


Safety and Effectiveness of Smooth Incision Lenticular Keratomileusis (SILK™) Using the ELITA™ Femtosecond Laser System for Correction of Myopic and Astigmatic Refractive Errors [Response to Letter]

Mahipal S Sachdev¹, Rohit Shetty², Pooja Khamar², Raghav Malik¹, Brian L Schwam³, Ying Wang³, Hong Fu³, Andrew P Voorhees³, Michal Laron³ 

¹Centre for Sight, New Delhi, India; ²Narayana Nethralaya Eye Hospital, Bangalore, India; ³Johnson & Johnson Surgical Vision, Inc., Milpitas, CA, USA

Correspondence: Michal Laron, Johnson and Johnson Surgical Vision, Inc, 510 Cottonwood Drive, Milpitas, CA, 95035, USA, Tel +1 408 273 5802, Email mlaron@its.jnj.com

Dear editor

The authors of the publication, *Safety and Effectiveness of Smooth Incision Lenticular Keratomileusis (SILK™) Using the ELITA™ Femtosecond Laser System for Correction of Myopic and Astigmatic Refractive Errors*¹ (the paper), would like to respond to the Letter to the Editor from Dr. Alpíns published on July 8, 2024² (the letter).

The paper¹ reported on the first clinical study demonstrating the safety and effectiveness of SILK™ and easy lenticule removal for the correction for myopic and astigmatic refractive errors. In the letter,² Dr. Alpíns commented that the paper¹ used “inadequate” method, terminology, and references with respect to vector analysis for astigmatism, with which we disagree.

We strove and will continue to strive to apply the highest standards to everything we do. For statistical analysis for astigmatism, the clinical study protocol adopted the method and terminology recommended by the Astigmatism Project Group of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) Z80.11 Working Group on Laser Systems for Corneal Reshaping³ (ANSI paper), which was comprised of experts in astigmatism analyses from academia, government, and industry. The ANSI recommendation is based on an extensive literature review in the field of vector analysis for astigmatism. The Centre for Sight Institutional Ethics Committee and Narayana Nethralaya Ethics Committee reviewed and accepted the clinical study protocol prior to the start of the study.

With respect to Dr. Alpíns’ comment on the ANSI paper³ in the letter,² it is worth pointing out that the ANSI paper appropriately recognizes all the significant contributions in this field, including Dr. Alpíns’ significant contributions, and it even recommends readers to read Dr. Alpíns’ review paper on vector analyses. In the letter,² Dr. Alpíns also questioned why the two papers,^{4,5} ie, references 31 and 32 in the paper,¹ cite his work but the paper¹ does not. Our response is that those two papers use the Alpíns method and therefore should directly reference Dr. Alpíns’ work. We referenced the two papers for the purpose of comparing the astigmatism treatment results only but not for comparing the respective data analysis methods. Given that our paper focused on presenting all aspects of the safety and effectiveness of the SILK™ procedure for myopic refractive correction, the method, terminology, and references used in our paper are appropriate, comprehensive, and clear for readers in the ophthalmic field.

Disclosure

M.S.S.: Consultant to Johnson & Johnson Surgical Vision, Inc. R.S., P.K., and R.M perform research supported by Johnson & Johnson Surgical Vision, Inc. B.L.S., Y.W., H.F., A.P.V., and M.L: Employees of Johnson & Johnson Surgical Vision, Inc. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Sachdev MS, Shetty R, Khamar P, et al. Safety and effectiveness of smooth incision lenticular keratomileusis (SILK™) using the ELITA™ femtosecond laser system for correction of myopic and astigmatic refractive errors. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2023;17:3761–3773. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S432459
2. Alpíns N. [Letter to the Editor] Safety and effectiveness of smooth incision lenticular keratomileusis (SILK™) using the ELITA™ femtosecond laser system for correction of myopic and astigmatic refractive errors. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2024;18:1959–1960. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S481676
3. Eydelman MB, Drum B, Holladay J, et al. Standardized analyses of correction of astigmatism by laser systems that reshape the cornea. *J Refract Surg.* 2006;22(1):81–95. doi:10.3928/1081-597X-20060101-16
4. Zhang J, Wang Y, Wu W, Xu L, Li X, Dou R. Vector analysis of low to moderate astigmatism with small incision lenticule extraction (SMILE): results of a 1-year follow-up. *BMC Ophthalmol.* 2015;15(1):8. doi:10.1186/1471-2415-15-8
5. Jabbarvand M, Khodaparast M, Moravvej Z, et al. Vector analysis of moderate to high myopic astigmatism after small-incision lenticule extraction (SMILE): 12-month follow-up. *Eur J Ophthalmol.* 2022;32(6):3312–3320. doi:10.1177/11206721221080821

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Ophthalmology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Ophthalmology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Ophthalmology

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/OPTH.S488267>