Succenturiate Placental Lobe Abruption

Iulian Gabriel Goidescu (1)^{1,2}, Georgiana Nemeti (1)^{1,2}, Andreia Preda (1)^{1,2}, Adelina Staicu (1)^{1,2}, Cerasela Mihaela Goidescu 1, Mihai Surcel 1,2, Ioana Cristina Rotar^{1,2}, Gheorghe Cruciat 1,2, Daniel Muresan

¹Obstetrics and Gynecology I, Mother and Child Department, University of Medicine and Pharmacy "iuliu Hatieganu", Clui-Napoca, 400006, Romania; ²Obstetrics-Gynecology I Clinic, Emergency County Hospital, Cluj-Napoca, Romania; ³Department of Internal Medicine, Medical Clinic I — Internal Medicine, Cardiology and Gastroenterology, University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Iuliu Hatieganu", Cluj-Napoca, 400006, Romania

Correspondence: Georgiana Nemeti, Obstetrics-Gynecology I Clinic, Emergency County Hospital, Ginecologie I, Str. Clinicilor 3-5, Cluj-Napoca, 400006, Romania, Tel +40723565256, Email georgiana_nemeti@yahoo.com

Abstract: Placental development is a complex process which sets the stage for normal fetal development. Any variation/disruption occurring during the initial stages of placental formation leads to placental malfunction causing increased maternal-fetal morbidity and mortality. The succenturiate lobe is a structural variation of the placenta that usually appears as a distinct lobe from the main placental mass. Succenturiate lobe is a rare placental anomaly, with high risk of fetal distress, hemorrhage, abruptio placentae and even fetal death because the vessels of this succenturiate lobe are vulnerable to both compression and laceration. Prenatal imaging diagnosis of this pathology improves the fetal prognosis through more careful surveillance and, in case of complications such as abruptio placentae, by shortening the time-to-decision making in favor of cesarean delivery. We present the case of a 27-year-old patient, without risk factors for placental abnormalities, diagnosed antenatally with succenturiate placenta, who presented at 34 weeks of pregnancy for abruptio placentae.

Keywords: placental anomaly, succenturiate lobe, abruption

Introduction

The placenta is a temporary organ initiating from the trophoblast following embryo implantation, whose function is essential for further fetal development and growth. Morphologically, it is generally round or oval shaped, measures around 22 centimeters in diameter and is composed of both maternal and embryonic tissue.¹

Since placentogenesis is a complex process, placentas vary in shape, location, size, and functional capacities, leading to several potentially abnormal placental variants. Although the incidence of such anomalies ranges between 2% and 4%, the rate of obstetric complications in these patients is relatively high, with increased fetal morbidity and mortality. Because placental anomalies have a low incidence, their diagnosis is more often established after delivery, in the third stage of labor, when complications appear.

Morphologic placental anomalies can be classified as: circumvallate placenta, succenturiate placenta, multilobed placenta (bilobed/bipartite/duplex placenta), placenta fenestrata, placenta membranacea, and ring-shaped placenta.²

The succenturiate placenta is a morphological variation of the placenta that usually presents as a distinct lobe from the main placental disc, which can be single or multiple. It occurs in approximately 0.16% to 5% of pregnancies.^{3,4} According to some authors, the incidence of succenturiate placenta is favored by pelvic infections, preeclampsia, infertility, and the use of assisted reproductive techniques.⁵

Suppling vessels connecting satellite placental lobes to the main placental disk are surrounded only by communicating membranes, comporting a high risk of vascular accidents. It is crucial to establish the location of connecting vessels and especially to look for any vascular connection crossing the internal cervical ostium (vasa praevia).

Abnormal insertion of the umbilical cord is also a characteristic of this pathology, with velamentous insertion diagnosed in almost 50% of cases.³ The most common complications of succenturiate placentas include fetal and maternal death, resulting from ruptured accessory vessels during uterine contractions causing hemorrhage and abruptio

or we have been and incorporate the Greative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial (unported, v3.0). License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

placentae, prematurity in the context of emergency caesarean section for acute fetal distress,⁶ placental retention in the third stage of delivery and postpartum hemorrhage.²

To ensure maternal–fetal safety and anticipate possible hemorrhagic complications that can threaten the life of the patient and fetus, it is crucial to make the correct antenatal diagnosis of vasa previa and to raise suspicion of a succenturiate lobe placenta. However, the prenatal ultrasound detection of this placental anomaly is not always handy. When ultrasound evaluation is insufficient or confusing, placental evaluation by MRI (magnetic resonance imaging) is a safe and effective tool to refine diagnosis.⁷

Aiming to illustrate the potential morbidity of the succenturiate placenta, we present the case of a patient correctly diagnosed with this type of placental anomaly at 24 weeks of pregnancy who presented to the emergency department with hemorrhage and abruptio placentae at 33 weeks of pregnancy.

The patient provided a signed informed consent for study publication, including all case details and any accompanying images. Approval by the Ethics Committee of our institution was obtained prior to manuscript submission.

Case Presentation

This is the case of a 27-year-old pregnant female patient, with unremarkable general, gynecologic and obstetric history (menarche 13 years, regular menses – rhythm 28×5 days, without dysmenorrhea, 1 spontaneous abortion at 11 weeks of pregnancy) who presented for vaginal bleeding at 33 WG (weeks of gestation).

Current pregnancy history included a low-risk first trimester genetic screening for Down syndrome by the integrated test, negative infectious screening, and normal routine lab work-up. At the 20WG morphology scan, the suspicion of VSD (ventricular septal defect) with normal outflow tracts was raised, which prompted the recommendation for re-evaluation at 26WG.

The repeat scan infirmed the VSD but raised the suspicion of an aberrant placental lobe located towards the left uterine flank, distant from the main placental mass (Figure 1). Doppler examination depicted the presence of umbilical vessels near the aberrant placental lobe, without being able to certify a vascularly connection with the main placental disk. No other placental abnormalities were identified during the ultrasound examination, the umbilical cord inserting centrally at the level of the main placental mass. Even though the location of the accessory lobe and supplying vessels were fundal, screening for vasa praevia was performed by vaginal ultrasound, and this anomaly was excluded.

To rule out the presence of other placental satellites and to clarify the relationship between the umbilical vessels and the lobe, MRI was performed at 28 WG. MRI examination confirmed the presence of the abnormal placental lobe measuring 36.5/19/35 mm, connected by a narrow band of tissue to the rest of the placental parenchyma, possibly placental vessels (Figure 2). The diagnosis of succenturiate placenta was thus confirmed, without other placental abnormalities, and a normal insertion of the umbilical cord.



Figure I (A) Aberrant placental lobe (B) The relationship with umbilical vessels as demonstrated by color Doppler flow.



Figure 2 (A) Magnetic resonance serial sagittal T2 sections at the level of the uterus. Arrow – band of placental parenchyma between the placenta and the accessory lobe. (B) Magnetic resonance serial sagittal T2 sections at the level of the uterus - The maximum distance between main placental mass and the accessory lobe.

The patient was counselled regarding the potential risks stemming from the unusual placental morphology such as to recognize distress symptoms which require emergency presentation. A blood pressure journal was initiated that the patient measured 3 times per day. A fortnightly Scanning schedule was implemented.

The patient presented to the emergency room at 33 WG with moderate vaginal bleeding, which had initiated approximately 40 minutes prior to arrival, without uterine contractility/tenderness and normal fetal movements. The clinical examination confirmed moderate vaginal bleeding, without cervical changes. The patient was normotensive (no history of hypertension during pregnancy), with mild tachycardia. Ultrasound scanning identified a retroplacental hematoma of approximately 9/5 cm with normal fetal heart rate, and normal Doppler indices (Figure 3).

An emergency caesarean section was performed, delivering a male fetus, weighing 2250g, with a 1 and, respectively, 5 minutes Apgar score of 5/7. Emergency lab tests picked up only mild anemia (Hemoglobin = 10.1mg/dl, Hematocrit = 32.7%). In the context of prematurity, neonatal hospital stay was 9 days, with the first 2 days spent in the NICU (neonatal intensive care unit). Intraoperatively, the retroplacental hematoma was highlighted, as well as the aberrant placental lobe and adjacent vessels (Figure 4). When examining the placenta, the vascular connection between the aberrant placental lobe and the main placental mass was observed, the hematoma having accumulated between the lobe and the rest of the placental mass (Figure 4).

The rest of the hospital stay was uneventful, the patient was discharged together with the newborn on the 13th postoperative day.

Discussions

Placental anomalies are rare, and given that they frequently occur without any identifiable risk factors, they often go undiagnosed. The accuracy of prenatal diagnosis is important both for the management of labor and for preventing maternal and fetal complications.

Along with the technological advances in the field of ultrasound, the use of 3D ultrasound and volumetric acquisitions, the detection rate of the succenturiate placenta and other placental abnormalities has increased, but only for patients at high risk for these pathologies.⁸



Figure 3 (A and B) Retroplacental hematoma (C) Normal umbilical artery Doppler parameters.

A systematic literature search was performed during 1994–2024 using three electronic databases (PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science) searching for case reports using the keywords succenturiate placenta and succenturiate Lobe. We identified only 10 publications during this period, presenting 11 cases of succenturiate placenta, with prenatal ultrasound diagnosis achieved only in 4 cases (Table 1).^{3,9–17} At the same time, 8 cases were complicated by fetal distress and vaginal bleeding in the context of placental abruption and delivered by caesarean section.

Several authors advocate the importance of antenatal diagnosis of succenturiate lobe given the severe possible complications of this pathology. In a study published by Ma et al in 2016, the authors reported that the succenturiate placenta is related to a higher incidence of preeclampsia, fetal growth restriction, increased risk of prematurity, premature abruption of normally inserted placenta and caesarean delivery.⁵ Other later studies have confirmed the results of Ma et al, concluding that low birth weight, perinatal death, premature birth, and emergency caesarean are the main complications associated with this pathology.^{18,19}

Regarding placental abruption, Kumari et al reported a low risk in succenturiate placentas, with such complication is not commonly reported in the literature.²⁰

This data was also confirmed in a recent review from early 2023, were the authors concluded that succenturiate placenta may be a high-risk factor for emergency caesarean delivery and postpartum hemorrhage, whereas it may not be a risk factor for preterm birth, fetal growth restriction or placental abruption.²

However, in our case, the patient presented to emergency room with abruptio placentae, in the absence of other risk factors such as preeclampsia, physical/psychological trauma or consumption of prohibited substances, with the placental



Figure 4 The intraoperative aspect of the placenta. (A) The retroplacental hematoma (white arrow) (B and C) The aberrant placental lobe (yellow arrow) and connecting vessels to the main placental mass (green arrow).

anomaly as the only potential culprit. The histopathological report confirmed the rupture of placental vessels neighboring the aberrant placental lobe with the extension of the hematoma towards the main placental mass and its detachment.

Abnormal insertion of the umbilical cord is also common in these placental pathologies, most often velamentous cord.³ In our case, the insertion of the umbilical cord was normal, which was probably a determinant factor for the good prognosis of the fetus.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a handy and safe imaging tool for refining the diagnosis of placental pathologies.¹² It seems to have a higher accuracy in detecting placental anomalies compared to ultrasound, especially in advanced pregnancies with placentas located on the posterior wall of the uterus.¹³ MRI seems to provide a better assessment of myometrial infiltration in the placenta accreta spectrum and is more efficient in identifying placental

Article	Gestational Age at Diagnosis	Outcome
Chihara H et al, 2000 ⁹	16 weeks	Vaginal delivery at 41 weeks with no incidents
Shukunami K et al, 2001 ¹⁰	No antenal diagnosis for neither case	Emergency cesarean section at 36 weeks for fetal distress and vaginal bleeding Emergency cesarean section at 35 weeks for fetal distress and vaginal bleeding
Seleye-Fubara D et al, 2005 ¹¹	No antenal diagnosis	Intrauterine fetal death at 43 weeks, followed by vaginal delivery
Cavaliere AF et al, 2014 ³	33 weeks	Emergency cesarean section at 37 weeks for fetal distress
Patra S et al, 2015 ¹²	22 weeks + 2 days.	Emergency cesarean section at 33 weeks 4 days maturity for important vaginal bleeding
Unmesh U et al, 2015 ¹³	No antenal diagnosis	Emergency cesarean section at 32 weeks for fetal distress
Stelzl PW et al 2017 ¹⁴	13 weeks + 4 days	Cesarean section at 39 weeks due to a failed induction of labour and chorioamnionitis
Hamadeh S et al, 2018 ¹⁵	No antenal diagnosis	Emergency caesarean section at 37 weeks for fetal distress
Villalobos-Rodríguez et al, 2022 ¹⁶	No antenal diagnosis	Cesarean section at 29 weeks + 4 days for preeclampsia and placental abruption
Stickler M et al, 2023 ¹⁷	No antenal diagnosis	Vaginal delivery at 34weeks + 5 days due to multiparity and rapid course of delivery

Table I Case Reports of Succenturiate Placenta Identified in Literature During 1994-2024

hematomas due to the easier identification of blood degradation products.^{21–23} MRI can thus provide additional information regarding placenta anomalies, information that will contribute to improving the fetal and maternal prognosis.

Conclusion

Prenatal ultrasound studies must also focus on the identification of placental anomalies, with MRI being a safe and effective tool to certify diagnosis. Timely detection of placental variations ensures proper follow-up and improved fetal and maternal prognosis in case of complications. In the case of our patient the correct prenatal diagnosis of succenturiate placenta allowed for awareness to complications and effective diagnosis and management of abruption with good fetal and maternal outcomes.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Rathbun KM, Hildebrand JP. Placenta Abnormalities. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls; 2023.
- 2. Matsuzaki S, Ueda Y, Matsuzaki S, et al. Relationship between abnormal placenta and obstetric outcomes: a meta-analysis. *Biomedicines*. 2023;11 (6):1522. doi:10.3390/biomedicines11061522
- 3. Cavaliere AF, Rosati P, Ciliberti P, et al. Succenturiate lobe of placenta with vessel anomaly: a case report of prenatal diagnosis and literature review. *Clin Imaging*. 2014;38(5):747-750. doi:10.1016/j.clinimag.2014.01.018
- 4. Suzuki S, Igarashi M. Clinical significance of pregnancies with succenturiate lobes of placenta. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2008;277(4):299-301. doi:10.1007/s00404-007-0482-6
- 5. J-S M, Mei X, Niu Y-X, Q-G L, Jiang X-F. Risk factors and adverse pregnancy outcomes of succenturiate placenta: a case-control study. *J Reprod* Med. 2016;61(3–4):139–144.
- 6. Matsuzaki S, Ueda Y, Matsuzaki S, et al. The characteristics and obstetric outcomes of type ii vasa previa: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Biomedicines*. 2022;10(12):3263. doi:10.3390/biomedicines10123263
- Cruciat G, Nemeti GI, Popa-Stanila R, Florian A, Goidescu IG. Imaging diagnosis and legal implications of brain injury in survivors following single intrauterine fetal demise from monochorionic twins - a review of the literature. J Perinat Med. 2021;49(7):837–846. doi:10.1515/jpm-2020-0566
- 8. de Castro Rezende G, Araujo Júnior E. Prenatal diagnosis of placenta and umbilical cord pathologies by three-dimensional ultrasound: pictorial essay. *Med Ultrason*. 2015;17(4):545–549. doi:10.11152/mu.2013.2066.174.pdg
- 9. Chihara H, Otsubo Y, Ohta Y, Araki T. Prenatal diagnosis of succenturiate lobe by ultrasonography and color Doppler imaging. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2000;263(3):137–138. doi:10.1007/s004040050013
- Shukunami K, Tsunezawa W, Hosokawa K, Tajima K, Kotsuji F. Placenta previa of a succenturiate lobe: a report of two cases. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2001;99(2):276–277. doi:10.1016/S0301-2115(01)00385-2
- 11. Seleye-Fubara D, Akani CI. Succenturiate placenta: a rare variant in Rivers State Nigeria (a report of one case). Niger J Med J Natl Assoc Resid Dr Niger. 2005;14(3):325–326.
- 12. Patra S, Mistry PK, Dutta M, Das I. Placenta previa with succenturiate placenta-delivered with a living healthy baby. *Bangladesh J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2016;30(2):116–118. doi:10.3329/bjog.v30i2.30908
- 13. Unmesh U, Bhavya B, Rudra S, Shivam S, Disha D. Succenturiate placenta: an incidental finding during cesarean section. *J Evol Med Dent Sci.* 2015;17086–17087. doi:10.14260/jemds/2015/2590
- 14. Stelzl PW, Yadav G, Perley L, Silasi M. Early sonographic detection of a succenturiate placenta after IVF in a 42-year-old woman with multiple comorbidities. *BMJ Case Rep.* 2017;2017. doi:10.1136/bcr-2017-222189
- 15. Hamadeh S, Addas B, Hamadeh N, Rahman J. Succenturiate placenta abruption in a young primigravida: an unusual presentation. *CEOG*. 2018;45(5):789–790.
- Villalobos-Rodríguez AL, Cárdenas-Núñez RC, Rodriguez-Villalobos PR, Padron-Arredondo GP. Succenturiate lobe of placenta: the importance of prenatal diagnosis. J Biomed Eng Med Imaging. 2022;9(6):72–78.
- 17. Stickler M, Graham S, Conway RP, Franks AM. Succenturiate placental lobe abruption: a placental pathology complicating a dangerous delivery. *Marshall J Med.* 2022;9(1). doi:10.33470/2379-9536.1379
- Nkwabong E, Njikam F, Kalla G. Outcome of pregnancies with marginal umbilical cord insertion. J Matern Neonatal Med. 2021;34(7):1133–1137. doi:10.1080/14767058.2019.1628206
- 19. Aragie H, Oumer M. Marginal cord insertion among singleton births at the University of Gondar comprehensive specialized hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2021;21(1):211. doi:10.1186/s12884-021-03703-x
- 20. Kumari S, Biswas AK, Giri G. Succenturiate placenta: an incidental finding. J Case Rep. 2015;1:1-4.
- 21. Kapoor H, Hanaoka M, Dawkins A, Khurana A. Review of MRI imaging for placenta accreta spectrum: pathophysiologic insights, imaging signs, and recent developments. *Placenta*. 2021;104:31–39. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2020.11.004
- Mervak BM, Altun E, McGinty KA, Hyslop WB, Semelka RC, Burke LM. MRI in pregnancy: indications and practical considerations. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2019;49(3):621–631. doi:10.1002/jmri.26317
- 23. Fadl SA, Linnau KF, Dighe MK. Placental abruption and hemorrhage-review of imaging appearance. *Emerg Radiol.* 2019;26(1):87–97. doi:10.1007/s10140-018-1638-3

International Journal of Women's Health

Dovepress

f Ў in 🕨 DovePress 🛛 1047

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Women's Health is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal publishing original research, reports, editorials, reviews and commentaries on all aspects of women's healthcare including gynecology, obstetrics, and breast cancer. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-womens-health-journal