

Efficacy and Safety of Sonidegib in Adult Patients with Nevoid Basal Cell Carcinoma Syndrome (Gorlin Syndrome): Results from a Phase 2, Double-Blind, Randomized Trial

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:
Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology

John T Lear¹
Axel Hauschild²
Eggert Stockfleth³
Nicholas Squittieri⁴
Nicole Basset-Seguin⁵
Reinhard Dummer⁶

¹Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester, UK; ²Klinik Für Dermatologie, Venerologie Und Allergologie Universitätsklinikum Schleswig-Holstein, Kiel, Germany; ³Universitätsklinik Bochum, Bochum, Germany; ⁴Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc., Princeton, NJ, USA; ⁵Department of Dermatology, Hôpital Saint Louis, Paris, France; ⁶Skin Cancer Center University Hospital, Zürich, Switzerland

Introduction

Nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome (NBCCS), or Gorlin syndrome, is a rare hereditary disease characterized by the development of multiple cutaneous basal cell carcinomas (BCCs) from a young age.¹ Loss-of-function germline mutations in the hedgehog-related *patched 1* (*PTCH1*) tumor suppressor gene are the most common cause of NBCCS.¹ The hedgehog signaling pathway plays a major role in embryonic development, and in adulthood, is involved in the renewal and maintenance of distinct tissues, including hair follicles, muscle stem cells, and gastric epithelium.² Its abnormal activation is thought to drive the formation of both sporadic BCCs and those resulting from NBCCS.¹ Patients with NBCCS inherit one inactive copy of *PTCH1* and then acquire a “second-hit” mutation, resulting in hedgehog pathway activation and BCC formation.¹ Mutations in *Suppressor of fused* (*SUFU*) or the *PTCH1* homolog *PTCH2* have also been found in a subset of patients meeting criteria for NBCCS.^{1,3}

Treatment of BCCs in patients with NBCCS can be extremely difficult due to the large number of tumors. Patients with a limited number of BCCs may benefit from surgical excision.³ However, patients with aggressive or recurrent tumors, as well as tumors in delicate or high-risk areas, may benefit from Mohs micrographic surgery, which is lengthy and costly.³ Multiple surgical procedures can be a source of discomfort, pain, and disfigurement for patients with NBCCS, leading to an unmet need for nonsurgical and minimally invasive treatment options.

Sonidegib (Odomzo[®]; Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc.; Cranbury, NJ), a hedgehog pathway inhibitor (HPI), is approved for the treatment of adult patients with locally advanced BCC (laBCC) that has recurred following surgery or radiation therapy, or those who are not candidates for surgery or radiation therapy.⁴ Approval was based on results from the BOLT (BCC outcomes with LDE225 [sonidegib] treatment) study.^{5,6} Here we report the results of an exploratory study evaluating the safety, preliminary efficacy, and pharmacokinetics of sonidegib in patients with NBCCS after 12 weeks of treatment.

Correspondence: John T Lear
University of Manchester, 46 Grafton
Street, Manchester M13 9NT, UK
Tel +44 161 276 4173
Fax +44 161 276 8881
Email john.lear@srft.nhs.uk

Methods

This phase 2, double-blind, randomized study (NCT01350115) adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki and International Council for Harmonisation Consolidated Guideline E6 for Good Clinical Practice. Approval to the study protocol and all amendments was provided by the Ethics Committees at Erasmus Hospital, UZ Leuven, the Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel, and the University of Vienna; and by the Institutional Review Board at Aurora, Ontario. All patients provided written informed consent before enrollment.

Adults with at least two BCCs were eligible to enroll if they met at least one of the following diagnostic criteria for NBCCS: keratocystic odontogenic tumors, either from medical history or optional radiography at screening; at least three palmar and/or plantar pits; history of bilamellar calcification of the falx cerebri or bifid, fused, or markedly splayed ribs; first-degree relatives with NBCCS; and *PTCH1* mutation identified in genome from nontumor tissue. Patients with a histologically confirmed diagnosis of laBCC or metastatic BCC (mBCC) not amenable to radiation therapy or curative surgery were excluded from the study, as were patients with an ongoing or recent history of severe, progressive, or uncontrolled systemic disease. Additional key exclusion criteria included use of topical treatments for BCCs in the 4 weeks prior to the first dose of study drug; any prior use of HPI; and use of photodynamic therapy, radiation, or systemic treatments known to affect BCCs.

Randomization was planned 6:1 to sonidegib 400 mg daily or placebo for 12 weeks. At screening, one target BCC was selected per patient; the target BCC was a nonrecurring, previously untreated or unbiopsied BCC 5–20 mm long in a location amenable to surgical excision. Clinical clearance of the target BCC was assessed every 2 weeks until the lesion was excised at week 16. After week 19, patients returned approximately every 2 months for the next 6 months to assess tumor burden and safety.

The primary endpoint was target BCC clinical clearance at week 16, with demonstrated $\geq 60\%$ posterior probability that $\geq 50\%$ of the patients receiving sonidegib exhibited complete clinical clearance. Clinical clearance was assessed by a blinded, experienced, and qualified dermatologist who compared the presentation of the target BCC during the study visit to a macroscopic image of the BCC at baseline. For consistency, the same investigator assessed a particular BCC at every study visit. The

response was evaluated on a 6-grade scale from “worsening” to “complete clearance” (all scale grades summarized in Table 1), where complete clearance was defined as the absence of any clinical signs of carcinoma, except post-inflammatory changes such as erythema, changes in pigmentation, or scarring. This approach was designed to be simple and reproducible and to replicate evaluation of a BCC in clinical practice.

Secondary assessments included target BCC histological clearance at week 16 and tumor burden assessed by BCC counts every 2–4 weeks and at follow-up visits. Safety

Table 1 Efficacy and Safety Outcomes

Efficacy	Sonidegib	Placebo
	n = 7 ^a	n = 2
Patients with clinical clearance of target BCC at week 16 ^b		
Complete (100% improvement)	3 (43)	0
Marked (76%–99% improvement)	3 (43)	0
Moderate (26%–75% improvement)	1 (14)	0
Slight (1%–25% improvement)	0	1 (50)
Worsening	0	1 (50)
Patients with histological clearance of target BCC at week 16	4 (57)	0
Number of total BCCs		
Baseline	566	510
Week 12	341	571
Week 16	309	619
Safety	n = 8	n = 2
Any AEs (through long-term follow-up period)	7 (88)	1 (50)
Mild	3 (38)	0
Moderate	3 (38)	0
Severe	1 (13)	1 (50)
Related to treatment	6 (75)	1 (50)
Leading to discontinuation	0	1 (50)
Serious	1 (13)	1 (50)
AEs in $\geq 20\%$ of patients (core study period)		
Muscle spasms	3 (38)	1 (50)
Alopecia	2 (25)	0
CK increased	2 (25)	0
Fatigue	2 (25)	0
Headache	2 (25)	0
Nasopharyngitis	2 (25)	0
Nausea	2 (25)	0

Notes: Data presented as n (%) of total patients in treatment arm unless otherwise indicated. ^aOne patient was excluded from efficacy analysis due to receipt of placebo in 5 of 13 doses. ^bOne target BCC was counted per patient and assigned to 1 of 5 listed clearance categories.

Abbreviations: AE, adverse event; BCC, basal cell carcinoma; CK, creatine kinase.

assessments included adverse event (AE) monitoring through the study and for up to 6 months following core study completion. AE toxicity was graded according to the National Cancer Institute common terminology criteria for AEs version 4.0.

Results

Ten patients were enrolled; 8 and 7 completed the core study and long-term follow-up, respectively (Figure 1). Due to AEs and laboratory assessment abnormalities, 2 patients discontinued sonidegib treatment on days 65 and 72, respectively. These patients were still included in the analysis and enrolled in the long-term follow-up, because they had completed a significant part of the 12-week treatment period. Median (range) age was 53 (37–68) years and (range) age was (64–68) years for sonidegib vs placebo, respectively. Male patients comprised 50% and 100% of the sonidegib and placebo groups, respectively.

Complete clinical clearance at week 16 was observed in 3 of 7 (43%) patients receiving sonidegib (Table 1). One patient receiving sonidegib was excluded from analysis due to a protocol deviation. In the placebo group, 1 (50%) patient exhibited slight clearance at

week 16 and 1 (50%) exhibited worsening. A 35% posterior probability of the true complete clinical clearance rate being $\geq 50\%$ was derived from the 43% observed complete clinical clearance. Since posterior probability was $< 60\%$, the primary endpoint was not met. At week 16, 4 (57%) patients receiving sonidegib demonstrated target BCC complete histological clearance vs 0 receiving placebo.

At baseline, the total BCC number in the sonidegib group was 566 vs 510 for the placebo group (Table 1). At weeks 12 and 16, the total BCC for the sonidegib group was 341 and 309, respectively, vs 571 and 619 for the placebo group. The number of BCCs per patient was highly variable; the 4 patients with the highest number of BCCs in the sonidegib group at baseline had between 65 and 214 tumors, while several patients had < 5 tumors.

Most AEs were mild-to-moderate (Table 1). One patient receiving sonidegib experienced a serious AE (face cellulitis) during the long-term follow-up that was not suspected to be related to sonidegib. One patient receiving placebo experienced two serious AEs; worsening of BCC on the head and residual BCC growth after recent surgery. No deaths occurred. The most common AE was




Figure 1 Patient disposition.

Notes: ^aPatients were still included in the analysis and enrolled in long-term follow-up due to completion of most of the treatment period. ^bOne patient was excluded from efficacy analysis due to receipt of placebo in 5 of 13 doses.

Abbreviation: BCC, basal cell carcinoma.

muscle spasms, reported in 3 (38%) and 1 (50%) patients receiving sonidegib and placebo, respectively. Alopecia and elevated creatine kinase were each reported in 2 (25%) and 0 patients receiving sonidegib and placebo, respectively.

Discussion

While the primary efficacy endpoint in this study was not met, potentially due to small sample size, oral administration of sonidegib 400 mg daily for 12 weeks resulted in complete clinical clearance of a target BCC in 3 of 7 patients with NBCCS. The remaining four patients experienced at least moderate clinical clearance. Overall, all patients receiving sonidegib 400 mg experienced some clearance of BCC, and no patient receiving sonidegib had worsening of the target BCC. There was a discrepancy between clinical and histological BCC clearance, potentially due to remaining erythema or inflammation confounding clinical evaluation of BCCs.

The efficacy and safety of sonidegib were previously evaluated in patients with laBCC or mBCC in the BOLT study.^{5,6} The approved sonidegib dose of 200 mg daily achieved an objective response rate (ORR) by central review (95% confidence interval [CI] of 43% (28%–59%) and 15% (2%–45%) in patients with laBCC and mBCC, respectively, after up to 6 months of treatment.⁵ In follow-up results at 42 months, sonidegib 200 mg daily maintained its efficacy profile with ORR per central review (95% CI) of 56.1% (43.3%–68.3%) and 7.7% (0.2%–36.0%) in laBCC and mBCC, respectively.⁶ The most common AEs at 42 months in patients (n [%]) receiving sonidegib 200 mg daily included muscle spasms (43 [54.4%]), alopecia (39 [49.4%]), and dysgeusia (35 [44.3%]).⁶ Guidelines were established for the management of AEs using dose interruptions.⁵

Vismodegib (Erivedge[®], Genentech, San Francisco, CA), a HPI, was evaluated at a dose of 150 mg daily vs placebo in a randomized, double-blind, phase 2 study in patients with NBCCS.⁷ Treatment with vismodegib led to a significantly reduced mean rate of appearance of surgically eligible BCCs vs placebo (2 vs 34 new BCCs per patient per year, respectively, $P < 0.0001$). After discontinuation of vismodegib, the rate of appearance of new surgically eligible BCCs was not significantly different from the placebo group (0.06 vs 0.12 new BCCs per month for vismodegib vs placebo, respectively, $P = 0.06$). AEs in the vismodegib group—including alopecia (100%), muscle cramps (100%), and dysgeusia (93%)—

limited the use of vismodegib and led to discontinuation in 19 of 25 patients at 1 study site (76%).⁷ The prevalence of these AEs in the current study was lower when compared with BOLT and the study of vismodegib in NBCCS, potentially due to shorter treatment duration or smaller patient numbers.

Limitations of the current study include the small sample size, with only two patients in the placebo arm, which contributed to limited statistical evaluation of efficacy and safety results. In 37.5% of patients receiving sonidegib, tumor histology was unknown. In addition, efficacy outcomes were assessed at 16 weeks of treatment, which may have been too short to fully assess the clinical effects of sonidegib in patients with NBCCS. Reporting of standard oncological measures, such as objective response rate and best overall response, would contribute to a more complete assessment of sonidegib efficacy in NBCCS; however, the small sample size, short duration, and exploratory design of the study may limit the conclusions that can be drawn from these measures.

This is the first report demonstrating the efficacy and safety of sonidegib in NBCCS. Sonidegib showed promising efficacy as demonstrated by partial or complete clinical clearance of target BCCs and decreased tumor burden in all patients, and histological clearance in 57% of the patients. Overall, sonidegib appeared well tolerated. Further studies are needed to thoroughly evaluate the sonidegib safety and efficacy trends observed in patients with NBCCS.

Data Sharing Statement

Data and other documents will be made available after publication, with no end date, to anyone who submits a reasonable request to the study sponsor.

Acknowledgments

This study was sponsored and funded by Novartis. Writing and editorial support for manuscript preparation were provided by Ginny Feltzin, PhD, of AlphaBioCom, LLC, and funded by Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc., Princeton, NJ, USA. All authors met the International Council of Medical Journal Editors criteria and received neither honoraria nor payment for authorship.

Disclosure

JTL receives personal fees from Novartis and Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc. RD receives grants and personal fees from Bristol Myers-Squibb, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck

Sharpe and Dohme, Novartis, and Roche. AH serves as consultant to Roche, Novartis, Amgen, Celgene, GlaxoSmithKline, MedImmune, MelaSciences, Merck Serono, Oncosec, and Eisai; and received speaker fees, travel grants, and research funding from Roche, Novartis, Amgen, Celgene, GlaxoSmithKline, MedImmune, MelaSciences, Merck Serono, Oncosec, and Eisai. NS and NBS are employees of Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc.; NBS receives honoraria from Galderma, Leo, Pierre Fabre, Novartis, and Roche; consulting fees from Galderma, Leo, Pierre Fabre, Novartis, and Roche; patents, royalties, or other intellectual property from Genentech/F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Ltd.; and travel, accommodations, or expenses from Galderma, Leo, and Roche. ES has served as a consultant to LEO Pharma A/S. RD receives grants and personal fees from Amgen, Bristol Myers-Squibb, Catalym, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck Sharpe and Dohme, Novartis, Roche, Pierre Fabre, Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc, Sanofi, Second Genome, and Takeda. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Bresler SC, Padwa BL, Granter SR. Nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome (gorlin syndrome). *Head Neck Pathol.* 2016;10(2):119–124. doi:10.1007/s12105-016-0706-9
2. Petrova R, Joyner AL. Roles for Hedgehog signaling in adult organ homeostasis and repair. *Development.* 2014;141(18):3445–3457. doi:10.1242/dev.083691
3. Kiwilsza M, Sporniak-Tutak K. Gorlin-Goltz syndrome—a medical condition requiring a multidisciplinary approach. *Med Sci Monit.* 2012;18(9):Ra145–153. doi:10.12659/MSM.883341
4. Odomzo (sonidegib capsules). *Full Prescribing Information.* Cranbury, NJ, USA: Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc; 2017.
5. Migden MR, Guminski A, Gutzmer R, et al. Treatment with two different doses of sonidegib in patients with locally advanced or metastatic basal cell carcinoma (BOLT): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015;16(6):716–728. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(15)70100-2
6. Dummer R, Guminski A, Gutzmer R, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of sonidegib in patients with advanced basal cell carcinoma: 42-month analysis of the phase 2 randomised, double-blind BOLT study. *Br J Dermatol.* 2019. doi:10.1111/bjd.18552
7. Tang JY, Ally MS, Chanana AM, et al. Inhibition of the hedgehog pathway in patients with basal-cell nevus syndrome: final results from the multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2016;17(12):1720–1731. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30566-6

Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal that focuses on the latest clinical and experimental research in all aspects of skin disease and cosmetic interventions. This journal is indexed on CAS.

The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-cosmetic-and-investigational-dermatology-journal>