## Adherence and Efficacy of Smoking Cessation Treatment Among Patients with COPD in China
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#### Abstract

Background: Smoking cessation is a key intervention for all smokers with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Poor treatment adherence is a challenge in clinical practice that might contribute to the lower efficacy of medication (eg, oral drug). However, it is unclear what factors will influence adherence among smokers with COPD. Methods: This study was based on an open-label randomized controlled trial (RCT) of varenicline and bupropion for smoking cessation among patients with COPD in China. The medication was given for 12 weeks, and visits and assessments were conducted at weeks 0 , $1,2,4,6,9,12$, and 24 . We assessed whether the adherence to smoking cessation treatment affects the smoking cessation efficacy and evaluated predictors of adherence. Results: A total of 136 participants were recruited from February 2019 to June 2020, and analyzed using the intention-to-treat (ITT) method. In this study, $48.5 \%$ (66/136) of the total participants had good adherence to smoking cessation, and good adherence significantly improved the efficacy of smoking cessation ( $\mathrm{OR}=9.60,95 \% \mathrm{CI} 4.02-22.96, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). After adjusting for age, gender, nationality, education, and marital status, we found older age, higher education level, having more previous quitting attempts, stronger self-efficacy and preparation in quitting smoking, recognizing hazards of smoking, longer duration of COPD, and higher St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) scores were relevant to good adherence ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). Conclusion: To our best knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate adherence to smoking cessation treatment among patients with COPD in China. Our study found that good adherence to smoking cessation treatment significantly improved the smoking cessation efficacy, and predictors of adherence were evaluated. We call on the medical community to pay attention to the adherence to smoking cessation among patients with COPD.
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## Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a common respiratory disease characterized by persistent airflow limitations and respiratory symptoms. ${ }^{1}$ COPD is a worldwide public health challenge because of its high prevalence and disability, and it remains the third leading cause of death worldwide in 2016. ${ }^{2}$

The economic and social burden of COPD in China is heavier than that in developed countries, with COPD rapidly becoming a leading cause of mortality in China. ${ }^{3,4}$ It is estimated that the overall prevalence of COPD in China is $8.6 \%$, accounting for 99.9 million in 2018. ${ }^{4}$

The 2021 Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) guideline points out that the risk for developing COPD results from an interaction
between genetics and many environmental factors, and smoking is the most common environmental factor. For example, a higher prevalence of respiratory symptoms and mortality rates of COPD are seen among smokers than non-smokers. ${ }^{1}$

Smoking cessation is a key evidence-based intervention for all smokers with COPD, which can slow the accelerated decline in lung function and reduce the risk of development of COPD. ${ }^{1}$ To date, the treatment for smoking cessation mainly includes psychological intervention, behavioral support, pharmacotherapy, etc. ${ }^{5}$ Among them, pharmacotherapy can significantly improve the success rate of smoking cessation. ${ }^{6}$ Nevertheless, despite different interventions for smoking cessation, the chance of smokers with COPD definitively quitting smoking was still low in the real world. ${ }^{7}$

European Society for Patient Adherence, Compliance and Persistence defined medication/treatment adherence as "the process by which patients take their medication/treatment as prescribed". ${ }^{8}$ Poor treatment adherence is a challenge in clinical practice that might reduce the efficacy of medication (eg, oral drug). ${ }^{8}$ Previous studies founded that $20 \%$ of the smokers who receive pharmacotherapies for smoking cessation never take medication as prescribed, ${ }^{9,10}$ and smokers often use them in fewer doses and for less time than health professionals suggest. For instance, good adherence to smoking cessation treatment occurs among $50 \%$ or fewer users of nicotine replacement therapy. ${ }^{11}$

Previous studies related to smoking cessation treatment adherence mostly focused on the general population. However, most studies did not distinguish different kinds of smokers, although there is some evidence that smokingrelated features differ between smokers with COPD and smokers without COPD. For example, smokers with COPD might have more difficulty quitting smoking because of their longer duration of smoking, and lower self-efficacy. ${ }^{12}$ This suggests that smokers maybe are not a homogeneous population, and therefore it is important to make the intervention most suitable for smokers with COPD. ${ }^{13}$

Moreover, predictors of adherence to smoking cessation treatment may vary based on the population being assessed. Individuals with chronic diseases (eg, COPD) may have more difficulties as the use of smoking cessation medication further complicates medication self-management, and they tend to face a greater burden of smoking-related morbidity compared with the general population. ${ }^{11,14}$ Therefore, identified predictors of adherence maybe not be generalized to
patients with chronic diseases, and this highlights the need for more studies to evaluate predictors of adherence for these diseases.

There are a few studies about adherence to smoking cessation treatment among patients with chronic diseases. ${ }^{11,15}$ However, to the best of our knowledge, it is unclear what factors will influence adherence to smoking cessation among smokers with COPD.

Therefore, this study aimed to assess whether the adherence to smoking cessation treatment affects the smoking cessation efficacy, and evaluate predictors of adherence to smoking cessation treatment among patients with COPD in China.

## Method

## Study Design

This study was an exploratory analysis of data from an ongoing open-label randomized controlled trial (RCT) of varenicline and bupropion for smoking cessation among patients with COPD in China. This study was approved by Institutional Review Boards at China-Japan Friendship Hospital (No. 2018-108-K77) and registered in the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (No. CTR1900021400, URL: http://www.chictr.org.cn).

This study was carried out at the China-Japan Friendship hospital in Beijing, China. Eligible participants were recruited via a trial site, a hotline of smoking cessation, advertisements in the community from February 2019 to June 2020.

All participants signed informed consent forms, received compensation for transportation, and all medication was distributed to participants free of charge.

## Participants

Participants were included if they voluntarily participated in this trial and signed the informed consent form; they were diagnosed as COPD; ${ }^{1}$ they were diagnosed as tobacco dependence; ${ }^{16}$ they reported smoking for more than 5 years and smoking an average of more than 10 cigarettes per day during the previous year; exhaled carbon monoxide ( ECO ) $\geq 10 \mathrm{ppm}$; they were required to be age 18-85.

COPD was diagnosed as the post-bronchodilator ratio of forced expiratory volume in 1 s and forced vital capacity (FEV1/FVC) less than 0.70, according to the GOLD guideline. ${ }^{1}$

Tobacco dependence was diagnosed if a minimum of three of the following six were met: 1) craving or a strong desire to use tobacco; 2) there is an unsuccessful effort to control the use of tobacco; 3) tobacco withdrawal after abrupt cessation or reduction of tobacco use; 4) tolerance, defined as the need for markedly increased amounts of tobacco to achieve the desired effect; 5) important social, or recreational activities or hobbies are given up or reduced because of tobacco use; and 6) tobacco use is continued despite recognizing the hazards of smoking. ${ }^{16}$

Participants were excluded if they had severe cardiovascular diseases (eg, acute myocardial infarction) or cerebrovascular diseases (eg, stroke); had neuropsychiatric disorders (eg, seizure and anorexia), had severe impairment of liver and kidney function (eg, renal failure), were pregnant or lactating women, had use of bupropion or varenicline within the last 30 days, or were allergic to them.

## Randomization and Blindness

First, randomization was stratified by high/low nicotine metabolic rates; second, the allocation was assigned in a ratio of $1: 1$ in blocks of four patients ( $2 /$ treatment/block) to ensure approximate balance. Third, a biostatistician, independent of the study used Proc Plan in SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute) to generate a table of random digit to randomly assign the numbers to the two groups. (the number of the random seed is $87,654,321$ ).

To ensure random concealment, the group information assigned to each participant was put in a sealed, and opaque envelope. At the same time, the people who generated and saved the random allocation plan and the researchers who determined the selected participant were ensured to be different people.

Because of the different medication packaging, only statisticians were blinded to medication allocation.

## Intervention

If eligible and willing to enroll, participants received 12 weeks of medication, and they were required to set a target quit date within 2 weeks after medication. Participants were required to make eight outpatient visits to ChinaJapan Friendship Hospital through 24 weeks. Face-toface visits and assessments were conducted at weeks 0 , $1,2,4,6,9,12$, and 24 after initiation of treatment. Participants received a counseling session for more than 60 minutes when they began medication at week 0 , and
they also received up to 10 min of counseling at weeks 1 , $2,4,6,9,12$, and 24 .

The participants received the varenicline (purchased from Pfizer, Illertissen, Germany) 0.5 mg once per day for the first 3 days; 0.5 mg twice per day for the next 4 days; 1 mg twice per day from day 8 .

The participants received the bupropion (purchased from Venturepharm, Hainan, China) one 150 mg tablet per day.

This study was conducted according to the China Clinical Guideline for Tobacco Cessation. ${ }^{17}$

## Measures

## Baseline Characteristics

A questionnaire completed by participants at baseline provided measures of participant characteristics, including:

Demographics gender, age, nationality, education, marital status, etc.

Tobacco-related characteristics the number of cigarettes per day; duration of smoking; the Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND), which included six items, and the total score of $0-3,4-6$, and $7-10$ meant mild, moderate, and severe tobacco dependence, respectively; ${ }^{18}$ previous quitting attempts; the Visual Analogue Scale was used to assess the self-efficacy and preparation in quitting smoking, and the score of $1-3,4-6,7-10$ meant the level weak, medium, strong, respectively; ${ }^{19}$ whether recognizing the hazards of smoking, etc.

COPD-related features the duration of COPD; the GOLD stage I-IV, which were the classification of airflow limitation severity. FEV1 $\geq 80 \%$ predicted value (GOLD stage I), $50 \%$ predicted value $\leq \mathrm{FEV} 1<80 \%$ predicted value (stage II), $30 \%$ predicted value $\leq \mathrm{FEV} 1<50 \%$ (stage III), $\mathrm{FEV} 1<30 \%$ predicted value (stage IV) means mild, moderate, severe and very severe airflow limitation, respectively; ${ }^{1}$ St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ), which consisted of 50 questions divided into three subscales: symptom (8 questions), activity (16 questions), and impact ( 26 questions). All the questions had an attributed weight, and the total score was obtained from the sum of the three categories. ${ }^{20}$

## Adherence to Smoking Cessation Treatment

Good adherence to smoking cessation treatment was defined as taking $>80 \%$ of medication across 12 weeks and face-to-face visits more than 5 ; otherwise, it was considered to have poor adherence. Pill count data were collected using a timeline follow-back method (TLFB) ${ }^{21}$
through self-report and by collecting used pill blisterpackages to confirm the accuracy of self-reports.

## Smoking Abstinence

ECO was used to determine abstinence among participants who received smoking cessation treatment, with 10 ppm used as a cut-point for abstinence. ${ }^{17}$ ECO was collected inperson at weeks $0,1,2,4,6,9,12$, and 24 .

## FTND Score

FTND score was also collected at weeks $1,2,4,6,9,12$, and 24 .

## Statistical Analysis

SPSS 26.0 software was used for all data statistics. Categorical measures were indicated with frequency and percentage, and continuous measures were expressed with Means $\pm$ Standard Deviation ( $\mathrm{X} \pm$ SD). For comparison of baseline characteristics between the two groups, the $t$-test was used for comparison which met Gaussian distribution and homogeneity of variance, and Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test was used for comparisons that did not meet homogeneity of variance. The chi-square test was used for categorical comparison.

Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis was used, and the participants who were lost were recognized to be smokers. Logistic regression analysis was used to analyze the predictors of smoking cessation efficacy and adherence. The results were indicated as the Odds Ratio (OR) value and $95 \%$ Confidence Interval (CI). $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ was statistically significant.

## Results

A total of 149 participants were assessed to be eligible, of which 13 did not meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and were excluded, and 136 participants were eventually recruited and analyzed. Eight and seven participants were lost in the varenicline and bupropion group, respectively (Figure 1).

Of these, $97.1 \%$ (132/136) were male, $2.9 \%$ (4/136) were female, and the total mean age (SD) was 62.16 (7.43) years; FTND score was 4.65 (2.45); cigarettes per day was 19.21 (10.67); duration of smoking was 42.00 (8.61) years; the percentage of COPD by GOLD stages I, II, III and IV was $75.7 \%$ (103/136), $19.1 \%(26 / 136), 5.1 \% ~(7 / 136)$ and $0 \%(0 / 136)$, respectively. There was no significant difference in baseline characteristics between the two groups ( P $>0.05)$ (Table 1).

The abstinence rate at week 12 was significantly higher in the varenicline group (57.4\%) than in the bupropion group (35.3\%) (OR=2.62, 95\% CI1.25-5.52, $\mathrm{P}<0.05$, the OR was adjusted for gender, age, nationality, education, and marital status). The comparison of abstinence rates at other time points is shown in Figure 2.

After adjusting for age and gender (Model 1), the logistic regression analysis of smoking cessation efficacy showed that the FTND score, the number of cigarettes per day, adherence to smoking cessation treatment, and smoking cessation medication were correlated with the efficacy of smoking cessation ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). The same results were obtained after adjusting for age, gender, nationality, education, and marital status (Model 2) (Table S1, as shown in the Appendix).

Because good adherence to smoking cessation treatment significantly improved the efficacy of smoking cessation ( $\mathrm{OR}=9.60,95 \%$ CI $4.02-22.96, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), we further compared the differences in treatment adherence between the two groups and the predictors of adherence. The good adherence group $(66 / 136)$ and poor adherence group (70/136) accounted for $48.5 \%$ and $51.5 \%$ of the total participants, respectively. In both groups, good adherence decreased as treatment time extended (Figure 3A), and rates of good adherence were similar in the varenicline and bupropion groups (Figure 3B). Overall, 71\% of participants with good adherence had quit smoking vs $23 \%$ of those with poor adherence (Figure 3C). At each visit, the FTND score of participants with good adherence was lower than that with poor adherence (Figure 3D).

After adjusting for age and gender (Model 1), the logistic regression analysis of adherence showed that older age, higher education level, having more attempts to quit, stronger self-efficacy and preparation, recognizing the hazards of smoking, longer duration of COPD, and higher SGRQ symptom, impact, and total score were relevant to good adherence ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). Besides higher SGRQ activity scores related to good adherence, the same results were obtained after adjusting for age, gender, nationality, education, and marital status (Model 2) (Table 2).

## Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this was the first study to assess adherence to smoking cessation treatment among patients with COPD in China, and our study found that good adherence to smoking cessation treatment will increase smoking cessation efficacy and predictors of adherence were evaluated.


Figure I Flowchart of participants.
Abbreviation: ITT, intention to treatment.

Adherence has been assessed by many measures, but none can be considered as a gold standard. Different measures have been used to describe the adherence, ranging from the proportion of medication consumed, dichotomized to evaluate a cutoff (ie, $80 \%$ of pills used) to questionnaires such as the Adherence

Starts with Knowledge questionnaire. ${ }^{12}$ We applied measures with an $80 \%$ cutoff, which is widely used to varenicline, bupropion and oral medication for chronic diseases. ${ }^{3,22,23}$

To date, the proportion of good adherence to smoking cessation treatment varied in different studies. ${ }^{24}$ For

Table I Characteristics of Participants

| Characteristics | Varenicline ( $\mathrm{n}=68$ ) | Bupropion ( $\mathrm{n}=68$ ) | Total | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender <br> Male <br> Female | $\begin{gathered} 67 \text { (98.5\%) } \\ \text { I (I.5\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \text { (95.6\%) } \\ 3 \text { (4.4\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 132 \text { (97.1\%) } \\ 4 \text { (2.9\%) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.31 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age, } y \\ \leq 55 \\ 56-60 \\ 61-65 \\ 66-70 \\ >70 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \text { (14.7\%) } \\ \text { I4 (20.6\%) } \\ 24 \text { (35.3\%) } \\ 16 \text { (23.5\%) } \\ 4 \text { (5.9\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II (I6.2\%) } \\ & \text { II (I6.2\%) } \\ & \text { I9 (27.9\%) } \\ & 20 \text { (29.4\%) } \\ & 7 \text { (10.3\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \text { (I5.4\%) } \\ 25 \text { (I8.4\%) } \\ 43 \text { (3I.6\%) } \\ 36 \text { (26.5\%) } \\ 11 \text { (8.1\%) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.69 |
| Nationality <br> Han <br> Non-Han | $\begin{gathered} 67 \text { (98.5\%) } \\ \text { I (1.5\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \text { (94.1\%) } \\ 4 \text { (5.9\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 131 \text { (96.3\%) } \\ 5 \text { (3.7\%) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.17 |
| Education <br> Primary school and below <br> Middle school College and above | $\begin{gathered} 8 \text { (11.8\%) } \\ 40 \text { (58.8\%) } \\ 20 \text { (29.4\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { (7.4\%) } \\ 43 \text { (63.2\%) } \\ 20 \text { (29.4\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \text { (9.6\%) } \\ 83 \text { (6I.0\%) } \\ 40 \text { (29.4\%) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.67 |
| Marital status <br> Married <br> Divorced, widowed, and separated Unmarried | $\begin{gathered} 67 \text { (98.5\%) } \\ \text { I (1.5\%) } \\ 0 \text { (0.0\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \text { (94.1\%) } \\ 2 \text { (2.9\%) } \\ 2 \text { (2.9\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 131 \text { ( } 96.3 \% \text { ) } \\ 3 \text { (2.2\%) } \\ 2(1.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 0.30 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cigarettes per day } \\ & \quad \leq 10 \\ & 11-20 \\ & 21-30 \\ & >30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \text { (20.6\%) } \\ 38 \text { (55.9\%) } \\ 8 \text { (11.8\%) } \\ 8 \text { (11.8\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \text { (29.4\%) } \\ 40 \text { (58.8\%) } \\ 3 \text { (4.4\%) } \\ 5(7.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \text { (25.0\%) } \\ 78 \text { (57.4\%) } \\ \text { II (8.1\%) } \\ 13 \text { (9.6\%) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Duration of smoking, } y \\ & \leq 30 \\ & 31-40 \\ & 41-50 \\ & >50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { (7.4\%) } \\ 24 \text { (35.3\%) } \\ 36 \text { (52.9\%) } \\ 3 \text { (4.4\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \text { (14.7\%) } \\ 22 \text { (32.4\%) } \\ 28 \text { (4I.2\%) } \\ 8 \text { (11.8\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \text { (II.0\%) } \\ & 46 \text { (33.8\%) } \\ & 64 \text { (47.1\%) } \\ & \text { II (8.1\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.17 |
| FTND score $\begin{aligned} & 0-3 \\ & 4-6 \\ & 7-10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \text { (30.9\%) } \\ & 23 \text { (33.8\%) } \\ & 24 \text { (35.3\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { (42.6\%) } \\ & 22 \text { (32.4\%) } \\ & \text { I7 (25.0\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \text { (36.8\%) } \\ & 45 \text { (33.1\%) } \\ & 41 \text { (30.1\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.29 |
| Previous quitting attempts None <br> I <br> 2-3 <br> $\geq 4$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \text { (33.8\%) } \\ 15 \text { (22.1\%) } \\ 23 \text { (33.8\%) } \\ 7 \text { (10.3\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { (32.4\%) } \\ & 18 \text { (26.5\%) } \\ & 20 \text { (29.4\%) } \\ & 8 \text { (11.8\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \text { (33.1\%) } \\ & 33 \text { (24.3\%) } \\ & 43 \text { (31.6\%) } \\ & 15 \text { (11.0\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.90 |
| Self-efficacy in quitting smoking <br> Weak <br> Medium <br> Strong | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \text { (22.1\%) } \\ & 23 \text { (33.8\%) } \\ & 30 \text { (44.1\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II (16.2\%) } \\ & \text { I6 (23.5\%) } \\ & 41 \text { (60.3\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \text { (19.1\%) } \\ & 39 \text { (28.7\%) } \\ & 71 \text { (52.2\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.17 |
| Preparation in quitting smoking <br> Weak <br> Medium | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \text { (20.6\%) } \\ & \text { I7 (25.0\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I7 (25.0\%) } \\ & \text { I0 (14.7\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \text { (22.8\%) } \\ & 27 \text { (19.9\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.32 |

(Continued)

Table I (Continued).

| Characteristics | Varenicline ( $\mathrm{n}=68$ ) | Bupropion ( $\mathrm{n}=68$ ) | Total | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strong | 37 (54.4\%) | 41 (60.3\%) | 78 (57.4\%) |  |
| Whether recognizing hazards of smoking Yes <br> No | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \text { (I9.1\%) } \\ & 55 \text { (80.9\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \text { (25.0\%) } \\ & 51 \text { (75.0\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { (22.1\%) } \\ 106 \text { (77.9\%) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.41 |
| ```Duration of COPD, y <1 I-4 >4``` | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { (80.9\%) } \\ 4 \text { (5.9\%) } \\ 9 \text { (13.2\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \text { (73.5\%) } \\ \text { I2 (17.6\%) } \\ 6 \text { (8.8\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105 \text { (77.2\%) } \\ & 16 \text { (11.8\%) } \\ & 15 \text { (11.0\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.09 |
| GOLD stage <br> Stage I <br> Stage II <br> Stage III <br> Stage IV | $\begin{gathered} 50 \text { (73.5\%) } \\ 14 \text { (20.6\%) } \\ 4 \text { (5.9\%) } \\ 0(0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \text { (77.9\%) } \\ 12 \text { (17.6\%) } \\ 3 \text { (4.4\%) } \\ 0(0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 103 \text { (75.7\%) } \\ 26 \text { (19.1\%) } \\ 7 \text { (5.1\%) } \\ 0(0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 0.68 |
| SGRQ <br> Symptom score <br> Activity score Impact score Total score | $\begin{aligned} & 28.95(23.02) \\ & \text { I2.20 (14.57) } \\ & \text { I2.26 (13.68) } \\ & \text { I5.37 (14.1I) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.49 \text { (I8.34) } \\ & \text { II.54 (I7.62) } \\ & \text { I } 0.49 \text { (14.95) } \\ & \text { I3.46 (14.23) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.71(20.83) \\ & \text { II.87 (16.1I) } \\ & \text { II.37 (14.30) } \\ & \text { I4.42 (14.15) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.22 \\ & 0.81 \\ & 0.48 \\ & 0.41 \end{aligned}$ |

Abbreviations: FTND, Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; GOLD, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; SGRQ, St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire.
example, an RCT among the American adolescent population found that $74.24 \%$ of participants had good adherence to bupropion. ${ }^{25}$ Nevertheless, in an observational study of the Dutch population, good adherence was found in only $14.3 \%$ of the participants using varenicline. ${ }^{26}$ Our study found that only about half of the COPD patients in China had good adherence to smoking cessation treatment, which


Figure 2 Comparison of abstinence rate between varenicline and bupropion at different time points.
Abbreviation: ECO, exhaled carbon monoxide.
was similar to the results of RCTs among AIDS patients $(56 \%)^{3}$ and cancer patients $(56 \%)^{27}$ in the United States. The variation is likely due to the differences in the definition of adherence, interventions, adjunctive supports, and population selection.

Our study founded that the abstinence rate of varenicline at the end of treatment was significantly higher than bupropion, which was consistent with the results of previous studies. ${ }^{6,28}$ Importantly, good adherence to smoking cessation treatment among patients with COPD in China significantly improved the smoking cessation efficacy, which was consistent with studies in the other population. ${ }^{15,29}$

At the same time, our study identified the predictors of adherence. The preventable factors include self-efficacy and preparation in quitting smoking and cognition of smoking hazards, and the non-preventable factors included age, education, and previous quitting attempts, which was consistent with the results of studies in the general population. ${ }^{12}$ For example, older age is a known predictor of good adherence to smoking cessation treatment, which was consistent with the results of previous studies. ${ }^{30,31}$ Older people especially those older than 70 , usually had good adherence to smoking cessation treatment. This might be due to older people having


Figure 3 Adherence to smoking cessation treatment and its relationship with medication use and the efficacy of smoking cessation.
Notes: (A) The overall treatment adherence; (B) the relationship between adherence to smoking cessation treatment and medication use; (C) the relationship between adherence and smoking cessation efficacy; (D) FTND Score change.
Abbreviation: FTND, Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence.
more chronic diseases, more experience with medications, deeper recognition of smoking hazards and more previous quitting attempts.

Importantly, we found that a longer duration of COPD and higher SGRQ score were relevant to good adherence to smoking cessation treatment. Previous studies ${ }^{32-34}$ found the relationship between COPDrelated features and the efficacy of smoking cessation. For example, the study by Tøttenborg et al found that the higher GOLD stage, the better the efficacy of smoking cessation. ${ }^{33}$ With the extension of the duration of COPD, respiratory symptoms were aggravated, activities were gradually restricted, and the recognition of the smoking hazards was deeper. Therefore, deterioration of health could increase the adherence to smoking cessation treatment to improve the efficacy of smoking cessation.

However, our study did not find a correlation between adherence to smoking cessation treatment and tobacco dependence, which was consistent with the result of the study by Okuyemi et al. ${ }^{35}$ Studies by Vaz et $\mathrm{al}^{36}$ and Balmford et al ${ }^{37}$ found that a positive correlation between adherence to smoking cessation treatment and tobacco dependence, while the study by Hood et al ${ }^{38}$ found a negative correlation. The inconsistency of results might be due to the differences in the interventions and population selection.

This study has important clinical implications. Considering that good adherence significantly increased the efficacy of smoking cessation, we call on the medical community to pay attention to the adherence to smoking cessation treatment among patients with COPD. Healthcare providers play a very important role in helping patients to quit smoking, ${ }^{39}$ and they should provide

Table 2 Logistic Regression Analyses of Participants' Characteristics to Predict Adherence

| Variables | Model I |  | Model 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (OR, 95\% CI) | $\mathbf{P}$ value | (OR, 95\% CI) | $\mathbf{P}$ value |
| Gender <br> Male <br> Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 1.28(0.16-10.25) \end{gathered}$ | 0.815 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 1.80(0.15-21.40) \end{gathered}$ | 0.643 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age, y } \\ & \quad<56 \\ & 56-60 \\ & 61-65 \\ & 66-70 \\ & >70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 1.96(0.57-6.75) \\ 2.39(0.78-7.36) \\ 2.80(0.88-8.88) \\ \text { II. } 24(1.85-68.24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.284 \\ & 0.129 \\ & 0.081 \\ & 0.009 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ \text { I. } 65(0.45-5.98) \\ \mathrm{I} .8 \mathrm{I}(0.56-5.89) \\ 2.56(0.76-8.67) \\ 9.93(1.47-67.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.448 \\ & 0.325 \\ & 0.130 \\ & 0.019 \end{aligned}$ |
| Nationality <br> Han <br> Non-Han | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 0.00(0.00-0.00) \end{gathered}$ | 0.999 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 0.00(0.00-0.00) \end{gathered}$ | 0.999 |
| Education <br> Primary school and below <br> Middle school <br> College and above | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 2.14(0.52-8.8 \mathrm{I}) \\ 5.52(1.22-24.98) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.294 \\ & 0.027 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 1.98(0.48-8.24) \\ 5.31(1.17-24.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.347 \\ & 0.031 \end{aligned}$ |
| Marital status <br> Married <br> Divorced, widowed, separated Unmarried | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 1.64(0.09-29.73) \\ 0.46(0.04-5.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.740 \\ & 0.531 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 2.17(0.12-38.56) \\ 0.64(0.05-7.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.597 \\ & 0.722 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cigarettes per day } \\ & \leq 10 \\ & 11-20 \\ & 21-30 \\ & >30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 1.01(0.43-2.38) \\ 1.34(0.32-5.56) \\ 0.56(0.14-2.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.982 \\ & 0.692 \\ & 0.413 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 1.01(0.41-2.50) \\ 1.95(0.40-9.57) \\ 0.75(0.17-3.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.989 \\ & 0.410 \\ & 0.697 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Duration of smoking, y } \\ & \quad \leq 30 \\ & 31-40 \\ & 41-50 \\ & >50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 1.62(0.42-6.37) \\ 1.33(0.34-5.23) \\ 0.73(0.10-5.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.486 \\ & 0.688 \\ & 0.753 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ \text { I.66(0.40-6.84) } \\ 1.39(0.33-5.90) \\ 1.15(0.14-9.53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.486 \\ & 0.656 \\ & 0.896 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FTND score } \\ & \begin{array}{l} 0-3 \\ 4-6 \\ 7-10 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 1.26(0.54-2.94) \\ 0.53(0.22-1.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.591 \\ & 0.166 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 1.40(0.57-3.43) \\ 0.60(0.23-1.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.467 \\ & 0.294 \end{aligned}$ |
| Previous quitting attempts <br> None <br> I <br> 2-3 <br> $\geq 4$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 0.69(0.26-1.81) \\ 0.82(0.34-1.97) \\ 5.46(1.28-23.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.448 \\ & 0.650 \\ & 0.022 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 0.77(0.28-2.14) \\ 0.65(0.25-1.69) \\ 5.17(1.05-25.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.621 \\ & 0.374 \\ & 0.044 \end{aligned}$ |
| Self-efficacy in quitting smoking <br> Weak <br> Medium <br> Strong | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 1.45(0.48-4.38) \\ 3.61(1.34-9.72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.506 \\ & 0.011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ \text { I. } 45(0.47-4.5 \mathrm{I}) \\ 2.85(1.02-7.95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.519 \\ & 0.046 \end{aligned}$ |

(Continued)

Table 2 (Continued).

| Variables | Model I |  | Model 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (OR, 95\% CI) | P value | (OR, 95\% CI) | $P$ value |
| Preparation to quitting smoking <br> Weak <br> Medium <br> Strong | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 1.92(0.60-6.12) \\ 3.93(1.52-10.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.268 \\ & 0.005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 2.37(0.70-8.00) \\ 4.03(1.45-11.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.164 \\ & 0.008 \end{aligned}$ |
| Whether recognizing hazards of smoking Yes No | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 0.39(0.16-0.96) \end{gathered}$ | 0.040 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 0.37(0.14-0.95) \end{gathered}$ | 0.039 |
| ```Duration of COPD, y <I I-4 >4``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 1.53(0.47-5.03) \\ 5.26(1.37-20.17) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.483 \\ & 0.015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 1.61(0.44-5.95) \\ 7.09(1.77-28.37) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.475 \\ & 0.006 \end{aligned}$ |
| SGRQ <br> Symptom score <br> Activity score Impact score Total score | $\begin{aligned} & 1.02(1.00-1.04) \\ & 1.02(1.00-1.05) \\ & 1.04(1.01-1.08) \\ & 1.04(1.01-1.07) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.022 \\ & 0.074 \\ & 0.008 \\ & 0.008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.03 \text { (1.01-1.05) } \\ & 1.03 \text { (1.00-1.05) } \\ & 1.05 \text { (1.02-1.08) } \\ & 1.05(1.02-1.08) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.012 \\ & 0.038 \\ & 0.004 \\ & 0.003 \end{aligned}$ |
| Medication Bupropion Varenicline | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 1.07(0.53-2.17) \end{gathered}$ | 0.844 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ 1.27(0.60-2.71) \end{gathered}$ | 0.537 |

Notes: Model I was adjusted for gender and age; Model 2 was adjusted for gender, age, nationality, education, and marital status.
Abbreviations: FTND, Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; SGRQ, St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire.
adequate education to patients on the importance of adherence to smoking cessation treatment. What's more, health professionals can improve adherence to smoking cessation treatment among patients with COPD via preventable characteristics such as improving self-efficacy in quitting smoking and deepening cognition of smoking hazards. It appears that this will be particularly important for individuals with characteristics (eg, younger age and lower education level), which were associated with poor adherence.

This study has several strengths, including being the first study to assess adherence to smoking cessation among patients with COPD in China, stringent procedure design, assessment of medication use at multiple time points, ECO-confirmed abstinence rates, as well as assessments of multiple variables that could affect adherence to smoking cessation. Nevertheless, our study also has limitations. First, compared with biological measures such as blood levels of medication metabolites, self-report may not be ideal. However, most studies utilized self-report as the primary measure of adherence because self-report is
convenient and easy to administer. ${ }^{11}$ Second, most participants in our study were patients with moderate to mild COPD, so the results may not be directly extrapolated to patients with more severe COPD. Third, varenicline and bupropion provided free to participants, and the results could have been different if participants paid for them because cost-prohibitive prices were identified as barriers to adherence. ${ }^{40}$ Last, although we have considered many predictors, other potential genetic factors such as genephenotype of cytochrome P450 $2 \mathrm{~A} 6^{41}$ were not assessed.

## Conclusion

To our best knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate adherence to smoking cessation among patients with COPD in China. Our study found that only about half of COPD smokers had good adherence to smoking cessation treatment. Good adherence to smoking cessation treatment significantly improved the smoking cessation efficacy, and predictors of adherence were evaluated. We call on the medical community to pay attention to the adherence to smoking cessation treatment among patients with COPD.

## Abbreviations

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; GOLD, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; RCT, randomized controlled trial; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1s; FVC, forced vital capacity; SGRQ, St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire; ECO, Exhaled carbon monoxide; FTND, Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
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