

Gene polymorphisms in association with self-reported stroke in US adults

Amy Z Fan¹
 Jing Fang¹
 Ajay Yesupriya²
 Man-huei Chang²
 Greta Kilmer¹
 Meaghan House³
 Donald Hayes¹
 Renée M Ned²
 Nicole F Dowling²
 Ali H Mokdad¹

¹National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA;

²Office of Public Health Genomics, Coordinating Center for Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA; ³School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA

Purpose: Epidemiologic studies suggest that several gene variants increase the risk of stroke, and population-based studies help provide further evidence. We identified polymorphisms associated with the prevalence of self-reported stroke in US populations using a representative sample.

Methods: Our sample comprised US adults in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination (NHANES III) DNA bank. We examined nine candidate gene variants within *ACE*, *F2*, *F5*, *ITGA2*, *MTHFR*, and *NOS3* for associations with self-reported stroke. We used multivariate regression and Cox proportional hazards models to test the association between these variants and history of stroke.

Results: In regression models, the rs4646994 variant of *ACE* (I/I and I/D genotypes) was associated with higher prevalence adjusted prevalence odds ratio [APOR] = 2.66 [1.28, 5.55] and 2.23 [1.30, 3.85], respectively) compared with the D/D genotype. The heterozygous genotype of *MTHFR* rs1801131 (A/C) was associated with lower prevalence of stroke (APOR = 0.48 [0.25, 0.92]) compared with A/A and C/C genotypes. For rs2070744 of *NOS3*, both the C/T genotype (APOR = 1.91 [1.12, 3.27]) and C/C genotype (APOR = 3.31 [1.66, 6.60]) were associated with higher prevalence of stroke compared with the T/T genotype.

Conclusion: Our findings suggest an association between the prevalence of self-reported stroke and polymorphisms in *ACE*, *MTHFR*, and *NOS3* in a population-based sample.

Keywords: stroke, gene, polymorphisms, NHANES III, gene association analysis

Introduction

Stroke is the third leading cause of mortality in the United States and can cause serious long-term disability among survivors.¹ Stroke can be classified into two major types: ischemic (87% of all strokes in the United States²) and hemorrhagic, and is associated with atherosclerosis of large blood vessels or occlusion of small arteries in the brain.^{3,4} Previous epidemiologic studies indicate that stroke is a multifactorial disease caused by a complex interaction between genes and the environment.⁵ Ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke have several risk factors in common, including hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, and smoking. However, a substantial portion of patients that suffer from stroke do not have these known risk factors.³

Studies of twins, siblings, and families indicate that genetic factors might contribute to the risk of stroke.⁶ Numerous studies have examined the association between individual genes and the increased risk of stroke, primarily focusing on genes involved in thrombosis or coagulation.⁷ A meta-analysis by Casas et al⁸ found four gene variants that were consistently associated with ischemic stroke, including angiotensin-converting enzyme (*ACE*) insertion/deletion, prothrombin (*F2*) G20210A, *factor V* (*F5*) Leiden A506Q,

Correspondence: Amy Z Fan
 Behavioral Surveillance Branch, Division of Adult and Community Health, NCCDPHP/CDC, 4770 Buford Highway, NE, MS K-66, Atlanta, GA 30341, USA
 Tel +1 (770) 488-5327
 Fax +1 (770) 488-8150
 Email afan@cdc.gov

and methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (*MTHFR* C677T). Based on a detailed examination of the current literature, Bersano et al⁹ also found that these were among the most likely candidate genes related to stroke, and suggest that extensive investigations are needed to confirm these associations.

Although several previous studies found no association between integrin alpha 2 (*ITGA2*) gene variants and stroke,¹⁰ a recent study of North Americans demonstrated that this gene is in fact associated with an increased risk for ischemic stroke.¹¹ Research on the association between nitric oxide synthase 3 (*NOS3*) and stroke is also inconclusive;⁸ however, variants within this gene have been shown to confer risk among African Americans.^{12,13}

Identifying common gene variants that might increase the risk of stroke is of public health importance because it could allow identification and targeting of subpopulations that might be at an increased risk for the disease. Although previous epidemiologic studies have suggested that several common gene variants contribute to the increased risk of stroke, large-scale population-based studies could provide stronger evidence on those associations. The purpose of the present study is to assess if polymorphisms within *ACE*, *F2*, *F5*, *ITGA2*, *MTHFR*, and *NOS3* are associated with the prevalence of self-reported stroke in a large, nationally representative sample of US adults.

Materials and methods

Study sample

The Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) is a complex, multistage sample survey conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention from 1988 through 1994. This cross-sectional study was designed to provide national estimates of common diseases and their respective risk factors among the civilian non-institutionalized population aged two months or older in the United States. Data collection for NHANES occurred at three levels: a brief household screener interview, an in-depth household survey interview, and a medical examination. Population weights were calculated for each individual to make the data representative of the US population. In the second phase of NHANES III, from 1991 through 1994, white blood cells from participants aged 12 years or older were frozen and cell lines were immortalized using the Epstein-Barr virus, creating a DNA bank. The analysis was performed among adults aged 17 years and older ($n = 5973$) using the data collected in this DNA bank. This study was approved by the National Center for Health Statistics Ethics Review Board.^{14,15}

Candidate genes and genotyping methods

The candidate gene variants selected for current analysis (nine variants in six genes) were *ACE* (rs4646994), *F2* (rs1799963), *F5* (rs6025), *ITGA2* (rs1126643), *MTHFR* (rs1801133, rs1801131, rs2066470), and *NOS3* (rs1799983, rs2070744). Genotypes were assayed either by TaqMan (5' nuclease assay; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) or by the MGB Eclipse Assay (3' hybridization-triggered fluorescence reaction; Nanogen, Bothwell, WA). Water controls and DNA samples with known genotypes (from Coriell Cell Repository, Camden, NJ) were included in each well. Deviations of Hardy-Weinberg proportions were tested using unweighted chi-square goodness-of-fit tests. Complete descriptions of the genotyping and quality control methods have been previously published.¹⁶

Cases – self-reported history of stroke

Participants were classified as having experienced a stroke if they answered “yes” to the question “Has a doctor ever told you that you had a stroke?” They were then asked “How old were you when you were first told you had a stroke?”¹⁷

Statistical analysis

All analyses accounted for the NHANES III sampling design using specialized procedures available in SAS-callable SUDAAN 9.01 (Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina) for the analysis of complex surveys. All models included sample weights that were recalculated for the NHANES III DNA bank data. We used the Taylor series linearization approach,^{18,19} implemented in SUDAAN, to calculate standard errors that account for correlations in the data due to the sampling design, including the possible genetic relatedness of individuals sampled from the same household.

We used the Satterthwaite-adjusted F-statistics (available in the RLOGIST procedure) to test the association of stroke with the nine selected gene variants. Multivariate regression models were used to examine the association between self-reported stroke prevalence and study gene variants, adjusting for potential confounders including age, sex, race/ethnicity, and education. Other previously identified stroke risk factors were either 1) not associated with the genes in this study or 2) involved in the biological pathway between gene and disease. Therefore, no other stroke risk factors were added to the models. A codominant model of inheritance was assumed for all variants except for rs2066470 (*MTHFR*), rs1799963 (*F2*), and rs6025 (*F5*), which were tested under a dominant model due to their low minor allele frequencies in the population (less than 5%). Adjusted prevalence odds

ratios (APORs) and 95% confidence intervals were estimated from these models. Additionally, we used Cox proportional hazards models, which have been shown to increase statistical power in cross-sectional genetic association studies,²⁰ with self-reported age at stroke as the outcome. The results from Cox models and logistic regression models are compared.

Results

Basic characteristics of the study sample are presented in Table 1. Our sample comprised 5973 US adults aged 17 years or older, of whom 156 reported having had a stroke. Participants reporting stroke were older and more likely to have a lower level of education than controls.

The distribution of genes variants between stroke cases and controls (Table 2) showed that for rs4646994 (*ACE*), participants reporting stroke were more likely to have the I/I and I/D genotypes than controls ($P = 0.052$). For rs1801131 (*MTHFR*), controls were more likely to have the A/C genotype than participants with a history of stroke ($P = 0.036$). Finally, participants with a history of stroke were more likely to have rs2070744 (*NOS3*) C/C or C/T genotypes than controls ($P = 0.020$). No difference in the distribution of other gene variants was observed between stroke cases and controls.

In multivariate regression models, gene variants of *ACE*, *MTHFR*, and *NOS3* were significantly associated with stroke after controlling for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and education (Table 3). In a codominant model, the rs4646994 variant of *ACE* (I/I and I/D genotypes) was significantly associated

with higher prevalence odds of stroke adjusted prevalence odds ratio [APOR] = 2.66 [1.28, 5.55] and 2.23 [1.30, 3.85], respectively) as compared to the D/D genotype. In a codominant model, the heterozygous *MTHFR* genotype of rs1801131 (A/C) was significantly associated with a lower prevalence of stroke (APOR = 0.48 [0.25, 0.92]) compared with the A/A and C/C genotypes. Additionally, the variant rs2070744 of *NOS3* was significantly associated with stroke.

Table 2 Prevalence (SE) of genotypes for variants among stroke cases and controls, NHANES 1988–1994

	Cases N = 156	Controls N = 5817	P value
Co-dominant models			
rs4646994 (<i>ACE</i>)			
I/I	25.5 (5.96)	19.9 (0.83)	0.052
I/D	58.8 (5.85)	51.4 (1.29)	
D/D	15.8 (3.31)	28.8 (1.26)	
rs1126643 (<i>ITGA2</i>)			
T/T	8.0 (3.15)	15.6 (1.00)	0.100
T/C	49.9 (6.09)	48.3 (0.96)	
C/C	42.1 (5.96)	36.1 (0.82)	
rs1801131 (<i>MTHFR</i>)			
C/C	13.7 (4.82)	9.5 (0.85)	0.036
A/C	23.4 (5.00)	39.7 (1.42)	
A/A	62.9 (5.76)	50.8 (1.71)	
rs1801133 (<i>MTHFR</i>)			
T/T	10.8 (3.71)	10.6 (0.74)	0.780
T/C	36.2 (5.90)	40.0 (1.35)	
C/C	53.0 (5.56)	49.4 (1.63)	
rs1799983 (<i>NOS3</i>)			
T/T	8.3 (2.19)	9.0 (0.55)	0.950
T/G	40.3 (5.45)	40.6 (1.32)	
G/G	51.4 (5.70)	50.4 (1.24)	
rs2070744 (<i>NOS3</i>)			
C/C	23.1 (4.86)	12.9 (0.79)	0.020
C/T	49.5 (5.90)	44.6 (1.20)	
T/T	27.4 (4.02)	42.5 (1.30)	
Dominant models			
rs2066470 (<i>MTHFR</i>)			
T/T, T/C	17.7 (5.24)	17.8 (1.13)	0.980
C/C	82.3 (5.24)	82.2 (1.13)	
rs1799963 (<i>F2</i>)			
A/A, A/G	4.0 (2.58)	2.1 (0.39)	0.480
G/G	96.0 (2.58)	97.9 (0.39)	
rs6025 (<i>F5</i>)			
A/A, A/G	3.7 (2.10)	4.5 (0.51)	0.710
G/G	96.3 (2.10)	95.5 (0.51)	

Table 1 Basic characteristics of individuals aged 17 years or older in the NHANES III DNA bank

Characteristics	Mean or percent (SE)		
	Cases N = 156	Controls N = 5817	P value ^a
Mean age (yrs)	67.7 (1.57)	43.5 (0.68)	<0.0001
Sex (%)			
Women	55.9 (4.41)	52.0 (0.77)	0.3900
Men	44.1 (4.41)	48.0 (0.77)	
Race/ethnicity (%)			
White, non-Hispanic	82.3 (2.96)	81.6 (1.73)	0.0600
Black, non-Hispanic	14.8 (2.69)	12.4 (1.58)	
Hispanic	2.9 (0.99)	6.0 (0.86)	
Education (%)			
Non-high school graduate	41.7 (5.93)	22.3 (1.40)	0.0002
High school graduate	34.8 (5.28)	34.6 (1.28)	
Some college	15.2 (4.25)	21.4 (1.51)	
Bachelor degree or higher	8.3 (2.61)	21.6 (1.51)	

^aP values were obtained from chi-square tests for categorical variables and t-tests for continuous variables.

Table 3 Adjusted prevalence odds ratios (APORs) and first morbid event adjusted hazard ratios (AHRs) for stroke

Genotype	APORs from logistic regression ^a (95% CI)	AHRs from Cox proportional hazards model ^b (95% CI)
rs4646994 (<i>ACE</i>)		
D/D	1.00	1.00
I/D	2.23 (1.30–3.85)	2.38 (1.50–3.76)
I/I	2.66 (1.28–5.55)	2.78 (1.33–5.79)
rs1801131 (<i>MTHFR</i>)		
A/A	1.00	1.00
A/C	0.48 (0.25–0.92)	0.50 (0.26–0.97)
C/C	1.12 (0.48–2.61)	1.20 (0.54–2.69)
rs1801133 (<i>MTHFR</i>)		
C/C	1.00	1.00
T/C	0.86 (0.48–1.51)	0.83 (0.50–1.40)
T/T	1.02 (0.41–2.52)	0.98 (0.41–2.34)
rs2066470 (<i>MTHFR</i>) ^c		
C/C	1.00	1.00
T/T,T/C	0.83 (0.39–1.79)	0.87 (0.40–1.91)
rs1799983 (<i>NOS3</i>)		
G/G	1.00	1.00
T/G	0.92 (0.55–1.53)	0.92 (0.55–1.51)
T/T	0.96 (0.49–1.87)	1.03 (0.52–2.05)
rs2070744 (<i>NOS3</i>)		
T/T	1.00	1.00
C/T	1.91 (1.12–3.27)	1.78 (1.01–3.12)
C/C	3.31 (1.66–6.60)	3.03 (1.59–5.79)
rs1799963 (<i>F2</i>) ^c		
G/G	1.00	1.00
A/A,A/G	1.69 (0.26–10.82)	1.72 (0.32–9.11)
rs6025 (<i>F5</i>) ^c		
G/G	1.00	1.00
A/A,A/G	0.82 (0.29–2.34)	0.77 (0.29–2.05)
rs1126643 (<i>ITGA2</i>)		
C/C	1.00	1.00
T/C	0.86 (0.48–1.56)	0.93 (0.53–1.65)
T/T	0.45 (0.18–1.14)	0.47 (0.18–1.18)

^aLogistic regression models controlled for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and education.

^bProportional hazard models controlled for sex, race/ethnicity and education.

^cA dominant model of inheritance was assumed for rs2066470 (*MTHFR*), rs1799963 (*F2*) and rs6025 (*F5*) due to low minor allele frequencies. Co-dominant models are assumed for all other models.

Both the C/T genotype (APOR = 1.91 [1.12, 3.27]) and C/C genotype (APOR = 3.31 [1.66, 6.60]) were associated with higher prevalence odds of stroke in comparison with the T/T genotype. The remaining gene variants tested were not found to be significantly associated with stroke. The results from logistic regression models were consistent with those from Cox proportional hazards models.

Discussion

We used a large representative sample of the US population to investigate 9 variants in 6 genes that were important factors in the pathophysiology of stroke and were reported in previous studies to be associated with stroke. In this study we found that 1) the rs4646994 variant of *ACE* (I/I and I/D genotypes) was significantly associated with a higher prevalence of stroke as compared with the D/D genotype; 2) the heterozygous genotype (A/C) of *MTHFR* variant rs1801131 was significantly associated with a lower prevalence of stroke as compared with the A/A and C/C genotypes; and 3) both the C/T genotype and the C/C genotype of the variant rs2070744 of *NOS3* were associated with a higher prevalence of stroke in comparison with the T/T genotype.

Angiotensin-converting enzyme (*ACE*) converts angiotensin I to angiotensin II, which is a vasoconstrictor that contributes to vascular hypertrophy and the development of atherosclerosis.²¹ Plasma and intracellular levels of *ACE* are partially determined by the presence of the *ACE* I/D polymorphism (rs4646994). The D/D genotype of the *ACE* I/D polymorphism has been found in multiple studies to be associated with increased risk for ischemic stroke in both European and Asian populations.^{8,22} However, our results are in the opposite direction: study participants that were homozygous for the D allele were at decreased risk for stroke.

Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (*MTHFR*) is a key metabolic enzyme that is involved in the conversion of homocysteine to methionine. The common C677T polymorphism of *MTHFR* (rs1801133) is frequently studied in association with atherosclerosis because the homozygous variant is associated with reduced activity of the enzyme, leading to increased homocysteine levels.²³ Increased levels of homocysteine might be involved in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and a risk factor for stroke, as patients with markedly elevated homocysteine levels have been shown to develop premature vascular disease.²⁴ In a meta-analysis, Casas et al⁸ showed a statistically significant association between ischemic stroke and the C677T polymorphism. However, the study did not include the *MTHFR* gene polymorphism A1298C (rs1801131), which we found to be associated with decreased risk of stroke in the A/C heterozygotes. The A1298C polymorphism has been studied to a lesser extent, and an interaction between the two polymorphisms has been proposed.²⁵ The biochemical profile of A1298C/C677T compound heterozygotes has been shown to be similar to 677T homozygotes.²⁶ It might be useful to examine the interaction between these two *MTHFR* polymorphisms in our study population, especially since our results regarding C677T contrast with many published studies.

The endothelial nitric oxide synthase (*NOS3*) catalyses nitric oxide (NO) synthesis, which relaxes smooth muscle cells, reduces smooth muscle growth, and inhibits platelet and leukocyte adhesion to the vascular endothelium. Variants in *NOS3* have been studied in association with increased risk of cardiovascular disease.^{27–29} In particular, the polymorphism *NOS3* T-786C (rs2070744) is associated with increased susceptibility to coronary vasospasm in individuals expressing the variant C allele.³⁰ Khurana et al suggested a biological mechanism for aneurysm physiology and found that the T-786C genotype influenced the size at which an aneurysm ruptures.³¹ Although polymorphisms in *NOS3* have been associated with an increased risk of ischemic heart disease, there are inconsistent results for the risk of stroke.³⁰ Most studies showing an association with stroke involved African American participants. Studies yielding inconsistent results might have had an insufficient number of participants of African descent to detect an association.^{12,13} To confirm the association, this type of study will need to be replicated in a larger sample of African Americans.

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. A main concern with association studies is that a priori knowledge of the putative risk polymorphisms to be studied is required. To address this, we have cited previous meta-analyses which show substantial evidence that these variants are associated with stroke. Also, when a genetically heterogeneous population is studied, racial/ethnic variations in allele frequencies create the possibility of spurious associations.³² To address this concern, we used both logistic regression models and Cox proportional hazards models. Hazards models give more conservative effect estimates than logistic regression models when the incidence of disease is high. We were able to replicate significant associations using hazard ratios, which supports the results of the logistic regression analyses in our study.²⁰

The limitations of NHANES III include self-reported outcome (stroke) without clinical confirmation and with no discrimination between ischemic and hemorrhagic subtypes of stroke. Some researchers argue that these different pathological conditions are unlikely to be under the same genetic influence.⁸ Additionally, persons who died from stroke or were unable to take part in the study because of disability were not included in the sample. For this reason, we expect that the cases of stroke in this study are less severe than those in the general population.

Future studies

While our study sought to identify polymorphisms that were associated with the prevalence of self-reported stroke in a large-scale population-based association study, these results should be interpreted with caution. Demonstration of an association is not by itself sufficient evidence for a causative role of the gene variant studied.³³ Furthermore, stroke is a highly complex disease, and our study was not able to examine the different subtypes of stroke in relation to gene polymorphisms. Intermediate markers of stroke (eg, atherosclerosis or intima-media thickness) or risk factors (hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and diabetes) might also be under tight genetic control and could be a more precise means of detecting an association rather than the related disease outcome. Future population-based studies should assess the polymorphisms that are specific to each stroke subtype, as well as the associations in intermediate markers of stroke. Further studies should also examine gene-gene and gene-environment interactions among population subgroups for complex conditions such as stroke.³²

Conclusion

In conclusion, our study found associations between stroke and gene variants within *ACE*, *MTHFR*, and *NOS3* using a nationally representative, population-based survey. Identifying such variants might allow for a better understanding of the pathogenesis of stroke and possibly the improved targeting and treatment of individuals or populations at an increased risk for stroke. A genome-wide association study using the cohort from the same population with clear clinical diagnosis of stroke can be used to verify or confirm the findings.

Disclosures

The findings and conclusions in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Rosamond W, Flegal K, Friday G, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics – 2007 update: a report from the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. *Circulation*. 2007;115(5):e69–e171.
2. American Heart Association. *Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics: 2009 Update At-a-Glance*. Dallas, Texas: American Heart Association; 2009.
3. Gulcher JR, Gretarsdottir S, Helgadóttir A, Stefansson K. Genes contributing to risk for common forms of stroke. *Trends Mol Med*. 2005;11(5):217–224.
4. Wright AF. Neurogenetics II: complex disorders. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2005;76(5):623–631.

5. Hassan A, Markus HS. Genetics and ischaemic stroke. *Brain*. 2000; 123(Pt 9):1784–1812.
6. Flossmann E, Schulz UG, Rothwell PM. Systematic review of methods and results of studies of the genetic epidemiology of ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2004;35(1):212–227.
7. Humphries SE, Morgan L. Genetic risk factors for stroke and carotid atherosclerosis: insights into pathophysiology from candidate gene approaches. *Lancet Neurol*. 2004;3(4):227–235.
8. Casas JP, Hingorani AD, Bautista LE, Sharma P. Meta-analysis of genetic studies in ischemic stroke: thirty-two genes involving approximately 18,000 cases and 58,000 controls. *Arch Neurol*. 2004;61(11):1652–1661.
9. Bersano A, Ballabio E, Bresolin N, Candelise L. Genetic polymorphisms for the study of multifactorial stroke. *Hum Mutat*. 2008;29(6): 776–795.
10. Nikolopoulos GK, Tsantes AE, Bagos PG, Travlou A, Vaiopoulos G. Integrin, alpha 2 gene C807T polymorphism and risk of ischemic stroke: a meta-analysis. *Thromb Res*. 2007;119(4):501–510.
11. Matarin M, Brown WM, Hardy JA, et al. Association of integrin alpha2 gene variants with ischemic stroke. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. 2008;28(1):81–89.
12. Howard TD, Giles WH, Xu J, et al. Promoter polymorphisms in the nitric oxide synthase 3 gene are associated with ischemic stroke susceptibility in young black women. *Stroke*. 2005;36(9):1848–1881.
13. Grewal RP, Dutra AV, Liao YC, Juo SH, Papamitsakis NI. The intron 4c allele of the NOS3 gene is associated with ischemic stroke in African Americans. *BMC Med Genet*. 2007;8:76.
14. National Center for Health Statistics. *Plan and Operation of the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–1994*. Hyattsville, MD: Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 1994.
15. National Center for Health Statistics. *Analytic and Reporting Guidelines: The Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, NHANES III (1988–1994)*. Hyattsville, MD: Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 1996.
16. Chang MH, Lindegren ML, Butler MA, et al. Prevalence in the United States of selected candidate gene variants: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1991–1994. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2009; 169(1):54–66.
17. National Center for Health Statistics. *National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III Interviewer's Manual (1993 revision)*. Hyattsville, MD: Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 1993.
18. Binder D. On the variance of asymptotically normal estimators from complex surveys. *Int Stat Rev*. 1983;51:279–292.
19. Woodruff R. A simple method for approximating the variance of a complicated estimate. *J Am Stat Assoc*. 1971;66:411–414.
20. van der Net JB, Janssens AC, Eijkemans MJ, Kastelein JJ, Sijbrands EJ, Steyerberg EW. Cox proportional hazards models have more statistical power than logistic regression models in cross-sectional genetic association studies. *Eur J Hum Genet*. 2008;16(9):1111–1116.
21. Kim S, Iwao H. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of angiotensin II-mediated cardiovascular and renal diseases. *Pharmacol Rev*. 2000;52(1):11–34.
22. Ariyaratnam R, Casas JP, Whittaker J, Smeeth L, Hingorani AD, Sharma P. Genetics of ischaemic stroke among persons of non-European descent: a meta-analysis of eight genes involving approximately 32,500 individuals. *PLoS Med*. 2007;4(4):e131.
23. Frosst P, Blom HJ, Milos R, et al. A candidate genetic risk factor for vascular disease: a common mutation in methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase. *Nat Genet*. 1995;10(1):111–113.
24. McCully KS. Vascular pathology of homocysteinemia: implications for the pathogenesis of arteriosclerosis. *Am J Pathol*. 1969;56(1):111–1128.
25. Sazci A, Ergul E, Tuncer N, Akpınar G, Kara I. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene polymorphisms are associated with ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke: Dual effect of MTHFR polymorphisms C677T and A1298C. *Brain Res Bull*. 2006;71(1–3):45–50.
26. Botto LD, Yang Q. 5,10-Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene variants and congenital anomalies: a HuGE review. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2000;151(9):862–877.
27. Kubes P, Suzuki M, Granger DN. Nitric oxide: an endogenous modulator of leukocyte adhesion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1991;88(11): 4651–4655.
28. Myers PR, Tanner MA. Vascular endothelial cell regulation of extracellular matrix collagen: role of nitric oxide. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 1998;18(5):717–722.
29. Radomski MW, Palmer RM, Moncada S. Endogenous nitric oxide inhibits human platelet adhesion to vascular endothelium. *Lancet*. 1987;2(8567):1057–1058.
30. Nakayama M, Yasue H, Yoshimura M, et al. T-786-->C mutation in the 5'-flanking region of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is associated with coronary spasm. *Circulation*. 1999;99(22):2864–2870.
31. Khurana VG, Sohni YR, Mangrum WI, et al. Endothelial nitric oxide synthase T-786C single nucleotide polymorphism: a putative genetic marker differentiating small versus large ruptured intracranial aneurysms. *Stroke*. 2003;34(11):2555–2559.
32. Donahue MP, Allen AS. Genetic association studies in cardiology. *Am Heart J*. 2005;149(6):964–970.
33. Donahue MP, Kraus WE. Genetic association studies; the good, the bad, and the ugly. *Am Heart J*. 2007;154(4):610–612.

The Application of Clinical Genetics

Publish your work in this journal

The Application of Clinical Genetics is an international, peer-reviewed open access journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings in the field of human genetics. Specific topics include: Population genetics; Functional genetics; Natural history of genetic disease; Management of genetic disease; Mechanisms of genetic disease; Counselling and

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/the-application-of-clinical-genetics-journal>

ethical issues; Animal models; Pharmacogenetics; Prenatal diagnosis; Dysmorphology. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Dovepress