological types. The pooled OR from ten studies including 528 SCC and 527 AD patients is shown in Figure 6 (OR =1.49, 95% CI =1.15–1.93, P=0.003), which indicates that *FHIT* hypermethylation plays a more important role in the pathogenesis of SCC.

We analyzed 366 NSCLC patients pooled from three studies to assess whether or not the aberrant *FHIT* hypermethylation in NSCLC was associated with the differentiated status. As shown in Figure 7A, aberrant *FHIT* hypermethylation was not significantly higher in poorly differentiated NSCLC than that in moderately or highly differentiated NSCLC (OR =1.30, 95% CI =0.80–2.09, P=0.29). In addition, aberrant *FHIT* hypermethylation was also not significantly higher in advanced NSCLC (III and IV) than that in early-staged NSCLC (I and II) (OR =1.17, 95% CI =0.75–1.83, P=0.50; Figure 7B). These results suggest that *FHIT* hypermethylation may not play an important role in NSCLC progression and differentiation stages. There are four studies estimating

1

| Study or<br>subgroup                 | NSCLC<br>Events  | ;<br>Total      | Normal<br>Events | lung tissue<br>Total | Weight | Odds ratio<br>M–H, random, (95% Cl) | Odds ra<br>M–H, ra | tio<br>ndom, 95% Cl |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Haroun et al <sup>28</sup>           | 15               | 28              | 1                | 28                   | 8.5%   | 31.15 (3.70, 262.06)                |                    |                     |
| Hsu et al <sup>31</sup>              | 24               | 63              | 5                | 36                   | 12.9%  | 3.82 (1.31, 11.15)                  |                    |                     |
| lliopoulos et al40                   | 9                | 24              | 2                | 24                   | 10.4%  | 6.60 (1.25, 34.95)                  |                    |                     |
| Li et al <sup>35</sup>               | 20               | 52              | 4                | 52                   | 12.5%  | 7.50 (2.34, 24.00)                  |                    |                     |
| Li et al <sup>34</sup>               | 42               | 123             | 0                | 105                  | 6.4%   | 110.03 (6.67, 1,814.87)             |                    |                     |
| Li et al <sup>29</sup>               | 19               | 56              | 0                | 56                   | 6.3%   | 58.76 (3.44, 1,003.02)              |                    | +                   |
| Song et al <sup>33</sup>             | 0                | 78              | 0                | 78                   |        | Not estimable                       |                    |                     |
| Verri et al19                        | 67               | 187             | 68               | 208                  | 15.2%  | 1.15 (0.76, 1.74)                   | _                  | <b>-</b>            |
| Yanagawa et al36                     | 34               | 101             | 7                | 101                  | 13.7%  | 6.81 (2.85, 16.29)                  |                    |                     |
| Zochbauer-Muller et al <sup>30</sup> | 40               | 107             | 9                | 104                  | 14.0%  | 6.30 (2.87, 13.85)                  |                    |                     |
| Total (95% CI)                       |                  | 819             |                  | 792                  | 100%   | 7.51 (2.98, 18.91)                  |                    | •                   |
| Total events                         | 270              |                 | 96               |                      |        |                                     |                    | -                   |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2=1.42$ ;       | χ²=49.5          | 9, <i>df</i> =8 | (P<0.00          | 001); /²=84%         | 6      |                                     | .                  |                     |
| Test for overall effect: Z           | =4.28 ( <i>P</i> | <0.000          | 1)               |                      |        | 0.01                                |                    | 10 10               |
|                                      |                  |                 |                  |                      |        | 0.01                                | NSCLC              | Normal lung tissue  |

Figure 2 The pooled OR from ten studies included 819 NSCLC and 792 normal lung tissues (OR =7.51, 95% CI =2.98–18.91, P<0.0001). Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung carcinoma; CI, confidence interval; M–H, Mantel–Haenszel test.

the relationship between *FHIT* hypermethylation and OS in NSCLC patients. The pooled HR for OS showed that *FHIT* hypermethylation was associated with poor survival in NSCLC patients as shown in Figure 8 (HR =1.73, 95% CI =1.10–2.71, P=0.02).

A sensitivity analysis, in which one study was removed at a time, was conducted to assess the result stability. The pooled ORs and HRs were not significantly changed, indicating the stability of our analyses. The funnel plots were largely symmetric (Figure 9A–G), suggesting that there

| A Study or subgroup |                                      | NSCLC<br>Events Total |                 | Normal lung tissue<br>Events Total |             | Weight | Odds ratio<br>M–H, random, (95% | CI)  | Odds ratio<br>M–H, random, 95% Cl |       |            |      |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-------------|--------|---------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|-------|------------|------|
|                     | Haroun et al <sup>28</sup>           | 15                    | 28              | 1                                  | 28          | 18.2%  | 31.15 (3.70, 262.06)            |      |                                   | -     |            |      |
|                     | lliopoulos et al40                   | 9                     | 24              | 2                                  | 24          | 21.8%  | 6.60 (1.25, 34.95)              |      |                                   |       |            | -    |
|                     | Verri et al <sup>19</sup>            | 67                    | 187             | 68                                 | 208         | 31.0%  | 1.15 (0.76, 1.74)               |      |                                   |       |            |      |
|                     | Zochbauer-Muller et al <sup>30</sup> | 40                    | 107             | 9                                  | 104         | 28.9%  | 6.30 (2.87, 13.85)              |      |                                   | _     | <u> </u>   |      |
|                     | Total (95% CI)                       |                       | 346             |                                    | 364         | 100%   | 5.03 (1.25, 20.14)              |      |                                   |       |            |      |
|                     | Total events                         | 131                   |                 | 80                                 |             |        |                                 |      |                                   |       |            |      |
|                     | Heterogeneity: $\tau^2$ =1.57;       | $\chi^2 = 23.74$      | 1, <i>df</i> =3 | (P<0.000                           | 01); /²=87% | ,      |                                 | H    |                                   |       |            |      |
|                     | Test for overall effect: Z:          | <br>=2.28 (P:         | =0.02)          |                                    |             |        |                                 | 0.01 | 0.1                               | 1     | 10         | 100  |
|                     |                                      | - (                   | . ,             |                                    |             |        |                                 |      | NSCLC                             | Norma | l lung tis | ssue |

| Study or<br>subgroup         | NSCLC<br>Events           | NSCLC<br>Events Total |          | lung tissue<br>Total | Weight | Odds ratio<br>M–H, random, (95% Cl) | Odds ratio<br>M–H, random, 95% Cl |                    |  |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------|----------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Hsu et al <sup>31</sup>      | 24                        | 63                    | 5        | 36                   | 26.8%  | 3.82 (1.31, 11.15)                  |                                   |                    |  |
| Li et al35                   | 20                        | 52                    | 4        | 52                   | 25.3%  | 7.50 (2.34, 24.00)                  |                                   |                    |  |
| Li et al <sup>34</sup>       | 42                        | 123                   | 0        | 105                  | 8.9%   | 110.03 (6.67, 1,814.87)             |                                   | →                  |  |
| Li et al <sup>29</sup>       | 19                        | 56                    | 0        | 56                   | 8.7%   | 58.76 (3.44, 1,003.02)              |                                   |                    |  |
| Song et al33                 | 0                         | 78                    | 0        | 78                   |        | Not estimable                       |                                   |                    |  |
| Yanagawa et al <sup>36</sup> | 34                        | 101                   | 7        | 101                  | 30.3%  | 6.81 (2.85, 16.29)                  |                                   |                    |  |
| Total (95% CI)               |                           | 473                   |          | 428                  | 100%   | 9.24 (3.59, 23.76)                  |                                   |                    |  |
| Total events                 | 139                       |                       | 16       |                      |        |                                     |                                   |                    |  |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2=0.5$  | 57; χ <sup>2</sup> =8.82, | df=4 (                | P=0.07); | l²=55%               |        | L                                   |                                   |                    |  |
| Test for overall effect      | : Z=4.61 (P               | <0.000                | 01)      |                      |        | 0.01                                | 0.1                               | 1 10 100           |  |
|                              |                           |                       |          |                      |        |                                     | NSCLC                             | Normal lung tissue |  |

Figure 3 FHIT hypermethylation in NSCLC and normal lung tissues.

Notes: The pooled OR from four studies from Caucasians included 131 NSCLC and 80 normal lung tissues (OR =5.03, 95% Cl = 1.25–20.14, P=0.02) (**A**). The pooled OR from six studies from Asians included 473 NSCLC and 428 normal lung tissues (OR =9.24, 95% Cl =3.59–23.76, P<0.00001) (**B**). **Abbreviations:** OR, odds ratio; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung carcinoma; Cl, confidence interval; M–H, Mantel–Haenszel test.

| Study or<br>subgroup         | Male<br>Events     | Total             | Female<br>Events | Total | Weight | Odds ratio<br>M–H, fixed, (95% CI) | Odds ratio<br>M–H, fixed, 95% Cl |     |
|------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------|--------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----|
| Haroun et al <sup>28</sup>   | 13                 | 20                | 2                | 8     | 1.4%   | 5.57 (0.88, 35.27)                 |                                  |     |
| Kim et al43                  | 44                 | 155               | 24               | 99    | 28.4%  | 1.24 (0.70, 2.21)                  | -                                |     |
| Kim et al37                  | 30                 | 80                | 4                | 19    | 5.5%   | 2.25 (0.68, 7.41)                  |                                  |     |
| Li et al <sup>34</sup>       | 37                 | 104               | 5                | 19    | 7.4%   | 1.55 (0.52, 4.63)                  |                                  |     |
| Nakata et al <sup>39</sup>   | 92                 | 160               | 34               | 64    | 27.9%  | 1.19 (0.67, 2.14)                  |                                  |     |
| Tomizawa et al41             | 30                 | 77                | 13               | 43    | 13.8%  | 1.47 (0.66, 3.26)                  |                                  |     |
| Tzao et al42                 | 25                 | 74                | 3                | 17    | 4.4%   | 2.38 (0.63, 9.06)                  |                                  |     |
| Yanagawa et al <sup>36</sup> | 25                 | 72                | 9                | 29    | 11.3%  | 1.18 (0.47, 2.98)                  |                                  |     |
| Total (95% CI)               |                    | 742               |                  | 298   | 100%   | 1.44 (1.07, 1.94)                  | •                                |     |
| Total events                 | 296                |                   | 94               |       |        |                                    | •                                |     |
| Heterogeneity: $\chi^{2}$    | =4.00, <i>df</i> = | =7 ( <i>P</i> =0. | 78); /²=0%       | 1     |        | 1                                  |                                  |     |
| Test for overall eff         | ect: Z=2.4         | 40 ( <i>P</i> =0. | 02)              |       |        | 0.01                               | 0.1 1 10                         | 100 |
|                              |                    |                   |                  |       |        |                                    | Male Female                      |     |

Figure 4 The pooled OR from eight studies included NSCLC tissue from 742 males and 298 females (OR =1.44, 95% CI =1.07–1.94, P=0.02), which indicates that FHIT hypermethylation was correlated with sex status in NSCLC patients.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung carcinoma; Cl, confidence interval; M–H, Mantel–Haenszel test.

were no publication biases in the meta-analysis of *FHIT* hypermethylation and clinicopathological features.

#### Discussion

FHIT is genetically or epigenetically altered in many different kinds of primary and advanced carcinomas. Inactivation of *FHIT* by promoter hypermethylation plays an important role in tumorigenesis in several types of tumors including NSCLC.<sup>38,45–53</sup> To date, there have been some studies describing the methylation status of *FHIT* in NSCLC; however, the roles of methylation of *FHIT* in NSCLC and clinical significance have not been thoroughly investigated. We conducted

| Study or<br>subgroup                                     | Smoker<br>Events         | Total  | Never<br>Events | Total | Weight | Odds ratio<br>M–H, fixed, (95% | CI)  | Odds rat<br>M–H, fix/ | tio<br>ed, 95% Cl |     |
|--|--------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|--------|--------------------------------|------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----|
| Haroun et al <sup>28</sup>                               | 13                       | 19     | 2               | 9     | 0.8%   | 7.58 (1.20, 48.00)             |      |                       |                   | _   |
| Kim et al43  | 4                        | 20     | 64              | 234   | 7.8%   | 0.66 (0.21, 2.06)              |      |                       | <u> </u>          |     |
| Kim et al <sup>37</sup>                                  | 4                        | 20     | 30              | 79    | 9.4%   | 0.41 (0.12, 1.34)              |      |                       | +                 |     |
| Li et al <sup>35</sup>                                   | 10                       | 23     | 21              | 29    | 10.2%  | 0.29 (0.09, 0.93)              |      |                       | -                 |     |
| Li et al <sup>34</sup>                                   | 11                       | 32     | 31              | 91    | 10.3%  | 1.01 (0.43, 2.37)              |      |                       | +                 |     |
| Nakata et al <sup>39</sup>                               | 32                       | 65     | 81              | 140   | 25.3%  | 0.71 (0.39, 1.28)              |      | -                     |                   |     |
| Tomizawa et al41   | 13                       | 29     | 21              | 61    | 7.2%   | 1.55 (0.63, 3.82)              |      | -                     |                   |     |
| Tzao et al42   | 7                        | 25     | 21              | 66    | 8.1%   | 0.83 (0.30, 2.30)              |      |                       | •                 |     |
| Yanagawa et al <sup>36</sup>                             | 7                        | 28     | 27              | 73    | 10.9%  | 0.57 (0.21, 1.51)              |      |                       | <u> </u>          |     |
| Yanagawa et al <sup>32</sup>                             | 6                        | 26     | 16              | 36    | 10.0%  | 0.38 (0.12, 1.15)              |      |                       | +                 |     |
|  |                          |        |                 |       |        |                                |      |                       |                   |     |
| Total (95% CI)   |                          | 287    |                 | 818   | 100%   | 0.74 (0.55, 1.00)              |      |                       |                   |     |
| Total events   | 107                      |        | 314             |       |        |                                |      |                       |                   |     |
| Heterogeneity: $\chi^2$ =14.<br>Test for overall effect: | 42, df=9 (l<br>Z=1 95 (P | P=0.11 | ); /²=38%       |       |        |                                | L    |                       |                   |     |
|  |                          |        |                 |       |        |                                | 0.01 | 0.1                   | 1 10              | 100 |
|  |                          |        |                 |       |        |                                |      | Smoker                | Never             |     |

Figure 5 One thousand one hundred and five NSCLC patients with the smoking status pooled from ten studies. Note: Aberrant *FHIT* hypermethylation was correlated with the smoking status in NSCLC patients (OR =0.74, 95% CI =0.55–1.00, *P*=0.05). Abbreviations: NSCLC, non-small-cell lung carcinoma; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; M–H, Mantel–Haenszel test.

| Study or<br>subgroup                                   | Squamo<br>carcinon | Squamous cell<br>carcinoma |        | Adenocarcinoma |       | Odds ratio<br>M–H. fixed. (95% ( | CI) | Odds rat<br>M–H. fix∉ | io<br>ed. 95% Cl |   |
|--|--------------------|----------------------------|--------|----------------|-------|----------------------------------|-----|-----------------------|------------------|---|
|  | Events             | Total                      | Events | Total          |       |                                  | ,   |                       | ,                |   |
| Haroun et al <sup>28</sup>                             | 7                  | 9                          | 7      | 16             | 1.2%  | 4.50 (0.70, 28.79)               |     | -                     | · ·              |   |
| Kim et al43  | 40                 | 125                        | 24     | 93             | 20.1% | 1.35 (0.74, 2.46)                |     |                       | - <b>-</b> -     |   |
| Kim et al <sup>37</sup>                                | 23                 | 61                         | 11     | 38             | 9.1%  | 1.49 (0.62, 3.55)                |     | -                     |                  |   |
| Li et al <sup>35</sup>                                 | 22                 | 30                         | 9      | 22             | 3.0%  | 3.97 (1.23,12.84)                |     |                       |                  | _ |
| Li et al <sup>34</sup>                                 | 25                 | 68                         | 13     | 41             | 11.0% | 1.25 (0.55, 2.85)                |     | -                     |                  |   |
| Li et al <sup>29</sup>                                 | 9                  | 29                         | 6      | 17             | 5.6%  | 0.82 (0.23,2.93)                 |     |                       | •                |   |
| Nakata et al <sup>39</sup>                             | 50                 | 78                         | 64     | 126            | 18.9% | 1.73 (0.97, 3.09)                |     |                       |                  |   |
| Tomizawa et al41                                       | 18                 | 45                         | 24     | 72             | 11.9% | 1.33 (0.62, 2.89)                |     | -                     | •                |   |
| Tzao et al42   | 18                 | 44                         | 10     | 40             | 6.6%  | 2.08 (0.82, 5.29)                |     |                       |                  |   |
| Yanagawa et al <sup>36</sup>                           | 12                 | 39                         | 22     | 62             | 12.6% | 0.81 (0.34, 1.90)                |     | _                     | •                |   |
| Total (95% CI)   |                    | 528                        |        | 527            | 100%  | 1.49 (1.15, 1.93)                |     |                       | •                |   |
| Total events   | 224                |                            | 190    |                |       |                                  |     |                       | •                |   |
| Heterogeneity: $\chi^2=7$ .<br>Test for overall effect | 6                  |                            |        |                | 0.01  | 0.1<br>Squamous cell             | 1 1 | 0 10                  |                  |   |
|  |                    |                            |        |                |       |                                  |     | carcinoma             |                  |   |

Figure 6 The pooled OR from ten studies included 528 SCC and 527 AD patients (OR =1.49, 95% CI =1.15–1.93, P=0.003), indicating that FHIT hypermethylation plays a more important role in the pathogenesis of SCC.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; AD, adenocarcinoma; Cl, confidence interval; M–H, Mantel–Haenszel test.



|  |  |                                | ,                        |            |       |                    | St   | age III and I' | <b>v</b> : | Stage I and | 11  |
|--|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-------|--------------------|------|----------------|------------|-------------|-----|
| Total events<br>Heterogeneity: $\tau^2$ =<br>Test for overall effe | 145<br>:0.20; χ <sup>2</sup><br>ect: <i>Ζ</i> =0 | =14.38, c<br>.68 ( <i>P</i> =0 | 224<br>df=7 (P=0<br>.50) | 0.04); /²= | 51%   |                    | 0.01 | 0.1            | 1          | 10          | 100 |
| Total (95% CI)   |  | 355                            |                          | 664        | 100%  | 1.17 (0.75, 1.83)  |      |                | •          |             |     |
| Yanagawa et al <sup>36</sup>                                       | 7  | 26                             | 11                       | 75         | 10.3% | 2.14 (0.73, 6.29)  |      |                | +          |             |     |
| Tzao et al42   | 11   | 45                             | 17                       | 46         | 12.5% | 0.55 (0.22, 1.37)  |      |                | •          |             |     |
| Tomizawa et al41   | 10   | 32                             | 33                       | 86         | 13.1% | 0.73 (0.31, 1.73)  |      |                | -          |             |     |
| Nakata et al39   | 55   | 101                            | 71                       | 123        | 18.8% | 0.88 (0.52, 1.49)  |      |                | -          |             |     |
| Li et al <sup>29</sup>   | 15   | 34                             | 4                        | 22         | 8.3%  | 3.55 (0.99, 12.75) |      |                | -          | •           |     |
| Li et al <sup>34</sup>   | 29   | 66                             | 13                       | 57         | 14.2% | 2.65 (1.21, 5.83)  |      |                | -          |             |     |
| Li et al <sup>35</sup>   | 10   | 24                             | 15                       | 28         | 10.0% | 0.62 (0.21, 1.86)  |      |                | •          |             |     |
| Kim et al43  | 8  | 27                             | 60                       | 227        | 12.9% | 1.17 (0.49, 2.82)  |      |                |            | -           |     |

Figure 7 FHIT hypermethylation in NSCLC in the differentiated status and clinical stages.

**Notes:** Three hundred and sixty-six NSCLC patients were pooled from three studies to assess whether or not the aberrant *FHIT* hypermethylation in NSCLC was associated with the differentiated status. Aberrant *FHIT* hypermethylation was not significantly higher in poorly differentiated NSCLC than that in moderately and highly differentiated NSCLC (OR =1.30, 95% CI =0.80–2.09, P=0.29) (**A**). Aberrant *FHIT* hypermethylation was also not significantly higher in advanced NSCLC (III and IV) than that in early-staged NSCLC (I and II) (OR =1.17, 95% CI =0.75–1.83, P=0.50) (**B**).

Abbreviations: NSCLC, non-small-cell lung carcinoma; OR, odds ratio; Cl, confidence interval; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel test.



Figure 8 Four studies included were investigated for the relationship between OS and *FHIT* hypermethylation. Note: The pooled HR for OS showed that *FHIT* hypermethylation was associated with poor survival in NSCLC (HR =1.73, 95% CI =1.10–2.71, P=0.02). Abbreviations: OS, overall survival; HR, hazard ratio; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung carcinoma; CI, confidence interval; IV, independent variable.

the meta-analysis to determine the correlation between FHIT hypermethylation and clinicopathological characteristics of NSCLC. Analysis of the pooled data showed that NSCLC had a higher hypermethylation than normal lung tissue, indicating that FHIT hypermethylation plays an important role in the carcinogenesis of NSCLC. Interestingly, subgroup analysis based on ethnicity implied that FHIT hypermethylation level was higher in NSCLC tissues than in normal tissues in both Caucasians (P=0.02) and Asians (P<0.0001) as shown in Figure 3, indicating that the difference in Asians was much more significant. Additional interesting findings included that FHIT hypermethylation was also correlated with sex status, smoking status, as well as pathological types. The results from the current study demonstrated that the hypermethylation rate of FHIT gene in NSCLC was significantly higher than that in the normal lung tissues, indicating that FHIT promoter hypermethylation was common in NSCLC. Therefore, detection of FHIT promoter hypermethylation may provide an invaluable diagnostic marker for NSCLC patients. Since changes in FHIT promoter hypermethylation are reversible, drug treatment through demethylation may be useful to delay carcinogenesis and progression and to improve prognosis. Lung cancer cell clones carrying conditional FHIT transgenes showed significant suppression of xenograft tumor growth after induction of expression of the FHIT transgene, suggesting that treatments to restore endogenous FHIT expression in lung cancers would result in decreased tumorigenicity.<sup>20</sup> In addition, injection of 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine and trichostatin A in nude mice with established H1299 tumors showed suppressed growth of small tumors without apparent toxicity and responding tumors showed restoration of FHIT.<sup>20</sup> These preclinical studies show the therapeutic potential of restoration of tumor suppressor expression through epigenetic modulation. This approach may bring new direction and hope for cancer treatment through gene-targeted therapy.

FHIT is thought to affect cellular function and behavior largely through its signaling properties. FHIT also activates caspase-8 and caspase-2, which causes the release of cytochrome c and finally induces apoptosis.54 FHIT and p53, the two most commonly altered tumor suppressor genes, might rely on common mediators and cross talk between these proteins in regulation of growth-related pathways; thus, the inactivation of both genes results in prominent deregulation of cell proliferation and tumor progression in lung cancer.55 A number of studies showed that inactivation of FHIT can cause tumor aberrant progression and link to clinicopathological characteristics.<sup>28,38,56-58</sup> Therefore, FHIT can be considered as a tumor suppressor, and its inactivation could contribute to tumor progression and poor prognosis. Although only four studies evaluated the relationship between OS and FHIT hypermethylation in NSCLC, they showed very similar results.<sup>36,38,39,43</sup> Based on this meta-analysis, the pooled HR for OS showed that FHIT hypermethylation was associated with poor survival in NSCLC patients (HR =1.73, 95% CI =1.10–2.71, *P*=0.02). Therefore, we may consider that FHIT hypermethylation in NSCLC tends to indicate a poor prognosis.

Consistent results were shown in sensitivity analyses, and no evidence of publication bias was found. This study has several potential limitations. First, the possibility of



Dovepress

Dovepress

information and selection biases and unidentified confounders could not be completely excluded because all of the included studies were observational. Second, the searching strategy was restricted to articles published in English. Articles with potentially high-quality data that were published in other languages were not included because of anticipated difficulties in obtaining accurate medical translation. Hence, caution should be taken when our findings are interpreted among the general population.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis showed that NSCLC tissue had a higher *FHIT* hypermethylation than normal lung tissue, higher in male than female, higher in nonsmoker than smoker, and higher in SCC than AD. In addition, *FHIT* hypermethylation is associated with an increased risk and poor survival in NSCLC. *FHIT* hypermethylation, which induces the inactivation of *FHIT* gene, may play an important role in the carcinogenesis and clinical outcome and may serve as a potential diagnostic marker and drug target of NSCLC. Further large-scale studies, especially multicenter and wellmatched cohort research, will provide more insight into the role of *FHIT* in the prognosis and clinical implementation of NSCLC patients.

### Acknowledgments

This study was supported by National Science Foundation of China (81402523 to XW, 81472702 to FJ), Jiangsu Province "Three three three" high peak (BRA2015491 to FJ), and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK2012482 to FJ).

# Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

# References

- Ramalingam S, Belani C. Systemic chemotherapy for advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer: recent advances and future directions. *Oncologist*. 2008;13 Suppl 1:5–13.
- Zhou H, Gao S, Nguyen NN, et al. Stringent homology-based prediction of H. sapiens-M. tuberculosis H37Rv protein-protein interactions. *Biol Direct*. 2014;9:5.
- Zhou H, Jin J, Zhang H, Yi B, Wozniak M, Wong L. IntPath an integrated pathway gene relationship database for model organisms and important pathogens. *BMC Syst Biol.* 2012;6 Suppl 2:S2.
- Portal D, Zhou H, Zhao B, et al. Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen leader protein localizes to promoters and enhancers with cell transcription factors and EBNA2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2013;110:18537–18542.
- Delpu Y, Cordelier P, Cho WC, Torrisani J. DNA methylation and cancer diagnosis. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2013;14:15029–15058.
- Ma X, Wang YW, Zhang MQ, Gazdar AF. DNA methylation data analysis and its application to cancer research. *Epigenomics*. 2013;5: 301–316.
- Ghavifekr Fakhr M, Farshdousti Hagh M, Shanehbandi D, Baradaran B. DNA methylation pattern as important epigenetic criterion in cancer. *Genet Res Int.* 2013;2013:317569.

- Fleischhacker M, Dietrich D, Liebenberg V, Field JK, Schmidt B. The role of DNA methylation as biomarkers in the clinical management of lung cancer. *Expert Rev Respir Med.* 2013;7:363–383.
- Ohta M, Inoue H, Cotticelli MG, et al. The FHIT gene, spanning the chromosome 3p14.2 fragile site and renal carcinoma-associated t(3;8) breakpoint, is abnormal in digestive tract cancers. *Cell.* 1996;84: 587–597.
- Pekarsky Y, Campiglio M, Siprashvili Z, et al. Nitrilase and Fhit homologs are encoded as fusion proteins in Drosophila melanogaster and Caenorhabditis elegans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1998;95: 8744–8749.
- Romero I, Martinez M, Garrido C, et al. The tumour suppressor Fhit positively regulates MHC class I expression on cancer cells. *J Pathol.* 2012;227:367–379.
- 12. Pichiorri F, Palumbo T, Suh SS, et al. Fhit tumor suppressor: guardian of the preneoplastic genome. *Future Oncol.* 2008;4:815–824.
- Hassan MI, Naiyer A, Ahmad F. Fragile histidine triad protein: structure, function, and its association with tumorogenesis. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2010;136:333–350.
- Pekarsky Y, Palamarchuk A, Huebner K, Croce CM. FHIT as tumor suppressor: mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. *Cancer Biol Ther*. 2002;1:232–236.
- Huang Q, Liu Z, Xie F, et al. Fragile histidine triad (FHIT) suppresses proliferation and promotes apoptosis in cholangiocarcinoma cells by blocking PI3K-Akt pathway. *ScientificWorldJournal*. 2014;2014: 179698.
- Rimessi A, Marchi S, Fotino C, et al. Intramitochondrial calcium regulation by the FHIT gene product sensitizes to apoptosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106:12753–12758.
- 17. Trapasso F, Pichiorri F, Gaspari M, et al. Fhit interaction with ferredoxin reductase triggers generation of reactive oxygen species and apoptosis of cancer cells. *J Biol Chem.* 2008;283:13736–13744.
- Tan S, Sun C, Wei X, et al. Quantitative assessment of lung cancer associated with genes methylation in the peripheral blood. *Exp Lung Res.* 2013;39:182–190.
- Verri C, Roz L, Conte D, et al. Fragile histidine triad gene inactivation in lung cancer: the European Early Lung Cancer project. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2009;179:396–401.
- Cantor JP, Iliopoulos D, Rao AS, et al. Epigenetic modulation of endogenous tumor suppressor expression in lung cancer xenografts suppresses tumorigenicity. *Int J Cancer*. 2007;120:24–31.
- Han SY, Iliopoulos D, Druck T, et al. CpG methylation in the Fhit regulatory region: relation to Fhit expression in murine tumors. *Oncogene*. 2004;23:3990–3998.
- 22. McShane LM, Altman DG, Sauerbrei W, Taube SE, Gion M, Clark GM. Reporting recommendations for tumor marker prognostic studies (REMARK). *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2005;97:1180–1184.
- 23. Steels E, Paesmans M, Berghmans T, et al. Role of p53 as a prognostic factor for survival in lung cancer: a systematic review of the literature with a meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J*. 2001;18:705–719.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials. 1986;7:177–188.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*. 2003;327:557–560.
- 26. DerSimonian R. Meta-analysis in the design and monitoring of clinical trials. *Stat Med.* 1996;15:1237–1248; discussion 49–52.
- 27. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*. 1997;315:629–634.
- Haroun RA, Zakhary NI, Mohamed MR, Abdelrahman AM, Kandil EI, Shalaby KA. Assessment of the prognostic value of methylation status and expression levels of FHIT, GSTP1 and p16 in non-small cell lung cancer in Egyptian patients. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2014;15: 4281–4287.
- Li W, Deng J, Tang JX. Combined effects methylation of FHIT, RASSF1A and RARbeta genes on non-small cell lung cancer in the Chinese population. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2014;15:5233–5237.

- Zochbauer-Muller S, Fong KM, Maitra A, et al. 5' CpG island methylation of the FHIT gene is correlated with loss of gene expression in lung and breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2001;61:3581–3585.
- Hsu HS, Chen TP, Hung CH, et al. Characterization of a multiple epigenetic marker panel for lung cancer detection and risk assessment in plasma. *Cancer*. 2007;110:2019–2026.
- 32. Yanagawa N, Tamura G, Oizumi H, Endoh M, Sadahiro M, Motoyama T. Inverse correlation between EGFR mutation and FHIT, RASSF1A and RUNX3 methylation in lung adenocarcinoma: relation with smoking status. *Anticancer Res.* 2011;31:1211–1214.
- Song H, Yi J, Zhang Y, Wang R, Chen L. [DNA methylation of tumor suppressor genes located on chromosome 3p in non-small cell lung cancer]. *Zhongguo Fei Ai Za Zhi*. 2011;14:233–238. Chinese.
- Li W, Deng J, Jiang P, Tang J. Association of 5'-CpG island hypermethylation of the FHIT gene with lung cancer in southern-central Chinese population. *Cancer Biol Ther*. 2010;10:997–1000.
- 35. Li H, Zhang W, Li W, Yin C. [Effects of methylation of FHIT gene on it's protein and mrna expression in non-small cell lung cancer]. *Zhongguo Fei Ai Za Zhi*. 2009;12:760–764. Chinese.
- 36. Yanagawa N, Tamura G, Oizumi H, et al. Promoter hypermethylation of RASSF1A and RUNX3 genes as an independent prognostic prediction marker in surgically resected non-small cell lung cancers. *Lung Cancer*. 2007;58:131–138.
- Kim DS, Cha SI, Lee JH, et al. Aberrant DNA methylation profiles of non-small cell lung cancers in a Korean population. *Lung Cancer*. 2007;58:1–6.
- Kim JS, Kim JW, Han J, Shim YM, Park J, Kim DH. Cohypermethylation of p16 and FHIT promoters as a prognostic factor of recurrence in surgically resected stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2006;66:4049–4054.
- Nakata S, Sugio K, Uramoto H, et al. The methylation status and protein expression of CDH1, p16(INK4A), and fragile histidine triad in nonsmall cell lung carcinoma: epigenetic silencing, clinical features, and prognostic significance. *Cancer*. 2006;106:2190–2199.
- Iliopoulos D, Guler G, Han SY, et al. Fragile genes as biomarkers: epigenetic control of WWOX and FHIT in lung, breast and bladder cancer. *Oncogene*. 2005;24:1625–1633.
- 41. Tomizawa Y, Iijima H, Nomoto T, et al. Clinicopathological significance of aberrant methylation of RARbeta2 at 3p24, RASSF1A at 3p21.3, and FHIT at 3p14.2 in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer*. 2004;46:305–312.
- 42. Tzao C, Tsai HY, Chen JT, Chen CY, Wang YC. 5'CpG island hypermethylation and aberrant transcript splicing both contribute to the inactivation of the FHIT gene in resected non-small cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2004;40:2175–2183.
- 43. Kim JS, Kim H, Shim YM, Han J, Park J, Kim DH. Aberrant methylation of the FHIT gene in chronic smokers with early stage squamous cell carcinoma of the lung. *Carcinogenesis*. 2004;25:2165–2171.
- Maruyama R, Sugio K, Yoshino I, Maehara Y, Gazdar AF. Hypermethylation of FHIT as a prognostic marker in nonsmall cell lung carcinoma. *Cancer*. 2004;100:1472–1477.

- 45. Jeong YJ, Jeong HY, Lee SM, Bong JG, Park SH, Oh HK. Promoter methylation status of the FHIT gene and Fhit expression: association with HER2/neu status in breast cancer patients. *Oncol Rep.* 2013;30: 2270–2278.
- 46. Al-Temaimi RA, Jacob S, Al-Ali W, Thomas DA, Al-Mulla F. Reduced FHIT expression is associated with mismatch repair deficient and high CpG island methylator phenotype colorectal cancer. *J Histochem Cytochem.* 2013;61:627–638.
- Banzai C, Nishino K, Quan J, et al. Promoter methylation of DAPK1, FHIT, MGMT, and CDKN2A genes in cervical carcinoma. *Int J Clin Oncol.* 2014;19:127–132.
- Paluszczak J, Misiak P, Wierzbicka M, Wozniak A, Baer-Dubowska W. Frequent hypermethylation of DAPK, RARbeta, MGMT, RASSF1A and FHIT in laryngeal squamous cell carcinomas and adjacent normal mucosa. *Oral Oncol.* 2011;47:104–107.
- 49. Yin DT, Wang L, Sun J, et al. Association of the promoter methylation and protein expression of Fragile Histidine Triad (FHIT) gene with the progression of differentiated thyroid carcinoma. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol.* 2010;3:482–491.
- Yanagawa N, Osakabe M, Hayashi M, Tamura G, Motoyama T. Frequent epigenetic silencing of the FHIT gene in penile squamous cell carcinomas. *Virchows Arch.* 2008;452:377–382.
- Lee EJ, Lee BB, Kim JW, et al. Aberrant methylation of Fragile Histidine Triad gene is associated with poor prognosis in early stage esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Eur J Cancer*. 2006;42:972–980.
- Zheng S, Ma X, Zhang L, et al. Hypermethylation of the 5' CpG island of the FHIT gene is associated with hyperdiploid and translocation-negative subtypes of pediatric leukemia. *Cancer Res.* 2004;64:2000–2006.
- Cecener G, Tunca B, Egeli U, et al. The promoter hypermethylation status of GATA6, MGMT, and FHIT in glioblastoma. *Cell Mol Neurobiol*. 2012;32:237–244.
- 54. Wali A. FHIT: doubts are clear now. *ScientificWorldJournal*. 2010;10: 1142–1151.
- 55. Andriani F, Roz E, Caserini R, et al. Inactivation of both FHIT and p53 cooperate in deregulating proliferation-related pathways in lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2012;7:631–642.
- Takada S, Morita K, Hayashi K, et al. Methylation status of fragile histidine triad (FHIT) gene and its clinical impact on prognosis of patients with multiple myeloma. *Eur J Haematol*. 2005;75:505–510.
- 57. Wu Q, Shi H, Suo Z, Nesland JM. 5'-CpG island methylation of the FHIT gene is associated with reduced protein expression and higher clinical stage in cervical carcinomas. *Ultrastruct Pathol*. 2003;27:417–422.
- 58. Shimada Y, Sato F, Watanabe G, et al. Loss of fragile histidine triad gene expression is associated with progression of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, but not with the patient's prognosis and smoking history. *Cancer*. 2000;89:5–11.

#### Drug Design, Development and Therapy

#### Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peerreviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are a feature of the journal, which has also been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal

