

Efficacy of neoadjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy during the second and third trimester of pregnancy in women with cervical cancer: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:
Drug Design, Development and Therapy

Yizuo Song
Yi Liu
Min Lin
Bo Sheng
Xueqiong Zhu

Department of Obstetrics and
Gynecology, The Second Affiliated
Hospital of Wenzhou Medical
University, Wenzhou 325027, China

Correspondence: Xueqiong Zhu
Department of Obstetrics and
Gynecology, The Second Affiliated
Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University,
109 Xueyuan Xi Road, Wenzhou,
Zhejiang 325027, China
Tel +86 577 8800 2796
Fax +86 577 8800 2796
Email zjwzqxq@163.com

Purpose: To evaluate the efficacy and safety of neoadjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy during pregnancy in women with cervical cancer.

Methods: The PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Library databases were fully searched to find eligible studies regarding platinum use during pregnancy in women with cervical cancer from January 1980 to September 2018. Data were extracted from the selected studies independently by two authors. Descriptive statistics were calculated for categorical data (frequency and percentage) and numeration data (mean and SD for normally distributed data and median and range for abnormally distributed data). Survival analyses were performed using Kaplan–Meier survival curves and log-rank tests to estimate overall survival and progression-free survival for all patients.

Results: A total of 39 studies including 88 cervical cancer patients with platinum administration during pregnancy were selected in this meta-analysis, and 64 women provided International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics stage information. Among the latter, 56 of 64 (87.5%) were diagnosed with early stages (I and IIA) and the remaining 8 of 64 (12.5%) had advanced stages (IIB, III, and IV). In relation to cisplatin, 86 pregnant women were identified, whereas only 2 pregnant women with carboplatin application were retrieved. Overall, 88 newborns were delivered from 84 pregnancies, including two sets of twins and one set of triplets, among which 71 neonates (71 of 88, 80.7%) were completely healthy at birth. All children were healthy at the end of follow-up (median 17 months, range 0–149.5 months), except one who was diagnosed with retroperitoneal embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma at 5 years old and one who had acute myeloid leukemia at 22 months of age. At the end of follow-up (range 4.75–156 months), 16 of 81 (19.8%) patients were diagnosed with recurrence of cervical cancer, and 11 (90%) of those died because of cancer relapse. Neither median overall survival nor median progression-free survival were reached.

Conclusion: Our results demonstrated that neoadjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy could be a favorable choice for the management of patients with cervical cancer during the second and third trimesters. To reduce the side effects of chemotherapy, cisplatin might be good to use as monotherapy in these patients.

Keywords: platinum, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, pregnancy, cervical cancer, meta-analysis

Introduction

Cervical cancer, one of the most common cancers in women, is rarely observed during gestation, with reported incidence varying between 0.02% and 0.9%.¹ Owing to its low

incidence, the diagnosis of cervical cancer in pregnancy is a very rare event for expectant mothers and medical doctors. Once diagnosed, it may represent a complex situation for both the patient and physician.² Although a guideline was developed after a systematic searching and review of the current literature by the European Society of Gynecological Oncology in 2018,³ there are no data from large randomized trials that provide optimal therapeutic schedules for cervical cancer during pregnancy. In addition, the management of cervical cancer patients with pregnancy depends on several factors, such as tumor size, lymph-node involvement, duration of pregnancy, histological subtype, and the patient's wish to maintain pregnancy.⁴⁻⁶ Therefore, multidisciplinary teams, including a gynecologic oncologist, obstetrician, pathologist, and neonatologist, are needed to give the best therapeutic strategy to the patients and create an individual treatment approach.

Standard treatment for patients with locally invasive cervical cancer without pregnancy could be concurrent chemoradiotherapy or neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) followed by surgery.⁷⁻⁹ However, since radiation therapy and surgery affect fetal outcomes, leading to spontaneous abortion, congenital malformations, and pediatric malignancies,¹⁰⁻¹² and when definitive cancer treatment often needs to be postponed due to pregnancy, neoadjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy during the second and third trimesters has been described as a feasible treatment option to achieve disease control until birth.^{5,6,13-15}

Although there is accumulating evidence in the literature regarding the administration of platinum during pregnancy, its safety remains uncertain. Therefore, we performed an updated systematic review and meta-analysis, including more studies and cases than a previous one carried out in 2013, to derive a more precise evaluation of the efficacy and safety of neoadjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy administration on pregnancy outcomes in women with cervical cancer.¹⁶

Methods

Search strategy

A comprehensive systematic computerized literature search on the PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Library databases was conducted to identify relevant studies published from January 1980 to September 2018, using the following keywords and subject terms: ([cervical OR cervix] AND cancer OR cancers OR neoplasia OR neoplasias OR neoplasm OR neoplasms OR tumor OR tumors OR malignancy OR malignancies OR carcinoma OR carcinomas) AND (pregnancy OR pregnant OR gestation) AND (chemotherapy OR platinum OR

cisplatin OR cis-diamminedichloroplatinum OR platinum diamminodichloride OR cis-platinum OR platino OR platinol OR platidiam OR biocisplatinum OR NSC-119875 OR carboplatin OR paraplatin OR carboplat OR paraplatine OR platinwas OR ribocarbo OR blastocarb OR nealorin OR cis-diammine platinum II OR carbotec OR ercar OR CBDCA OR JM-8 OR neocarbo OR NSC-241240 OR nedaplatin OR NSC-375101D OR 254 seconds OR cycloplatin OR oxaliplatin OR 1,2-diaminocyclohexane platinum oxalate OR eloxatine OR ACT-078 OR lobaplatin OR 1,2-diaminomethylcyclobutane-platinum lactate OR D-19466). Full texts of the retrieved articles were reviewed to inspect whether information on the topic of interest was included. Independent supplementary manual searches on the reference lists of all selected publications were done to obtain additional eligible studies not acquired initially. No language restriction was imposed. All candidate studies were carried out by two independent reviewers (SYZ and LY), and discrepancies were resolved by consensus.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria were pregnant women with cervical cancer; all published prospective and retrospective studies, as well as case reports, that provided relevant and sufficient information on patients, regardless of sample size; and platinum administration during pregnancy. If multiple publications reported the same or overlapping data, the latest study with the largest population was adopted. Studies were excluded if populations were not pregnant women with cervical cancer, if they were reviews, books, or comments, platinum was not administered during the pregnancy, and data provided were insufficient or irrelevant.

Data extraction

To avoid extraction errors, original data were separately gathered in duplicate by two authors. From each recruited study, the following information was collected: first author, publication year, patient age at diagnosis, gestational age at diagnosis, pathological type of cervical cancer, International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) stage of cervical cancer, neoadjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy regimens during pregnancy, gestational age at first cycle of chemotherapy administration, adverse events during pregnancy, response to chemotherapy, gestational age at delivery, method of delivery, fetal outcome, weight at delivery, treatment after pregnancy, lymph-node status, condition of relapse, overall survival, progression-free survival, and outcomes for mothers.

Statistical analysis

The meta-analysis was carried out using two sets of calculations. Missing data were not included in statistical analysis, and the number of cases with missing data was specified for every outcome assessed. First, standard descriptive statistics were calculated for categorical data (frequency and percentage) and numeration data (mean and SD for normally distributed data, median and range for abnormally distributed data). Second, survival analysis was performed to estimate overall survival and progression-free survival for all participants, employing Kaplan–Meier survival curves. In an attempt to obtain a visual comparison among various subgroups, log-rank tests were used to assess differences in overall survival and progression-free survival in patients treated with different platinum-based agents during pregnancy and diagnosed with diverse pathological types of cancer. All statistical analyses were conducted with Stata 12.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA), and a $P < 0.05$ was defined as statistical significance.

Results

Literature search

A flowchart showing the study-selection process is presented in Figure 1. Following the search strategy, our initial literature search yielded 790 published studies, of which 741 were excluded for not investigating the efficacy and safety of neoadjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy during pregnancy in patients with cervical cancer after screening titles and abstracts. After subsequent review of

the remaining 49 articles, 12 were excluded due to the type of articles: 10 were reviews and the other 2 were books. In the end, 37 papers remained.^{2,17–52} In order to gather more publications, additional searches on the reference lists of these 37 papers were also performed. As a result, two more papers were identified.^{53,54} As such, a total of 39 studies were included in the present meta-analysis.

Patient characteristics at diagnosis

Detailed characteristics of all patients are presented in Table S1. In total, 88 patients diagnosed with cervical cancer during pregnancy treated with neoadjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy were included in this study. Age at diagnosis of cervical cancer was not available for 27 patients, and the mean age at diagnosis of the remaining 61 women was 32.5 (SD 4.6, range 24–42) years. The majority of patients (67 of 79) were diagnosed during the second trimester of pregnancy (84.8%), while 3 of 79 were diagnosed in the third trimester (3.8%). Nine of 79 were diagnosed in the first trimester (11.4%), but such data in 9 cases were missing. The mean gestational age at cervical cancer diagnosis was 18.9 (SD 4.6, range 8–29) weeks. Pathological type and FIGO stage of cervical cancer were provided for 61 and 64 patients, respectively. Diagnosis of squamous-cell carcinoma of the cervix was established in 73.8% of women (45 of 61), whereas adenocarcinoma was diagnosed in 14.8% (9 of 61). Among the remaining seven cases, two were diagnosed as clear-cell carcinoma and another two confirmed as small-cell carcinoma. Additionally, one patient with each of




Figure 1 Flow diagram of study selection.

adenosquamous, glassy-cell, and oat-cell carcinoma was identified. FIGO stage was early (I and IIA) in 87.5% of women (56 of 64) and advanced (stages IIB, III, and IV) in 12.5% (8 of 64), respectively.

Patient management during pregnancy

All 88 patients with cervical cancer received neoadjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy without termination of pregnancy. Cisplatin was used either alone in 55 patients (62.5%) or in combination with other drugs in 31 patients (35.2%), such as paclitaxel (17 of 88), vincristine (9 of 88), doxorubicin (1 of 88), 5-fluorouracil (1 of 88), bleomycin (1 of 88), or vincristine and bleomycin (2 of 88). However, carboplatin was applied together with paclitaxel in one patient (1.1%), while another one (1.1%) was treated with carboplatin alone as monotherapy. A total of 85 patients were treated with neoadjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy during the second or third trimester, except for 3, for whom no information could be obtained, though detailed data of gestational age at chemotherapy start were only available for 36 women. Additionally, NACT was initiated in two women at gestational ages of 13 and 10 weeks. Chemotherapy was initially administered on average in the 22.4 (SD 5.3, range 10–33) weeks of gestation. Data on response to chemotherapy were reported for 69 patients. Response rates of women after NACT were 8.7% for complete responses (6 of 69), 46.4% for partial responses (32 of 69), 42.0% for stable disease (29 of 69), and 2.9% for progression of the disease (2 of 69), respectively. Dosage, number of chemotherapy cycles, and relevant MRI descriptions evaluating chemotherapy response are presented in Table S1.

Generally, chemotherapy was well tolerated during pregnancy without any signs of toxicity in 38 of 51 women (74.5%) for whom relevant data were available. However, various types of adverse events or pregnancy complications were recorded in the remaining 13 women (25.5%). Grade 3 adverse events (thrombocytopenia, anemia, and allergic reaction to paclitaxel) happened in three women, while two had events of nausea and fatigue. One pregnancy each in the case group showed drug intolerance, thrombocytopenia, neutropenia, nausea, and vomiting, anemia, and emesis. Three cases were diagnosed with different pregnancy complications, including antepartum hemorrhage, placenta previa, preeclamptic syndrome, and oligohydramnios. At 8 days after administration of the first cycle of cisplatin, one woman was admitted to hospital with extremely preterm premature rupture of the membranes, which led to miscarriage. Moreover, cervical biopsy was repeated a week following the first cycle treatment of cisplatin

in another woman, demonstrating no definitive change and no decrease in tumor size. Therefore, radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymph-node dissection were performed at 2 weeks after chemotherapy and no infant was born.

Delivery methods and gestational ages of 82 women at childbirth were provided from included studies. Cesarean delivery was carried out in 81 women (81 of 82, 98.8%). Additionally, one woman²⁸ had a vaginal birth, though cesarean surgery had been scheduled for the 38th week of gestation. Three days before, however, in week 37+4 days, the patient was admitted in labor with 7 cm dilatation of the cervix. Fetal monitoring and continuation of vaginal birth were chosen by the obstetrics team. Furthermore, 80 of 82 women had premature births (97.6%) while another 2 had term deliveries (2.4%), with a mean gestational age at delivery of 33.1 (SD 1.9, range 27–38) weeks.

Patient treatment after delivery and maternal outcome

Data on treatment following delivery were obtained from 82 of 88 cases. Cesarean delivery was combined with radical hysterectomy in 65 of 82 patients (79.3%), simple hysterectomy in 1 patient (1.2%), total mesometrial resection in 1 patient (1.2%), pelvic–aortic lymphadenectomy in 2 patients (2.4%), and pelvic lymphadenectomy in 1 patient (1.2%). In addition, radical hysterectomy was separately conducted in two patients at 2 weeks (1.2%) and 1 month (1.2%) after cesarean delivery. Three women (3.7%) were treated only with chemoradiation therapy, and one woman (1.2%) had radiation therapy after delivery. It was worth mentioning that the woman (1.2%) who selected vaginal delivery received a radical hysterectomy at 3 days after delivery. Also, two women (2.4%) who had miscarried accepted radical hysterectomy following chemotherapy. However, no treatment was performed in two women (2.4%)² at cesarean delivery. Moreover, one woman (1.2%)⁴² refused further treatment at the time of cesarean delivery and puerperium. Data relating to the further treatment after radical surgery or chemoradiotherapy following delivery were accessible for 55 patients. Fifteen women (27.3%) received further chemoradiotherapy, and six each treated only with chemotherapy (10.9%) and radiotherapy (10.9%) were identified. One woman (1.8%) underwent a cesarean delivery coupled with pelvic–aortic lymphadenectomy, as she refused hysterectomy and radiotherapy, and had a radical hysterectomy 6 months after delivery. One woman (1.8%) refused further treatment, and no additional therapy was used in 26 women (47.3%).

Data regarding on lymph-node status and recurrence were available for 79 and 81 patients, respectively. At pathological evaluation of surgical specimens, eight women (10.1%) were diagnosed with lymph-node metastasis, while the final histopathology reports of 71 women (89.9%) showed no evidence of lymph-node malignancy. A total of 16 women (19.8%) relapsed, among which 11 died of tumor progression with a median follow-up of 27.6 (range 11.5–59.0) months. Conversely, 65 women (80.2%) did not manifest any evidence of disease recurrence. Worthy of note, no deaths were observed among these patients.

Fetal outcome

Data on fetal outcomes at birth were provided from 84 pregnancies. Collectively, 88 newborns were delivered from 84 pregnancies, including two sets of twins and one set of triplets. In 68 of 84 women (81%), 71 completely healthy neonates were born (71 of 88). The following conditions were noted in the remaining 17 newborns: respiratory syndrome disorder (8 of 88), mild elevation in serum creatinine (1 of 88), anemia (1 of 88), first-degree intraventricular hemorrhage (1 of 88), severe bilateral perceptible hearing loss (1 of 88), respiratory syndrome disorder combined with hypotension (1 of 88), hypoglycemia (1 of 88), anemia (1 of 88), supraventricular tachycardia (1 of 88), and erythema (1 of 88). The mean weight of newborns at birth was 2,163.2 (SD 447.1, range 1,330–2,990) g and all newborns had normal Apgar scores, but no data were available for 35 infants. Within the end of follow-up (median 17 months, range 0–149.5 months), 1 of 66 neonates for whom relevant data were available was diagnosed with retroperitoneal embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma when she was 5 years old. Furthermore, 1 male baby (of 66) experienced acute myeloid leukemia (AML) at the age of 22 months. The main characteristics of all neonates are shown in Table S1 in detail.

Survival analysis

Survival analyses were assumed to evaluate overall survival and progression-free survival of patients after receiving NACT during pregnancy. Kaplan–Meier curves concerning overall survival and progression-free survival are depicted in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. On the basis of the data provided in individual articles, 50 mothers were alive at the end of follow-up (range 4.75–156 months) in 60 women for whom the relevant data were available. Therefore, median overall survival could not be assessed. Similarly, median progression-free survival was not reached due to the fact that no tumor progression was observed at the end of follow-up (range 4.75–156 months)




Figure 2 Kaplan–Meier overall survival curve.

in 47 of 59 women from whom progression-free survival data were provided. Considering the fact that type of chemotherapeutic drug, different pathological and FIGO-stage diagnoses of cervical cancer might influence overall survival and progression-free survival, subgroup analyses were further conducted with log-rank tests according to these prespecified covariates. However, neither overall survival (log-rank $\chi_1^2=0.88$, $P=0.349$) nor progression-free survival (log-rank $\chi_1^2=0.31$, $P=0.581$) differed between women treated with cisplatin combined with other agents compared with women treated with cisplatin as monotherapy. Likewise, the log-rank test failed to yield any significant association between squamous-cell carcinoma and non-squamous-cell carcinoma of the cervix (overall survival, log-rank $\chi_1^2=0.81$, $P=0.367$; progression-free survival, log-rank $\chi_1^2=1.73$, $P=0.189$). Similarly, when stratified by FIGO stage (early for I and IIA, advanced for IIB–IV), no significant difference was observed (overall survival, log-rank $\chi_1^2=2.08$, $P=0.150$; progression-free survival, log-rank $\chi_1^2=0.12$, $P=0.727$).




Figure 3 Kaplan–Meier progression-free survival curve.

Discussion

Due to the lack of specific guidelines provided by large randomized trials for the treatment of cervical cancer during pregnancy, an updated systematic review and meta-analysis was performed. In this meta-analysis, the mean age at diagnosis of cervical cancer was 32.5 years, meeting a rising trend to delay childbearing that is expected to raise the occurrence of pregnancy-associated cancer, including cancer of the cervix.⁵⁵ The majority of patients in this study were diagnosed during the first and second trimesters of pregnancy, with a mean gestational age at diagnosis of 18.9 weeks. It has been reported that pregnant patients affected by cervical cancer are mainly diagnosed at an early stage of disease.^{56,57} In line with this notion, 87.5% of women were diagnosed with early-stage (I and IIA) cervical cancer using FIGO stage criteria in our study. However, the woman's body undergoes physiological changes during pregnancy that may inevitably make the diagnosis of cancer more challenging.⁵⁵ In contrast, some researchers have indicated that pregnancy can also provide an opportunity for early diagnosis of invasive cervical cancer, since visual inspection, cytological examination, and bimanual palpation are part of routine antenatal care. Therefore, earlier stages of cervical cancer are often encountered during pregnancy compared to the general population, representing a two- to threefold higher probability of being diagnosed at an operable stage.⁵⁵

Multiple studies have revealed that application of an anticancer agent during gestation is not contraindicated, not only in cervical cancer but also in breast cancer, leukemia, lymphoma, and ovarian cancer.^{6,58-60} However, chemotherapy use during the first trimester may lead more easily to spontaneous abortion, fetal death, and fetal malformations, while NACT beyond the first trimester might be a safe option to allow for fetal maturation.⁶¹ According to this meta-analysis, neoadjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy was initially administered in all included cases on average after 22.4 weeks of pregnancy. One patient included in this meta-analysis who first received the administration of cisplatin during the second trimester (21 weeks) was admitted to the hospital with extremely preterm premature rupture of membranes at 8 days after the first cycle of chemotherapy, which led to miscarriage.²⁹ Preoperative diagnosis for cervical cancer in pregnant women should follow the same approach as cases of nonpregnant patients, which is based on pathological examination. It should be noted that the extent of cancer development may exceed the controllable areas of surgery when NACT is inefficient during pregnancy. In this study, two cases were initially treated with chemotherapy at gestational ages of

13¹⁷ and 10⁴⁶ weeks, and negative response to chemotherapy was obtained in one of the two women after a week following the first cycle treatment of cisplatin. Therefore, radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymph-node dissection were performed at 2 weeks after chemotherapy, resulting in no live birth for this patient.⁴⁶ Therefore, it is increasingly essential to establish simpler and more precise methods to predict the effectiveness of chemotherapy in pregnant patients in future.

Chemotherapy was well tolerated during pregnancy without any signs of toxicity in the majority of women (38 of 51). However, it is worth mentioning that some chemotherapy-associated adverse events were noted in 10 of 51 women, including drug intolerance, thrombocytopenia, anemia, allergic reaction, neutropenia, nausea, vomiting, and emesis. Three women suffered from various types of pregnancy complications: antepartum hemorrhage, placenta previa, preeclamptic syndrome, and oligohydramnios.

It has been demonstrated that NACT in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy may be associated with intrauterine growth retardation, prematurity, and low birth weight in up to 50% of infants.⁶² In this study, the mean birth weight of 53 newborns approached 2,163.2 g. Additionally, all newborns had normal Apgar scores and were healthy within the follow-up (median 17 months, range 0–149.5 months). Notably, the TIP (paclitaxel, ifosfamide, cisplatin) regimen is currently considered the most effective NACT treatment. However, its application during pregnancy is not recommended, because ifosfamide potentially damages fetal kidneys.⁶³ As such, the NACT regimen with paclitaxel plus cisplatin may be a proper option for patients with cervical cancer during pregnancy. However, there was one direct malformation described after chemotherapy with cisplatin plus paclitaxel during gestation, where the newborn was diagnosed with severe bilateral perceptible hearing loss at the age of 6 months.²⁰ Similarly, one woman¹⁸ treated with cisplatin plus paclitaxel during pregnancy was reported to have given birth to a daughter who had retroperitoneal embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma at the age of 5 years. This is a rare cancer, has been postulated to be related to genetic factors, and belongs to secondary malignancies linked to chemotherapy in the infant. Furthermore, one infant experienced AML at the age of 22 months and received bone-marrow transplantation. The mother also received antitumor agents with cisplatin plus paclitaxel during pregnancy.⁵⁰ Few chemotherapy-induced secondary tumors in newborns have been definitively identified, such as leukemia exposure to alkylating agents and bladder cancer exposure to cyclophosphamide.⁵⁰ In addition, since no

chromosomal translocation, such as chromosome 5/5q and/or 7/7q (frequently seen in secondary AML)⁶⁴ or karyotypic deformities were detected in this baby, we are unable directly to ascribe the development of AML to maternal chemotherapy during pregnancy. Nevertheless, a female infant whose palms and soles were encased within a shiny, taut, cellophane-like membrane at birth was definitely confirmed with ichthyosiform erythema at 58 days after birth.⁵¹ Subsequent whole-exome sequencing of the newborn and her parents displayed a heterozygous de novo mutation (c148G>A, pD50N) in the *GJB2* gene, which has been considered a genetic cause of congenital ichthyosiform erythema and keratitis–ichthyosis–deafness syndrome. However, the natural process of skin lesions in neonates has not been verified because the disease is rare, and as erythema and skin desquamation are especially evident in the erythematous type of agent-induced skin eruption, it cannot be denied that chemotherapy drugs might possess the capacity to exacerbate systemic skin lesions in the fetus. Consequently, long-term complications existing in the administration of NACT on fetuses in pregnant women with cervical cancer must be taken into account.

A total of 16 patients were diagnosed with recurrence of cervical cancer (19.8%), and 11 (90%) died from relapse. However, the survival analysis of this work provided a different overall survival and progression-free survival results for patients compared to a previous meta-analysis performed by Zagouri et al.¹⁶ As shown in Figures 2 and 3, neither median overall survival nor median progression-free survival was allowed to be calculated on the basis of survival-related data extracted from patients. Actually, data on overall survival and progression-free survival were unavailable for 22 patients in 40 cases who had initially been included in this updated meta-analysis, which prevented us from obtaining an accurate condition of maternal survival. This observation points to the need for case reports that provide longer follow-up periods for the mother. Worthy of note, although Kohler et al.² did not provide survival-related information for any case, only one woman died of disease (nodal metastasis) and the overall survival rate was 95.3% after a mean follow-up of 33 months (range 7–88 months). In this meta-analysis, no tumor progression was observed during administration of neoadjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy, except in three women, generating a satisfactory tumor response in pregnant women included in this meta-analysis. Nevertheless, considering the fact that the choice of using single-agent cisplatin in the majority of women might have influenced tumor response and maternal survival, log-rank tests were further conducted, but failed to yield any significant difference in overall survival

or progression-free survival between women treated with cisplatin combined with other agents and women treated with cisplatin as monotherapy. Therefore, to reduce the side effects of chemotherapy, cisplatin used in women with cervical cancer during pregnancy as monotherapy might be proposed. Moreover, in our systematic review, the prevalence of squamous-cell carcinoma in pregnant women was 73.8%, similar to the nonpregnant population. However, additional log-rank testing showed that neither overall survival nor progression-free survival differed in women diagnosed with different pathological types of cervical cancer after being administered NACT. Similar results were obtained from a log-rank test based on FIGO stage divided into early-stage (I and IIA) and advanced (IIB–IV) cervical carcinoma.

The results of the present systematic review and meta-analysis should be interpreted within the context of several potential limitations. First, studies included in this meta-analysis were almost all case reports and case series, and these types of studies obviously provide low-quality evidence for meta-analysis. However, what cannot be ignored is that there are few available small-scale cohort studies and case reports reported for the treatment of pregnant women with cervical cancer, resulting in the rarity of randomized clinical trials concerning this medical problem. In other words, almost double the number of cases was included in our study compared to the previous systematic review, and thus the quality and reliability of our research has been improved to some extent. Second, although we tried to find suitable studies, we cannot be sure if some appropriate studies were overlooked and there may be other eligible studies that were not published and indexed by electronic databases yet. Third, it should be declared that the majority of individual studies did not provide survival-related data for each woman or other basic characteristics of patients (such as the pathological type of cancer or gestational age at diagnosis and delivery). Finally, the median follow-up of the babies was short and mid- and long-term outcomes for these infants are not known. As such, neither descriptive statistics nor survival analyses were able to be performed among the cases included in the study, reducing the reliability of this meta-analysis.

Conclusion

In conclusion, despite the limitations, results of our study may favor on a maternal approach that administration of neoadjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy during the second and third trimesters in patients with cervical cancer. In order to reduce the adverse effects of chemotherapy, it is proposed that cisplatin might be administered as monotherapy during

pregnancy in women with cervical cancer. However, because the influence of NACT on the newborn with congenital disease has not been completely elucidated, the decision to use chemotherapy in pregnant women with cervical cancer must balance the risk to the fetus vs prolonging maternal survival, and the effect of surgery delay on maternal survival should be carefully counseled. More studies with multicenter, randomized, controlled, double-blind protocols should be carried out to validate our findings and promote the formulation of more standardized treatment guidelines for these patients.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (81671809 and 81372381). The study sponsors had no involvement in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data or in the writing of the manuscript.

Author contributions

YS and XZ conceived and designed the study. YS and YL collected and analyzed the data. YS and ML prepared the manuscript. BS and XZ edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to data analysis, drafting and revising the article, gave final approval of the version to be published, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Pavlidis NA. Coexistence of pregnancy and malignancy. *Oncologist*. 2002;7(4):573–287.
- Köhler C, Oppelt P, Favero G, et al. How much platinum passes the placental barrier? Analysis of platinum applications in 21 patients with cervical cancer during pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;213(2):206.e1–5.
- Cibula D, Pötter R, Planchamp F, et al. The European Society of Gynaecological Oncology/European Society for Radiotherapy and Oncology/European Society of Pathology Guidelines for the Management of Patients with Cervical Cancer. *Virchows Arch*. 2018;472(6):919–936.
- Latimer J. Gynaecological malignancies in pregnancy. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;19(2):140–144.
- Lee JM, Lee KB, Kim YT, et al. Cervical cancer associated with pregnancy: results of a multicenter retrospective Korean study (KGOG-1006). *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;198(1):92.e1–6.
- Amant F, Halaska MJ, Fumagalli M, et al; ESGO task force ‘Cancer in Pregnancy’. Gynecologic cancers in pregnancy: guidelines of a second international consensus meeting. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2014;24(3):394–403.
- de Vincenzo R, Amadio G, Ricci C, et al. Treatment of cervical cancer in Italy: strategies and their impact on the women. *Vaccine*. 2009;27(Suppl 1):A39–A45.
- Fanfani F, Fagotti A, Ferrandina G, et al. Neoadjuvant chemoradiation followed by radical hysterectomy in FIGO Stage IIIB cervical cancer: feasibility, complications, and clinical outcome. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2009;19(6):1119–1124.
- Ferrandina G, Ercoli A, Fagotti A, et al. Completion surgery after concomitant chemoradiation in locally advanced cervical cancer: a comprehensive analysis of pattern of postoperative complications. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2014;21(5):1692–1699.
- Pentheroudakis G, Pavlidis N. Cancer and pregnancy: poena magna, not anymore. *Eur J Cancer*. 2006;42(2):126–140.
- Benhaim Y, Haie-Meder C, Lhommé C, et al. Chemoradiation therapy in pregnant patients treated for advanced-stage cervical carcinoma during the first trimester of pregnancy: report of two cases. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2007;17(1):270–274.
- Ostrom K, Ben-Arie A, Edwards C, Gregg A, Chiu JK, Kaplan AL. Uterine evacuation with misoprostol during radiotherapy for cervical cancer in pregnancy. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2003;13(3):340–343.
- Morice P, Uzan C, Leary A. Are the outcomes of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for stage IB2 cervical cancer similar in pregnant and nonpregnant patient? *Gynecol Oncol*. 2012;127(1):257–258.
- Morice P, Uzan C, Gouy S, Verschraegen C, Haie-Meder C. Gynaecological cancers in pregnancy. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9815):558–569.
- Ilancheran A. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy in cervical cancer in pregnancy. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2016;33:102–107.
- Zagouri F, Sergentanis TN, Chrysikos D, Bartsch R. Platinum derivatives during pregnancy in cervical cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;121(2 Pt 1):337–343.
- Ricci C, Scambia G, de Vincenzo R. Locally advanced cervical cancer in pregnancy: overcoming the challenge. A case series and review of the literature. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2016;26(8):1490–1496.
- Surbone A, Ahtari C. Embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma in a child exposed to chemotherapy in utero: a mere coincidence? *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2016;207:235.
- Kong TW, Lee EJ, Lee Y, Chang SJ, Son JH, Ryu HS. Neoadjuvant and postoperative chemotherapy with paclitaxel plus cisplatin for the treatment of FIGO stage IB cervical cancer in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol Sci*. 2014;57(6):539–543.
- Geijteman EC, Wensveen CW, Duvekot JJ, van Zuylen L. A child with severe hearing loss associated with maternal cisplatin treatment during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2014;124(2 Pt 2 Suppl 1):454–456.
- Yousefi Z, Hoshyar AH, Kadkhodayan S, Hasanzade M, Kalantari MR, Mottaghi M. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radical surgery in locally advanced cervical cancer during pregnancy: case report and review of literature. *Oman Med J*. 2013;28(1):60–62.
- Dawood R, Instone M, Kehoe S. Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy for cervical cancer in pregnancy: a case report and literature review. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2013;171(2):205–208.
- Ayhan A, Dursun P, Karakaya BK, Ozen O, Tarhan C. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by cesarean radical hysterectomy in a triplet pregnancy complicated by clear cell carcinoma of the cervix: a case presentation and literature review. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2012;22(7):1198–1202.
- Fruscio R, Villa A, Chiari S, et al. Delivery delay with neoadjuvant chemotherapy for cervical cancer patients during pregnancy: a series of nine cases and literature review. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2012;126(2):192–197.
- Chvatal R, Oppelt P, Koehler C, Habelsberger A, Yaman C. Simple trachelectomy of early invasive cervix carcinoma in the second trimester. *J Turk Ger Gynecol Assoc*. 2011;12(2):121–123.
- Lanowska M, Köhler C, Oppelt P, et al. Addressing concerns about cisplatin application during pregnancy. *J Perinat Med*. 2011;39(3):279–285.
- Li J, Wang LJ, Zhang BZ, Peng YP, Lin ZQ. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy with paclitaxel plus platinum for invasive cervical cancer in pregnancy: two case report and literature review. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2011;284(3):779–783.
- da Fonseca AJ, Dalla-Benetta AC, Ferreira LP, Martins CR, Lins CD. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by radical surgery in pregnant patient with invasive cervical cancer: case report and literature review. *Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet*. 2011;33(1):43–48.

29. Gambino A, Gorio A, Carrara L, et al. Cancer in pregnancy: maternal and fetal implications on decision-making. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol*. 2011; 32(1):40–45.
30. Chun KC, Kim DY, Kim JH, Kim YM, Kim YT, Nam JH. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy with paclitaxel plus platinum followed by radical surgery in early cervical cancer during pregnancy: three case reports. *Jpn J Clin Oncol*. 2010;40(7):694–698.
31. Rabaiotti E, Sigismondi C, Montoli S, Mangili G, Candiani M, Viganò R. Management of locally advanced cervical cancer in pregnancy: a case report. *Tumori*. 2010;96(4):623–626.
32. Boyd A, Cowie V, Gourley C. The use of cisplatin to treat advanced-stage cervical cancer during pregnancy allows fetal development and prevents cancer progression: report of a case and review of the literature. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2009;19(2):273–276.
33. Seamon LG, Downey GO, Harrison CR, Doss B, Carlson JW. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by post-partum chemoradiotherapy and chemoconsolidation for stage IIIB glassy cell cervical carcinoma during pregnancy. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2009;114(3):540–541.
34. Favero G, Lanowska M, Schneider A, Marnitz S, Köhler C. Laparoscopic pelvic lymphadenectomy in a patient with cervical cancer stage Ib1 complicated by a twin pregnancy. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol*. 2010;17(1):118–120.
35. Marnitz S, Köhler C, Oppelt P, et al. Cisplatin application in pregnancy: first in vivo analysis of 7 patients. *Oncology*. 2010;79(1–2):72–77.
36. Marnitz S, Schmittl A, Bolbrinker J, et al. The therapeutic management of a twin pregnancy complicated by the presence of cervical cancer, following laparoscopic staging and chemotherapy, with an emphasis on cisplatin concentrations in the fetomaternal compartments amnion fluid, umbilical cord, and maternal serum. *Fertil Steril*. 2009;92(5):1748.e1–4.
37. Benhaim Y, Pautier P, Bensaid C, Lhomme C, Haie-Meder C, Morice P. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy for advanced stage cervical cancer in a pregnant patient: report of one case with rapid tumor progression. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2008;136(2):267–268.
38. Bader AA, Petru E, Winter R. Long-term follow-up after neoadjuvant chemotherapy for high-risk cervical cancer during pregnancy. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2007;105(1):269–272.
39. Karam A, Feldman N, Holschneider CH. Neoadjuvant cisplatin and radical cesarean hysterectomy for cervical cancer in pregnancy. *Nat Clin Pract Oncol*. 2007;4(6):375–380.
40. Palaia I, Pernice M, Graziano M, Bellati F, Panici PB. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy plus radical surgery in locally advanced cervical cancer during pregnancy: a case report. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;197(4):e5–e6.
41. Caluwaerts S, van Calsteren K, Mertens L, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by radical hysterectomy for invasive cervical cancer diagnosed during pregnancy: report of a case and review of the literature. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2006;16(2):905–908.
42. Marana HR, de Andrade JM, da Silva Mathes AC, Duarte G, da Cunha SP, Bighetti S. Chemotherapy in the treatment of locally advanced cervical cancer and pregnancy. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2001;80(2):272–274.
43. Tewari K, Cappuccini F, Gambino A, Kohler MF, Pecorelli S, Disaia PJ. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy in the treatment of locally advanced cervical carcinoma in pregnancy: a report of two cases and review of issues specific to the management of cervical carcinoma in pregnancy including planned delay of therapy. *Cancer*. 1998;82(8):1529–1534.
44. Lai CH, Hsueh S, Chang TC, et al. Prognostic factors in patients with bulky stage IB or IIA cervical carcinoma undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radical hysterectomy. *Gynecol Oncol*. 1997;64(3):456–462.
45. Giacalone PL, Laffargue F, Benos P, Rousseau O, Hedon B. Cis-platinum neoadjuvant chemotherapy in a pregnant woman with invasive carcinoma of the uterine cervix. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1996;103(9):932–934.
46. Jacobs AJ, Marchevsky A, Gordon RE, Deppe G, Cohen CJ. Oat cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix in a pregnant woman treated with cis-diamminedichloroplatinum. *Gynecol Oncol*. 1980;9(3):405–410.
47. Peculis LD, Ius Y, Campion M, Friedlander M, Hacker N. Stage IB2 adenosquamous cervical cancer diagnosed at 19-weeks' gestation. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2015;55(1):94–97.
48. de Lima CA, Barcelos AC, Paschoini MC, et al. Conservative treatment of uterine cervical adenocarcinoma in pregnancy. *Case Rep Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;2013:692017.
49. Abellar RG, Pepperell JR, Greco D, et al. Effects of chemotherapy during pregnancy on the placenta. *Pediatr Dev Pathol*. 2009;12(1):35–41.
50. De Vincenzo R, Tortorella L, Ricci C, et al. Locally advanced cervical cancer complicating pregnancy: a case of competing risks from the Catholic University of the Sacred Heart in Rome. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2018; 150(3):398–405.
51. Kayahashi K, Mizumoto Y, Myojo S, Mitani Y, Tajima A, Fujiwara H. A successful case of neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radical hysterectomy during pregnancy for advanced uterine cervical cancer accompanied by neonatal erythroderma. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res*. 2018;44(10):2003–2007.
52. Islam S, Mukhopadhyay L, Howells R. Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy and radical surgery for stage 1B cervical cancer in pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2012;32(2):191–192.
53. Cardonick E, Usmani A, Ghaffar S. Perinatal outcomes of a pregnancy complicated by cancer, including neonatal follow-up after in utero exposure to chemotherapy: results of an international registry. *Am J Clin Oncol*. 2010;33(3):221–228.
54. Favero G, Chiantera V, Oleszczuk A, et al. Invasive cervical cancer during pregnancy: laparoscopic nodal evaluation before oncologic treatment delay. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2010;118(2):123–127.
55. Pereg D, Koren G, Lishner M. Cancer in pregnancy: Gaps, challenges and solutions. *Cancer Treat Rev*. 2008;34(4):302–312.
56. Yu HH, Cheung PS, Leung RC, Leung TN, Kwan WH. Current management of pregnancy-associated breast cancer. *Hong Kong Med J*. 2017; 23(4):387–394.
57. Ngu SF, Ngan HY. Chemotherapy in pregnancy. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2016;33:86–101.
58. Cardonick E, Iacobucci A. Use of chemotherapy during human pregnancy. *Lancet Oncol*. 2004;5(5):283–291.
59. Loibl S, Han SN, von Minckwitz G, et al. Treatment of breast cancer during pregnancy: an observational study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2012;13(9):887–896.
60. Horowitz NA, Henig I, Henig O, Benyamini N, Vidal L, Avivi I. Acute myeloid leukemia during pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2018;59(3):610–616.
61. Peccatori FA, Azim HA, Orecchia R, et al; ESMO Guidelines Working Group. Cancer, pregnancy and fertility: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol*. 2013;24(suppl 6):vi160–vi170.
62. Brewer M, Kueck A, Runowicz CD. Chemotherapy in pregnancy. *Clin Obstet Gynecol*. 2011;54(4):602–618.
63. Mir O, Berrada N, Domont J, et al. Doxorubicin and ifosfamide for high-grade sarcoma during pregnancy. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol*. 2012;69(2):357–367.
64. Masetti R, Vendemini F, Zama D, Biagi C, Pession A, Locatelli F. Acute myeloid leukemia in infants: biology and treatment. *Front Pediatr*. 2015;3:37.

Supplementary material

Table S1 Characteristics of all patients included in the meta-analysis

Reference	Age (pregnancy), years	Gestational age (diagnosis), weeks	Pathological type	FIGO stage	NACT	Gestational age (chemotherapy), weeks	Adverse events during pregnancy	Response evaluation after NACT	Gestational age (delivery), weeks	Method of delivery
1	35	27	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	2× cisplatin 75 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 135 mg/m ² , q21d	27	No	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	35	Cesarean
2	33	16	Small-cell carcinoma	IB2	3× cisplatin 50 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 135 mg/m ² , q21d	17	No	MRI: tumor mass disappeared (complete response)	31	Cesarean
3	38	NA	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IIA	4× cisplatin 70 mg/m ² , q21d	15	No	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	34	Cesarean
3	42	NA	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IIA	5× cisplatin 75 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 135 mg/m ² , q21d	13	No	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	31	Cesarean
3	34	NA	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	4× cisplatin 75 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 135 mg/m ² , q21d	18	No	MRI: a reduction in tumor size (partial response)	34	Cesarean
3	35	NA	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	2× cisplatin 75 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 135 mg/m ² , q21d	28	No	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	35	Cesarean
4	33	26	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IIB	2× cisplatin 75 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 175 mg/m ² , q14d	28	No	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	34	Cesarean
5	NA	15	NA	NA	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	32	Cesarean
5	NA	20	NA	NA	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	32	Cesarean
5	NA	22	NA	NA	2× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	35	Cesarean
5	NA	15	NA	NA	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	32	Cesarean
5	NA	19	NA	NA	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	33	Cesarean
5	NA	20	NA	NA	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	32	Cesarean
5	NA	19	NA	NA	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	34	Cesarean
5	NA	14	NA	NA	4× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	31	Cesarean

Lymph-node status	Treatment time after delivery (first line)	Treatment after delivery (first line)	Further treatment after delivery (second line)	Relapse	Outcome, mother	OS (months)	PFS (months)	Delivery weight (g)	Fetal outcome
Negative	35 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH + PLND	RT + CT	No	NED	>39.25	>39.25	2,450	Healthy at birth; acute myeloid leukemia at 22 months; healthy at 37 months
Negative	31 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH + PLND	RT + CT	No	NED	>38	>38	1,446	Respiratory distress syndrome and erythema at birth; healthy at 2 months
Negative	1 month after delivery	RH	RT + CT	Yes	DOD	31	NA	1,950	Healthy at 63 months
Negative	31 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	RT	No	NED	>36	>36	1,520	Healthy at 31 months
Negative	34 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>31	>31	2,485	Healthy at 27 months
Negative	35 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	RT + CT	No	NED	>19	>19	2,450	Healthy at 18 months
Negative	34 weeks at cesarean delivery	PLND + PALND	RH 6 month after cesarean delivery	No	NED	>80	>80	2,040	Retroperitoneal embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma at 5 years old
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	35 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	33 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Positive	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	SH	NA	Yes	DOD	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	34 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	31 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA

(Continued)

Table S1 (Continued)

Reference	Age (pregnancy), years	Gestational age (diagnosis), weeks	Pathological type	FIGO stage	NACT	Gestational age (chemotherapy), weeks	Adverse events during pregnancy	Response evaluation after NACT	Gestational age (delivery), weeks	Method of delivery
5	NA	18	NA	NA	4× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	33	Cesarean
5	NA	17	NA	NA	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	32	Cesarean
5	NA	13	NA	NA	4× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	34	Cesarean
5	NA	16	NA	NA	4× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	32	Cesarean
5	NA	23	NA	NA	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	34	Cesarean
5	NA	17	NA	NA	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	32	Cesarean
5	NA	21	NA	NA	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	34	Cesarean
5	NA	19	NA	NA	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	30	Cesarean
5	NA	14	NA	NA	4× carboplatin (area under the curve 5), q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	36	Cesarean
5	NA	21	NA	NA	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	33	Cesarean
5	NA	20	NA	NA	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	33	Cesarean
5	NA	13	NA	NA	4× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	33	Cesarean
5	NA	15	NA	NA	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	NA	NA (stable disease)	33	Cesarean
6	31	19	Adenocarcinoma	IB1	3× cisplatin 60 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 135 mg/m ² , q21d	22	NA	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	33	Cesarean
6	26	25	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB1	1× cisplatin 60 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 135 mg/m ²	30	NA	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	34	Cesarean
6	38	13	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	4× cisplatin 60 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 135 mg/m ² , q21d	18	NA	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	35	Cesarean

Lymph-node status	Treatment time after delivery (first line)	Treatment after delivery (first line)	Further treatment after delivery (second line)	Relapse	Outcome, mother	OS (months)	PFS (months)	Delivery weight (g)	Fetal outcome
Negative	33 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	TMMR	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	None	None	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	34 weeks at cesarean delivery	PLND	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	34 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	30 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	36 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	33 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	33 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	None	None	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	33 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	NA	NA	NA	NA
Negative	33 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>104	>104	NA	Healthy at 104 months
Negative	34 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	CT	No	NED	>24	>24	NA	Healthy at 24 months
Negative	35 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	CT	No	NED	>35	>35	NA	Healthy at 35 months

(Continued)

Table S1 (Continued)

Reference	Age (pregnancy), years	Gestational age (diagnosis), weeks	Pathological type	FIGO stage	NACT	Gestational age (chemotherapy), weeks	Adverse events during pregnancy	Response evaluation after NACT	Gestational age (delivery), weeks	Method of delivery
7	34	24	Squamous-cell carcinoma	NA	5× cisplatin 70 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 90 mg/m ² , q7d	26	Thrombocytopenia, neutropenia, and decrease of creatinine clearance	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	34	Cesarean
8	27	19	Adenosquamous carcinoma	IB2	6× cisplatin 75 mg/m ² + doxorubicin 35 mg/m ² , q14d	20	None	MRI: tumor mass disappeared (complete response)	34	Cesarean
9	37	26	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	4× cisplatin 80 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 60 mg/m ² , q10d	NA	Nausea + vomiting	Vaginal examination: tumor regression (partial response)	32	Cesarean
10	NA	11	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	6× cisplatin 50 mg/m ² , q14d	14	Antepartum hemorrhage and placenta previa	MRI: significant increase in tumor size (disease progression)	28	Cesarean
11	24	23	Adenocarcinoma	IB1	2× cisplatin 50 mg/m ² + vincristine 1 mg/m ² , q28d	26	NA	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	34	Cesarean
12	26	18	Clear-cell adenocarcinoma	IB1	3× cisplatin 75 mg/m ² , q21d	19	NA	MRI: tumor mass disappeared (complete response)	32	Cesarean
13	29	13	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	Cisplatin 50 mg/m ² + vincristine 1 mg/m ² , q14d	NA	None	MRI: no change in tumor size (stable disease)	30	Cesarean
13	37	18	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	Cisplatin 75 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	None	MRI: no change in tumor size (stable disease)	32	Cesarean
13	28	16	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	Cisplatin 75 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 175 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	Oligohydramnios	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	33	Cesarean
13	36	16	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	Cisplatin 75 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 175 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	None	MRI: a reduction in tumor size (partial response)	34	Cesarean
13	32	20	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	Cisplatin 75 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	None	MRI: no change in tumor size (stable disease)	35	Cesarean
13	34	22	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB1	Cisplatin 75 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	None	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	36	Cesarean
13	39	20	Adenocarcinoma	IB1	Cisplatin 75 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	None	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	36	Cesarean

Lymph-node status	Treatment time after delivery (first line)	Treatment after delivery (first line)	Further treatment after delivery (second line)	Relapse	Outcome, mother	OS (months)	PFS (months)	Delivery weight (g)	Fetal outcome
NA	After delivery	RT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2,085	Severe perceptive hearing loss at birth
Negative	34 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	>20	>20	2,100	Healthy at 20 months
Negative	2 weeks after delivery	RH	RT	No	NED	>7.5	>7.5	2,800	Healthy at 6 months
NA	After delivery	RT + CT	RT + CT	Yes	DOD	24	18	1,800	Healthy at 60 months
Negative	34 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	CT	No	NED	>24	>24	2,150	Healthy at 6 months
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	>36	>36	1,650; 1,480; 1,580	Healthy at 36 months
Positive	30 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	RT	Yes	DOD	27	12.25	1,330	Healthy at 22.75 months
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>153	>153	2,890	Healthy at 149.5 months
Negative	33 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	Yes	NED	>113	11.25	2,030	Respiratory disorder at birth, received mechanical ventilation; healthy at 108.75 months
Negative	34 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	Yes	NED	>115	21.5	1,900	First-degree intraventricular hemorrhage at birth; healthy at 110.5 months
Negative	35 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	RT + CT	Yes	DOD	27	16.75	2,450	Healthy at 23.25 months
Negative	36 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>65	>65	2,990	Healthy at 61.5 months
Negative	36 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>41	>41	2,890	Healthy at 37 months

(Continued)

Table S1 (Continued)

Reference	Age (pregnancy), years	Gestational age (diagnosis), weeks	Pathological type	FIGO stage	NACT	Gestational age (chemotherapy), weeks	Adverse events during pregnancy	Response evaluation after NACT	Gestational age (delivery), weeks	Method of delivery
13	34	26	Adenocarcinoma	IB1	Cisplatin 75 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	None	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	36	Cesarean
13	37	8	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB1	Cisplatin 75 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	None	MRI: no change in tumor size (stable disease)	36	Cesarean
14	37	10	Adenocarcinoma	IB2	1× cisplatin (NA)	NA	Intolerance	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	34	Cesarean
15	NA	20	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB	3× cisplatin (NA), q28d	22	NA	NA	32	Cesarean
16	41	14	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	4× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	None	NA	31	Cesarean
17	36	27	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	2× cisplatin 50 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 75 mg/m ² , q14d	27	None	Vaginal examination: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	33	Cesarean
17	39	29	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	2× cisplatin 50 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 75 mg/m ² , q14d	29	None	Vaginal examination: tumor mass disappeared (complete response)	33	Cesarean
18	30	24	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IIB	4× cisplatin 75 mg/m ² + vincristine 1 mg/m ² , q21d	25	None	Vaginal examination: tumor mass disappeared (complete response)	37	Vaginal
19	28	20	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	Cisplatin (NA)	21	Miscarriage	NA	22	NA
19	34	20	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IIA	Cisplatin + vincristine (NA)	23	NA	NA	32	Cesarean
19	42	24	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	Cisplatin (NA)	27	NA	NA	36	Cesarean
20	NA	NA	NA	IB1	Cisplatin + vincristine (NA)	NA	None	NA	NA	NA
20	NA	NA	NA	IB1	Cisplatin (NA)	NA	None	NA	NA	NA
21	31	18	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB1	Cisplatin (NA)	NA	None	NA	34	Cesarean
21	34	22	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB1	Cisplatin (NA)	NA	None	NA	36	Cesarean

Lymph-node status	Treatment time after delivery (first line)	Treatment after delivery (first line)	Further treatment after delivery (second line)	Relapse	Outcome, mother	OS (months)	PFS (months)	Delivery weight (g)	Fetal outcome
Negative	36 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>43	>43	2,800	Healthy at 40.5 months
Positive	36 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	RT + CT	No	NED	>23	>23	2,200	Healthy at 16 months
Negative	34 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH + PLND	RT + CT	No	NED	>36	>36	2,800	Healthy at 36 months
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	RT + CT	No	NED	>19	>19	NA	Healthy at birth
Negative	31 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	CT	No	NED	>5.25	>5.25	1,580	Respiratory distress syndrome and hypotension at birth; healthy at 1 months
Negative	33 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	RT + CT	No	NED	>22.5	>22.5	2,200	Healthy at 21 months
Negative	33 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>41	>41	2,200	Healthy at 13 months
Negative	3 days after delivery	RH	NA	No	NED	>15.25	>15.25	2,450	Healthy at 12 months
Negative	After chemotherapy	RH	RT + CT	No	NED	>24	>24	NA	Miscarriage
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>156	>156	1,690	Anemia at birth; healthy at birth
Negative	36 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>36	>36	2,590	Healthy at birth
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Healthy at birth
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Healthy at birth
Negative	34 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	Yes	NED	>104	>10	NA	Healthy at birth
Negative	36 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	Yes	NED	>51.2	>5	NA	Healthy at birth

(Continued)

Table S1 (Continued)

Reference	Age (pregnancy), years	Gestational age (diagnosis), weeks	Pathological type	FIGO stage	NACT	Gestational age (chemotherapy), weeks	Adverse events during pregnancy	Response evaluation after NACT	Gestational age (delivery), weeks	Method of delivery
21	31	14	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB1	Cisplatin (NA)	NA	None	NA	32	Cesarean
21	29	18	Adenocarcinoma	IB1	Cisplatin (NA)	NA	None	NA	34	Cesarean
22	27	25	Small-cell carcinoma	IB1	3× cisplatin 75 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 175 mg/m ² , q21d	26	NA	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	35	Cesarean
22	32	28	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IIA	1× carboplatin (area under the curve 5) 75 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 175 mg/m ²	29	NA	Vaginal examination: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	33	Cesarean
22	27	28	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	2× cisplatin 75 mg/m ² + paclitaxel 175 mg/m ² , q21d	33	NA	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	36	Cesarean
23	27	15	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	4× cisplatin 75 mg/m ² , q21d	18	Anemia grade 3	MRI: mild increase in tumor size (stable disease)	32	Cesarean
24	26	21	Clear-cell carcinoma	IIB	3× cisplatin 100 mg/m ² , q21d	25	Nausea grade 1, fatigue grade 2	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	35	Cesarean
25	25	NA	NA	NA	Cisplatin (NA)	NA	NA	NA	27	Cesarean
25	33	NA	NA	NA	Cisplatin +5-fluorouracil (NA)	NA	NA	NA	34	Cesarean
26	30	23	Glossy-cell carcinoma	IIIB	25 weeks, 27 weeks, 29 weeks: cisplatin 30 mg/m ² + vincristine 1.5 mg/m ² ; 26 weeks, 28 weeks, 30 weeks: cisplatin 40 mg/m ²	25	None	Vaginal examination: decrease in cervical lesions (partial response)	31	Cesarean
16, 21, 27–29	35	15	Adenocarcinoma	IB1	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	20	Mild nausea and fatigue	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	32	Cesarean
16, 27, 28	31	20	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB1	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	None	NA	32	Cesarean

Lymph-node status	Treatment time after delivery (first line)	Treatment after delivery (first line)	Further treatment after delivery (second line)	Relapse	Outcome, mother	OS (months)	PFS (months)	Delivery weight (g)	Fetal outcome
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Healthy at birth
Negative	34 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Healthy at birth
Negative	35 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	Yes	DOD	51.5	48.5	2,570	Healthy at 49 months
Negative	33 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	Refused	No	NED	>33	>33	2,190	Healthy at 48 months
Positive	36 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	CT	No	NED	>62.25	>62.25	2,600	Healthy at 60 months
Positive	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	RT + CT	Yes	DOD	28.25	16.25	1,920	Respiratory distress syndrome and anemia at birth; healthy at 24 months
NA	After delivery	RT + CT	None	No	NED	>18.75	>18.75	2,380	Hypoglycemia and respiratory disorder at birth; healthy at 15 months
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Healthy at birth
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Healthy at birth
Positive	31 weeks at cesarean delivery	PLND + PALND	RT + CT	No	NED	>51.2	>51.2	1,660	Healthy at 49.2 months
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>21.5	>21.5	1,790 and 2,020	First neonate healthy at 17 months; second neonate respiratory disorder at birth, healthy at 17 months
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>15	>15	1,600	Respiratory distress syndrome at birth; healthy at 12 months

(Continued)

Table S1 (Continued)

Reference	Age (pregnancy), years	Gestational age (diagnosis), weeks	Pathological type	FIGO stage	NACT	Gestational age (chemotherapy), weeks	Adverse events during pregnancy	Response evaluation after NACT	Gestational age (delivery), weeks	Method of delivery
16, 27, 28	35	22	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB1	2× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	None	NA	35	Cesarean
16, 27, 28	36	15	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB1	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	None	NA	32	Cesarean
16, 27, 28	29	19	Adenocarcinoma	IB1	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	None	NA	33	Cesarean
16, 27, 28	36	20	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IV	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	None	NA	32	Cesarean
16, 27, 28	35	19	Adenocarcinoma	IA1	3× cisplatin 20 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	None	NA	34	Cesarean
30	31	22	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IIIB	2× cisplatin 50 mg/m ² , q14d	24	Preeclamptic syndrome	MRI: significant growth of tumors (disease progression)	28	Cesarean
31	38	19	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IIA	4× cisplatin 50 mg/m ² + vincristine 1 mg/m ² , q21d	23	Grade I anemia, grade I emesis	Vaginal examination: decrease in tumor mass (partial response)	32	Cesarean
32	28	23	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	7× cisplatin 40 mg/m ² , q7d	24	None	Vaginal examination: (stable disease)	33	Cesarean
33	30	20	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IIB	3× cisplatin 75 mg/m ² , q21d	NA	Allergic reaction grade 3 (as a result of paclitaxel)	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	35	Cesarean
34	28	15	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB1	6× cisplatin 75 mg/m ² , q10d	17	Thrombocytopenia grade 3	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	32	Cesarean
35	26	14	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IIB	2× cisplatin 50 mg/m ² + bleomycin 30 mg/m ² , q28d	17	None	MRI: reduction in tumor size (partial response)	38	Cesarean
36	34	16	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IIA	Cisplatin + vincristine (NA)	NA	None	NA (partial response)	34	Cesarean
36	36	21	Squamous-cell carcinoma	IB2	Cisplatin + vincristine (NA)	NA	None	NA (partial response)	32	Cesarean
37	NA	NA	NA	IB2	3× cisplatin 50 mg/m ² + vincristine 1 mg/m ² + bleomycin 25 mg/m ²	NA	NA	Vaginal examination: no change in tumor size (stable disease)	NA	NA

Lymph-node status	Treatment time after delivery (first line)	Treatment after delivery (first line)	Further treatment after delivery (second line)	Relapse	Outcome, mother	OS (months)	PFS (months)	Delivery weight (g)	Fetal outcome
Negative	35 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>10.25	>10.25	2,600	Respiratory distress syndrome at birth; healthy at 7 months
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>7.25	>7.25	1,930	Respiratory distress syndrome at birth; healthy at 3 months
Negative	33 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>6.5	>6.5	2,290	Respiratory distress syndrome and supraventricular tachycardia at birth; healthy at 3 months
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	RT + CT	No	NED	>6	>6	1,850	Respiratory distress syndrome at birth; healthy at 3 months
Negative	34 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>4.75	>4.75	2,960	Respiratory distress syndrome at birth; healthy at 1 months
NA	After delivery	RT + CT	None	Yes	DOD	11.5	0	NA	Healthy at delivery
Positive	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	CT	No	NED	>80	>80	1,920	Respiratory distress syndrome during the first 32 hours; healthy at 76.75 months
Negative	33 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	RT + CT	No	NED	>16.5	>16.5	2,450	Mild elevation in serum creatinine at birth; healthy at 14 months
Negative	35 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>13.75	>13.75	2,400	Healthy at 10 months
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>14.25	>14.25	1,715	Healthy at 6 months
NA	Refused	Refused	None	Yes	DOD	19	18	2,850	Healthy at 36 months
Negative	34 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	RT	Yes	NED	>9.5	9.5	2,160	Healthy at birth
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>26.75	>26.75	1,700	Healthy at 24 months
Positive	NA	NA	RT	Yes	DOD	52	35	NA	NA

(Continued)

Table S1 (Continued)

Reference	Age (pregnancy), years	Gestational age (diagnosis), weeks	Pathological type	FIGO stage	NACT	Gestational age (chemotherapy), weeks	Adverse events during pregnancy	Response evaluation after NACT	Gestational age (delivery), weeks	Method of delivery
37	NA	12	NA	IB2	3× cisplatin 50 mg/m ² + vincristine 1 mg/m ² + bleomycin 25 mg/m ²	NA	NA	Vaginal examination: decrease in tumor mass (partial response)	NA	NA
38	34	17	Squamous-cell carcinoma	I B	3× cisplatin 75 mg/m ² , q21d	22	None	NA (complete response)	32	Cesarean
39	25	10	Oat-cell carcinoma	IB	1× cisplatin 50 mg/kg	10	NA	NA (stable disease)	NA	NA

Abbreviations: CT, chemotherapy; DOD, death of disease; FIGO, International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; NACT, neoadjuvant chemotherapy; NA, not available; NED, no evidence of disease; PALND, pelvic-aortic lymphadenectomy; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; PLND, pelvic lymphadenectomy; RH, radical hysterectomy; RT, radiotherapy; SH, simple hysterectomy; TMMR, total mesometrial resection.

References

- De Vincenzo R, Tortorella L, Ricci C, et al. Locally advanced cervical cancer complicating pregnancy: a case of competing risks from the Catholic University of the Sacred Heart in Rome. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2018;150(3):398–405.
- Kayashiki K, Mizumoto Y, Myojo S, Mitani Y, Tajima A, Fujiwara H. A successful case of neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radical hysterectomy during pregnancy for advanced uterine cervical cancer accompanied by neonatal erythroderma. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res*. 2018;44(10):2003–2007.
- Ricci C, Scambia G, de Vincenzo R. Locally advanced cervical cancer in pregnancy: overcoming the challenge. A case series and review of the literature. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2016;26(8):1490–1496.
- Surbone A, Achdari C. Embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma in a child exposed to chemotherapy in utero: a mere coincidence? *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2016;207:235.
- Köhler C, Oppelt P, Favero G, et al. How much platinum passes the placental barrier? Analysis of platinum applications in 21 patients with cervical cancer during pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;213(2):206.e1–5.
- Kong TW, Lee EJ, Lee Y, Chang SJ, Son JH, Ryu HS. Neoadjuvant and postoperative chemotherapy with paclitaxel plus cisplatin for the treatment of FIGO stage IB cervical cancer in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol Sci*. 2014;57(6):539–543.
- Geijteman EC, Wensveen CW, Duvekot JJ, van Zuylen L. A child with severe hearing loss associated with maternal cisplatin treatment during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2014;124(2 Pt 2 Suppl 1):454–456.
- Peculis LD, Ius Y, Campion M, Friedlander M, Hacker N. Stage IB2 adenocarcinoma of the cervix diagnosed at 19-weeks' gestation. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2015;55(1):94–97.
- Yousefi Z, Hoshiyar AH, Kadkhodayan S, Hasanzade M, Kalantari MR, Mottaghi M. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radical surgery in locally advanced cervical cancer during pregnancy: case report and review of literature. *Oman Med J*. 2013;28(1):60–62.
- Dawood R, Instone M, Kehoe S. Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy for cervical cancer in pregnancy: a case report and literature review. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2013;171(2):205–208.
- de Lima CA, Barcelos AC, Paschoini MC, et al. Conservative treatment of uterine cervical adenocarcinoma in pregnancy. *Case Rep Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;2013:692017.
- Ayhan A, Dursun P, Karakaya BK, Ozen O, Tarhan C. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by cesarean radical hysterectomy in a triplet pregnancy complicated by clear cell carcinoma of the cervix: a case presentation and literature review. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2012;22(7):1198–1202.
- Fruscio R, Villa A, Chiari S, et al. Delivery delay with neoadjuvant chemotherapy for cervical cancer patients during pregnancy: a series of nine cases and literature review. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2012;126(2):192–197.
- Islam S, Mukhopadhyay L, Howells R. Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy and radical surgery for stage IB cervical cancer in pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2012;32(2):191–192.
- Chvatal R, Oppelt P, Koehler C, Habelsberger A, Yaman C. Simple trachelectomy of early invasive cervix carcinoma in the second trimester. *J Turk Ger Gynecol Assoc*. 2011;12(2):121–123.
- Lanowska M, Köhler C, Oppelt P, et al. Addressing concerns about cisplatin application during pregnancy. *J Perinat Med*. 2011;39(3):279–285.
- Li J, Wang LJ, Zhang BZ, Peng YP, Lin ZQ. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy with paclitaxel plus platinum for invasive cervical cancer in pregnancy: two case report and literature review. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2011;284(3):779–783.
- da Fonseca AJ, Dalla-Benetta AC, Ferreira LP, Martins CR, Lins CD. [Neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by radical surgery in pregnant patient with invasive cervical cancer: case report and literature review]. *Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet*. 2011;33(1):43–48.
- Gambino A, Gorio A, Carrara L, et al. Cancer in pregnancy: maternal and fetal implications on decision-making. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol*. 2011;32(1):40–45.
- Cardonick E, Usmani A, Ghaffar S. Perinatal outcomes of a pregnancy complicated by cancer, including neonatal follow-up after in utero exposure to chemotherapy: results of an international registry. *Am J Clin Oncol*. 2010;33(3):221–228.
- Favero G, Chiantera V, Oleszczuk A, et al. Invasive cervical cancer during pregnancy: laparoscopic nodal evaluation before oncologic treatment delay. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2010;118(2):123–127.
- Chun KC, Kim DY, Kim JH, Kim YM, Kim YT, Nam JH. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy with paclitaxel plus platinum followed by radical surgery in early cervical cancer during pregnancy: three case reports. *Jpn J Clin Oncol*. 2010;40(7):694–698.

Lymph-node status	Treatment time after delivery (first line)	Treatment after delivery (first line)	Further treatment after delivery (second line)	Relapse	Outcome, mother	OS (months)	PFS (months)	Delivery weight (g)	Fetal outcome
Negative	NA	NA	None	Yes	DOD	59	46	NA	NA
Negative	32 weeks at cesarean delivery	RH	None	No	NED	>15.75	>15.75	2,120	Healthy at 12 months
Negative	2 weeks following chemotherapy	RH	RT	No	NED	>9.5	>9.5	None	None

23. Rabaiotti E, Sigismondi C, Montoli S, Mangili G, Candiani M, Viganò R. Management of locally advanced cervical cancer in pregnancy: a case report. *Tumori*. 2010;96(4):623–626.
24. Boyd A, Cowie V, Gourley C. The use of cisplatin to treat advanced-stage cervical cancer during pregnancy allows fetal development and prevents cancer progression: report of a case and review of the literature. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2009;19(2):273–276.
25. Abellar RG, Pepperell JR, Greco D, et al. Effects of chemotherapy during pregnancy on the placenta. *Pediatr Dev Pathol*. 2009;12(1):35–41.
26. Seamon LG, Downey GO, Harrison CR, Doss B, Carlson JW. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by post-partum chemoradiotherapy and chemoconsolidation for stage IIIB glassy cell cervical carcinoma during pregnancy. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2009;114(3):540–541.
27. Marnitz S, Köhler C, Oppelt P, et al. Cisplatin application in pregnancy: first in vivo analysis of 7 patients. *Oncology*. 2010;79(1–2):72–77.
28. Marnitz S, Schmittl A, Bolbrinker J, et al. The therapeutic management of a twin pregnancy complicated by the presence of cervical cancer, following laparoscopic staging and chemotherapy, with an emphasis on cisplatin concentrations in the fetomaternal compartments amnion fluid, umbilical cord, and maternal serum. *Fertil Steril*. 2009;92(5):1748.e1–4.
29. Favero G, Lanowska M, Schneider A, Marnitz S, Köhler C. Laparoscopic pelvic lymphadenectomy in a patient with cervical cancer stage Ib1 complicated by a twin pregnancy. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol*. 2010;17(1):118–120.
30. Benhaim Y, Pautier P, Bensaïd C, Lhommé C, Haie-Meder C, Morice P. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy for advanced stage cervical cancer in a pregnant patient: report of one case with rapid tumor progression. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2008;136(2):267–268.
31. Bader AA, Petru E, Winter R. Long-term follow-up after neoadjuvant chemotherapy for high-risk cervical cancer during pregnancy. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2007;105(1):269–272.
32. Karam A, Feldman N, Holschneider CH. Neoadjuvant cisplatin and radical cesarean hysterectomy for cervical cancer in pregnancy. *Nat Clin Pract Oncol*. 2007;4(6):375–380.
33. Palaia I, Pernice M, Graziano M, Bellati F, Panici PB. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy plus radical surgery in locally advanced cervical cancer during pregnancy: a case report. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;197(4):e5–e6.
34. Caluwaerts S, van Calsteren K, Mertens L, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by radical hysterectomy for invasive cervical cancer diagnosed during pregnancy: report of a case and review of the literature. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2006;16(2):905–908.
35. Marana HR, de Andrade JM, da Silva Mathes AC, Duarte G, da Cunha SP, Bighetti S. Chemotherapy in the treatment of locally advanced cervical cancer and pregnancy. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2001;80(2):272–274.
36. Tewari K, Cappuccini F, Gambino A, Kohler MF, Pecorelli S, Disaia PJ. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy in the treatment of locally advanced cervical carcinoma in pregnancy: a report of two cases and review of issues specific to the management of cervical carcinoma in pregnancy including planned delay of therapy. *Cancer*. 1998;82(8):1529–1534.
37. Lai CH, Hsueh S, Chang TC, et al. Prognostic factors in patients with bulky stage IB or IIA cervical carcinoma undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radical hysterectomy. *Gynecol Oncol*. 1997;64(3):456–462.
38. Giacalone PL, Laffargue F, Benos P, Rousseau O, Hedon B. Cisplatin neoadjuvant chemotherapy in a pregnant woman with invasive carcinoma of the uterine cervix. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1996;103(9):932–934.
39. Jacobs AJ, Marchevsky A, Gordon RE, Deppe G, Cohen CJ. Oat cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix in a pregnant woman treated with cis-diamminedichloroplatinum. *Gynecol Oncol*. 1980;9(3):405–410.

Drug Design, Development and Therapy

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are the features of the journal, which

has also been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal>