Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

RETRACTED ARTICLE: In vitro and in vivo evaluation of novel NGR-modified liposomes containing brucine

Shu Li Xi-Peng Wang

Shanghai First Maternity and Infant Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, People's Republic of China Abstract: In this study, a novel NGR (Asn-Gly-Arg) peptide podified lipos hal brucine was prepared by using spray-drying method. The surface methology the lipos hes, encapsulaat *i* addition of NGR tion efficiency and particle size were investigated. e data showed did not produce any significant influence on bruce liposo es in terms of particle size or zeta c change ch as visible aggregation, potential. In addition, after 3 months of storage , no di GR-1 cine liposomes occurred. The drug content changes or precipitation in the opearance of in vitro release results indicated that the release of brucine h. in NGR liposomes was similar to that of liposomes, demonstrating that the NGR N diffication did not affect brucine release. The in vitro drug-release kinetic Jaer of NGR-brucin, piposomes fitted well with the Weibull's he liposomes yould significantly extend the bioavailability of bruequation. In vivo, NGR-bru cine; however, there was no gnificant difference observed in the pharmacokinetic parameters between liposomes and NGK posomes er intravenous administration. Antitumor activity results showed the -modified somes exhibited less toxicity and much higher efficacy in HepG2-bearing ed with non-modified liposomes. The enhanced antitumor nice y isht have red because brucine was specifically recognized by NGR receptor on activity " ls, which enhanced the intracellular uptake of drugs. face o the umor d rucine, li, some, NGR, HepG2, in vivo, in vitro vwords

Introduction

Brucine (CAS No 57-24-9) is an alkaloid and exists mainly in the seeds of *Strychnos vomica* L. (Loganiaceae),¹ which is widely found in many southern Asian countries. Bruene itself is known as an anti-inflammatory and analgesic drug for relieving arthritic and traumatic pain.²⁻⁴ Its main pharmacodynamic actions include relief of pain, reduction of swelling and promotion of circulation.⁵ Strychinin and brucine are the two main active ingredients of the semen strychni. In addition, some research have indicated that strychinin can effectively inhibit the proliferation of several types of cancer cells, including glioma, breast cancer, colorectal cancer and others,⁶⁻⁸ with an obvious inhibitory effect on liver cancer cells. Studies involving in vitro culture of hepatoma carcinoma cells have shown that strychinin could inhibit the proliferation of HepG2 and SMMC-7721 cells.9-12 Unfortunately, the potential use of brucine is severely limited due to high incidence of side effects. Because it is strongly fat-soluble and easily distributed in the central nervous system (CNS) in the brain and other organs, it exerts severe CNS toxicity.^{13,14} There is a narrow margin of safety between a therapeutic and a toxic dose. Thus, the key to reduce the toxicity and increase the effect of brucine is to increase the concentration of strychinin in its effect target and reduce its distribution in brain tissues to lower CNS toxicity.

International Journal of Nanomedicine 2017:12 5797-5804

© 2017 Li and Wang. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and incorporate the Greative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creative.commons.org/licenses/by-nd3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

5797



Correspondence: Xi-Peng Wang 2699 West Gaoke Road, Shanghai 201204, People's Republic of China Tel/fax +86 21 2026 1000 Email xpwangdr@163.com



Dovepress

Colloidal drug delivery systems, such as liposomes, represent a mature technology with considerable potential for the entrapment of both lipophilic and lipophobic drugs.¹⁵ Encapsulation or entrapment of drugs in liposomes results in distinct changes in the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of free drugs, and in some cases, causes an apparent decrease in toxicity and/or an increase in therapeutic efficacy.¹⁶

In recent years, the use of ligand–receptor-based system for targeted drug delivery has become a hot research topic. Use of tumors itself and receptors on newborn vascular endothelial cells as target, together with intravenous administration of targeted liposomes to promote active targeting, can effectively increase efficacy. NGR is a polypeptide which contains asparagine-glycine-arginine (Asn-Gly-Arg) sequence.¹⁷ Tumor cells and tumor newborn vascular endothelial cells exhibit high expression of aminopeptidase N (APN; CD13). NGR can integrate with high specificity, inhibit the generation of tumor newborn blood vessels and thus inhibit the growth and transfer of tumors. APN is a membrane-bound, zinc-dependent metalloproteinase that plays a key role in tumor invasion and angiogenesis.¹⁸

In this study, a novel NGR peptide-modified liposon of brucine was prepared by using spray-drying method. The surface morphology of the liposomes, encapsulation efficiency and particle size were investigated. The ormulations were characterized by in vitro release study. The ordinal formulation providing sustained drug please to selected for in vivo study.

Materials and methods Chemicals and rements

Brucine was purchased om Y anjian Biopharma Ltd., Co. (Shanghai, People' Depubly (China) ne chemical structure standard (IS) strychinin of brucine is sh wn in F ure 1. h Shanghai Institute of Biological was purchand from A, People's Republic of China). Soybean Products (Shak phosphatidylcholix SPC), 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-Maleimide(polyethylene glycol)-2000] (DSPE-PEG2000) and cholesterol (CHOL) were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent (Shanghai, People's Republic of China). HepG2 was purchased from Genomeditech Biopharma Ltd., Co. (Shanghai, People's Republic of China). The NGR peptide was synthesized by Ningbi Kangbei Biochemical Co., Ltd. (Zhejiang, People's Republic of China). NGR-PEG-DSPE was synthesized according to previously reported method.^{19,20} All other reagents were obtained



Figure I The chemical structure of brucine.

from Sinopharm Chemical Regent. Me anol and cetonitrile (chromatographic grade) are obtained from FinD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA. There for high-performance liquid chromatography (In LC) wordouble a stilled, and all other reagents were a walytical grad

Preparion of lip somes

GR-modified liposomes containing brucine (NGR-The ne) were preared by thin-film hydration method, as bru ad previously.⁸ Briefly, a mixture of brucine, SPC, desci CHOL, L SPE and NGR-PEG-DSPE (the molar ratio PEG-DSPE:PEG-DSPE:CHOL:SPC was 5:5:30:60; of e weight ratio of lipid:brucine was 19:1; the modification egree of NGR in NGR-brucine was about 0.5% [molar tio %]) was dissolved in chloroform. Then, the solvent was evaporated using an RE52 rotary evaporator (Shanghai Yarong Biochemistry Instrument Company, Shanghai, People's Republic of China) in a round-bottomed flask at 40°C for about 40 min to obtain a solid film. This film was then flushed with nitrogen gas for 30 min and stored overnight in a desiccator to remove any traces of chloroform. After that, the thin film was hydrated in a 5% glucose solution by sonication in a water bath for 10 min to produce a suspension of liposomes. Then, the liposomes were freezedried for 72 hours. The dry powder was rehydrated and sonicated for 3 min prior to application. For the preparation of liposomes containing brucine, a similar procedure was carried out except that the NGR-PEG-DSPE was replaced by PEG-DSPE.

Characterization

Particle size and zeta potential of the liposomes were measured by the dynamic light scattering technique using a zeta potential/particle sizer (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). All measurements were performed in triplicate, and the values are represented as mean \pm SD (n=3). The morphologies of liposomes were visualized by transmission electronic microscopy (TEM) (JEM-1200EX; JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). The samples were added to the surface of copper grids, and stained with phosphotungstic acid (1%, w/v). The accelerating voltage was set at 120 kV. The encapsulation efficiency was estimated from the following formula:

Encapsulation efficiency =

Actual amount of drug loaded in liposomes Theoretical amount of drug loaded in liposomes

HPLC analysis

The concentration of brucine in the prepared liposomes was determined by HPLC. Separation was carried out at 35° C using a reverse-phase C18 column (5 µm, 4.6×250 mm). The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile and buffer (10 mm sodium heptane sulfonate and 20 mm potassium dihydrogen phosphate, pH adjusted to 2.8 with 10% phosphonic acid). The ratio of acetonitrile/buffer (v/v) was adjusted to 24:76. The detection wavelength was 264 nm, and a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min was employed. A sample volume of 20 µL was injected.

Storage stability studies

The NGR-brucine liposomes and non-targeter cocine has somes were studied for stability at 4°C. These formulation were tested at regular time intervals to elentify the prime in particle size, zeta potential and aug context.

In vitro release

The in vitro release of GR-brucine posomes, brucine fucine was analyzed according to the liposomes and free published method. The line some suspension (drug content: rug ve place in a dialysis bag with a 2 mg) and fr 00 Da. The dialysis bag was molecula veight at-off o **PBS** (pH 7.4) which was incubated at suspend in 10 37°C unde instant rotation at 500 rpm. At scheduled time samples were withdrawn and assayed for intervals, alic. brucine content by HPLC as described above. The volume of dissolution medium was maintained at 100 mL throughout the experiment.

In vivo pharmacokinetic studies

Thirty Sprague Dawley rats were divided into three groups (10 rats per group). All experiments were performed in strict accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals as adopted by the China National Institutes of Health (Shanghai, People's Republic of China), and legal approval was obtained from Tongji University School of Medicine. All procedures performed in studies involving animals were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/ or national research committee and with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Three groups were given a single dose of 2.5 mg/kg brucine solution (dissolved in PBS), brucine liposomes and NGR-brucine liposomes, respectively. Blood samples were collected at 5, 10, 30, 60, 90, 120, 180, 240, 360, 480 and 720 min after the administration, and the lasma was separated by centrifugation. The brucine concentration in the plasma was quantitatively analyzed using the HPLC method.

Briefly, plasma sar fles (IL uL) we mixed with strychinin (50 µg/m//IS dissolved ethanol (20 µL). To this mixture, NLL or queous ammonia was added, s were sified. *T* en, 3 mL of n-hexaneand the same dichlorop _____isoprop. v'_{0} , v/v/v) was added and vortexed for 2 min. After centrifugation for 5 min at 12 pm, the supernatant was collected, and the organic Ivent was eliminated under nitrogen gas stream at 50°C. hen, the minute was resuspended with the mobile phase $Q \mu L$). Are diquot of the supernatant (20 μL) was injected LC system after centrifugation. into ...

Histology studies

The histopathological changes induced by brucine liposomes and NGR-brucine liposomes after pharmacokinetic studies were evaluated. Animals were anesthetized, and their livers, spleens and kidneys were dissected and washed with cold saline. The organs were pressed between filter pads, weighed and then fixed in 10% neutral formalin using standard techniques and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histopathological examination. All tissue samples were examined and graded under light microscopy with 500× magnification.

In vivo antitumor activity

The HepG2 model was established as described before.²¹ On the 8th day, the kunming mice were randomly assigned to four groups (12 animals per group): group 1 was administered a 5% glucose injection, group 2 was administered free brucine, group 3 was administered brucine liposomes and group 4 was administered NGR-brucine liposomes. The brucine formulations were all injected via the tail vein on days 8, 10, 12 and 14, at a dose of 15 mg/kg. The total dose of brucine administered in all treatment groups was 60 mg/kg. A digital caliper was used to measure the tumor

diameters, and tumor volumes (mm³) were calculated using the following formula: tumor volume = length \times width² \times 0.5. Throughout the study, mice were weighed regularly in order to monitor the potential toxicities.

Statistical analysis

All data are presented as mean \pm SD. One-way analysis of variance was used to determine significance among groups. Statistical significance was established at *P*<0.05.

Results Characterization of NGR-brucine liposomes

Table 1 shows that the addition of NGR did not produce any significant influence on brucine liposomes in terms of particle size or zeta potential. The average particle size of brucine liposomes and NGR-brucine liposomes was 85.3±3.2 and 92.6±4.1 nm, respectively. The zeta potential of brucine liposomes and NGR-brucine liposomes was -16.2±3.5 and -16.5±3.3 mV, respectively. The encapsulation efficiency of brucine in liposomes and NGR-modified liposomes was 87.4%±3.1% and 89.6%±2.7%, respectively. The high encapsulation efficiency in the formulation might be related to the strong hydrophobicity of brucine. Table 1 also give the stability data of the particle size of NGR-brucine lipo somes stored at 4°C. After 3 months of storage, p matic change such as visible aggregation, drug cont at chan es or precipitation in the appearance of NGR-bine lip occurred. TEM images (Figure 2) show d that u posomes dispersed well with a uniform share

In vitro release

The in vitro release of brucine from the free drug, liposomes and NGR liposomes was studied in PBS (Figure 3). Over time, brucine in liposomes was released much more slowly than free drug. Table 2 shows that the in vitro drug-release kinetic model of NGR-brucine liposomes fitted well with the Weibull's equation: $\ln(1/(1 - Q)) = -2.154 \ln t + 1.12$ (*r*=0.9829).

Pharmacokinetics

The pharmacokinetic parameters storing in rats given 2.5 mg/kg of brucine as free dru encapsuk ed in liposomes and encapsulated in NGN liposomes (brucine equivalent dose) are listed Figure fable . shows the mean plasma brucine cor entration versus curves, corresponding to the intrayous aministration of free drug, liposomes and N A liposo, is, resp. rively. As shown in r brucine injection, the Figure 4, after a ngle inject. plasma drug concent tion quickly reached the maximum (1,029).1 ng/mL, 5 min, and then it decreased ly and remained at around 15% of the C_{max} value rapi er, which in lied a rapid in vivo elimination of brucine 2 h In the cas of intravenous administration, the in vivo in rat. rofile or newsomes was smoother than brucine-injected graphe $t_{1/2}$ and area under the curve_{0...} of liposomes and GR liposomes were 2.28- and 2.45- and 2.65- and 3.13-fold igher compared with free drug. Thus, it was reasonable to onclude that the liposomes could significantly extend the bioavailability of brucine in vivo; however, there was no significant difference in the pharmacokinetic parameters

Table I The particle size an	identa potential of GR-	brucine liposomes before and	d after storage at 4°C (n=3)	
Preparations	size (nm)	Zeta potential (mV)	Encapsulation efficiency (%)	Polydispersity
NGR-brucine liposome		()		
Day 0	92 _4.1	-16.5±3.3	89.6±2.7	<0.39
Brucine liposon is				
Day 0	85.3±3.2	-16.2±3.5	87.4±3.1	<0.38
NGR-brucine lipo				
Day 30	93.2±3.3	-14.6±2.7	88.4±3.1	<0.41
Brucine liposomes				
Day 30	86.7±1.9	-15.7±2.8	86.7±2.9	<0.42
NGR-brucine liposomes				
Day 60	94.1±3.5	-15.1±3.1	87.7±3.9	<0.44
Brucine liposomes				
Day 60	87.6±3.4	-15.9±3.4	86.4±1.6	<0.43
NGR-brucine liposomes				
Day 90	94.3±3.9	-14.7±5.2	87.2±4.3	<0.46
Brucine liposomes				
Day 90	88.5±2.8	-15.3±1.9	85.9±4.2	<0.45

Abbreviation: NGR, Asn-Gly-Arg.



Figure 2 Transmission electron microscopy image of NGR-modified brucine liposomes (magnification 97,000×). Abbreviation: NGR, Asn-Gly-Arg.

observed between liposomes and NGR liposomes after intravenous administration.

In vivo antitumor activity

As shown in Figure 5, both brucine liposomes and NGRbrucine liposomes significantly inhibited the growth of the HepG2 tumors in mice. However, NGR-modified liposomes could more effectively inhibit tumor growth than non-modified liposomes, starting from day 13. The volumes of NGR-modified group were smaller than ose of non-modified group. The tumor inhibition rate of N modified liposomes was higher than that of not nodifi <u>//+6.4%</u> liposomes ranging from 74.9%±5.1 to 64 Changes in the body weights of more ng mice are presented in Figure 6. The av ye body which the of mice injected with 5% glucose in ection significantly increased after tumor cell implantation, while the ight of mice treated



Figure 3 The release profile of free brucine, brucine liposomes and NGR-modified brucine liposomes (n=6).

Note: *P<0.05, free brucine vs brucine liposomes or NGR-modified brucine liposomes.

Abbreviation: NGR, Asn-Gly-Arg.

 Table 2 Dissolution kinetic parameters of brucine from NGR-modified liposomes (n=3)

Formulations				
Equation	Correlation coefficient (r)			
<i>Q</i> =6.12 <i>t</i> -0.89	0.9431			
$\ln(1 - Q) = 5.27t - 1.01$	0.9152			
$Q = 4.312t_{1/2} = 2.212$	0.9672			
$\ln(1/(1-Q)) = -2.154 \ln t + 1.12$	0.9829			
	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Formulations \\ \hline Equation \\ \hline Q = 6.12t - 0.89 \\ ln(1-Q) = 5.27t - 1.01 \\ Q = 4.312t_{1/2-2212} \\ ln(1/(1-Q)) = -2.154 ln t + 1.12 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$			

Abbreviation: NGR, Asn-Gly-Arg.

with NGR-modified liposomes did ant change significantly and the non-modified liposomer group showed a moderate increase in weight during the operiment.

Histological strates

The histopathological examination of the liver, spleen and kidney was cauled out a cidentificany damage done to the tissues. Mixed botographs of the over, spleen and kidney were taken following weir incubation with brucine formulations (Figure 5). No sign of lamage such as the appearance of epitheal necrosis and sloughing of epithelial cells was detected.

liscussion In variatease

Initial fast release of brucine within the first 4 h followed by a relatively sustained release. The burst release may be attributed to rapid diffusion of brucine from the surface of liposomes. The subsequent sustained release was due to the slow diffusion of brucine from the core of hydrophobic carrier. The in vitro release results indicated that the release of brucine from NGR liposomes was similar to that of liposomes, demonstrating that the NGR modification did not affect brucine release. After adding targeting materials, the speed of release of drug from the liposomes did not reduce

Table 3 Pharmacokinetic parameters of brucine after intravenousadministration of free drug, liposomes and NGR liposomes to rats(n=6)

Parameter	Intravenous administration				
	Free drug	Liposomes	NGR-modified		
			liposomes		
$\frac{1}{t_{1/2}}$ (min)	36.2±6.5	82.6±8.5*	88.9±7.9*		
AUC _{0−r} (µg·min/mL)	56.1±8.1	9.6± 0. *	135.3±26.5*		
AUC _{0-∞} (µg·min/mL)	62.5±13.8	165.9±16.4*	195.7±28.6*		
MRT (min)	32.7±4.6	72.4±5.7*	85.4±8.2*		
CL (L/kg/min)	0.14±0.04	0.06±0.01*	0.02±0.01*		

Note: *P<0.05, vs free drug.

Abbreviations: CL, clearance; NGR, Asn-Gly-Arg; MRT, mean residence time; AUC, area under the curve; t_{1/2}, biological half-life.



Figure 4 Concentration-time curve of brucine in different formulations: free brucine, brucine liposomes and NGR-modified brucine liposomes (n=6). Note: *P<0.05, free brucine vs brucine liposomes or NGR-modified brucine liposomes. Abbreviation: NGR, Asn-Gly-Arg.

obviously, with a possible reason being that the quantity of added targeting material did not obviously increase the steric hindrance of the liposomes.

Pharmacokinetics

Lipid carrier systems are ideal for drug delivery because they can alter the pharmacokinetics of the associated therapeutics. Compared with the liposomes group, in the solution grou the release of brucine was instantaneous due to its mode ate oil-water partition coefficient in vivo; after intravenous administration into blood, brucine could rapidly sues through the biofilm. As the phospholipid derial o liposomes group was added with DSPE-PECkn recycling time, its structure could preduce steril indrance and liposomes were not easily sy 10 d by macro ages.



Figure 5 HepG2 xenograft tumor growth inhibition by brucine in different formulations.

Notes: Data = mean \pm SD (n=12). ^aP<0.05, NGR-modified brucine liposomes vs 5% glucose injection; ^bP<0.05, NGR-modified brucine liposomes vs free brucine; ^cP<0.05, NGR-modified brucine liposomes vs brucine liposomes. **Abbreviation:** NGR, Asn-Gly-Arg.



Figure 6 Animal body weights. Notes: The body weights of treated mals w ontinuous onitored to investigate systemic cytotoxicity of b ine in differen mulat . Data = mean ± SD (n=12). ^aP<0.05, NGR-mo d brucine osomes glucose injection; somes ee brucine; 9~0.05, NGR-modified ^bP<0.05, NGR-modified brucine brucine liposomes vs bruci idos Abbreviation: NGR. Gly-Arg

Thus, the drug could by for a relatively long time in blood circula ith no leaka from liposomes into tissues, and hen , the initial concentration of drug in the liposomes group igher than t solution group. The targeting effect of was NGR ptide-mo fied liposomes was determined by evaluatg capacity of target head (NGR polypeptide) ng the bu for (CD13, high expression in tumor cells and tumor an ewborn vascular endothelial cells) as well as the stability of rug during target-searching process. If the drug leaked durig blood circulation from liposomes, it could easily enter the tissues because of its lipophilic nature. Thus, the main target of this research was to evaluate the stability of target materials added to liposomes. According to the pharmacokinetic results, the area under the curve of liposomes was obviously higher than that of solution group, and the mean residence time of 0.5% NGR-modified liposomes was obviously longer than unmodified liposomes, which showed good stability during transfer from targeted liposomes to target area.

In vivo antitumor activity

Overall, the antitumor activity results showed that the NGRmodified liposomes exhibited less toxicity and much higher efficacy in HepG2-bearing mice compared with non-modified liposomes.²² The enhanced antitumor activity might have occurred because brucine was specifically recognized by NGR receptor on the surface of tumor cells, which enhanced the intracellular uptake of drugs. NGR-modified liposomes exhibited high efficiency and low toxicity in the present study, which is expected to be considered in the development of other drug delivery systems. Thus, NGR-modified



Figure 7 Histopathological studies of the liver spleen and kite (A) brucine liposomes and (B) NGR-modified brucine liposomes (magnification ×5,000). Abbreviation: NGR, Asn-Gly-Arg.

liposomes establish a platform to convert highly toxic active substance to an ideal andidate drug.

Acknowledge ints

This work was supported by the Program for Outstanding Young reachers of Tongji University (1400807). We also wish to experiour thanks to Doctor Heng Lu (Department of Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, Fudan University, Shanghai, China) for his help in the in vivo studies.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Chinese Pharmacopoeia Committee. *Pharmacopoeia of the People's Republic of China Volume I.* Beijing: Chemical Industry Press; 2005.
- Chen J, Hu W, Qu Y, et al. Evaluation of the pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of brucine following transdermal administration. *Fitoterapia*. 2013;86:193–201.

- Chen J, Wang X, Qu YG, et al. Analgesic and anti-inflammatory activity and pharmacokinetics of alkaloids from seeds of Strychnos nux-vomica after transdermal administration: effect of changes in alkaloid composition. *J Ethnopharmacol.* 2012;139(1):181–188.
- Chen J, Lin A, Chen Z, et al. Ammonium sulfate gradient loading of brucine into liposomes: effect of phospholipid composition on entrapment efficiency and physicochemical properties in vitro. *Drug Dev Ind Pharm.* 2010;36(3):245–253.
- Li YL, Liu Q, Gong Q, et al. Brucine suppresses ethanol intake and preference in alcohol-preferring Fawn-Hooded rats. *Acta Pharmacol Sin*. 2014;35(7):853–861.
- Ruijun W, Wenbin M, Yumin W, et al. Inhibition of glioblastoma cell growth in vitro and in vivo by brucine, a component of Chinese medicine. *Oncol Res.* 2014;22(5–6):275–281.
- Serasanambati M, Chilakapati SR, Manikonda PK, Kanala JR, Chilakapati DR. Anticancer effects of brucine and gemcitabine combination in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells. *Nat Prod Res.* 2015;29(5): 484–490.
- Luo W, Wang X, Zheng L, et al. Brucine suppresses colon cancer cells growth via mediating KDR signalling pathway. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2013;17(10):1316–1324.
- Qin JM, Yin PH, Li Q, et al. Anti-tumor effects of brucine immunonanoparticles on hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2012; 7:369–379.

- Saraswati S, Alhaider AA, Agrawal SS. Anticarcinogenic effect of brucine in diethylnitrosamine initiated and phenobarbital-promoted hepatocarcinogenesis in rats. *Chem Biol Interact*. 2013;206(2): 214–221.
- Deng XK, Yin W, Li WD, et al. The anti-tumor effects of alkaloids from the seeds of Strychnos nux-vomica on HepG2 cells and its possible mechanism. *J Ethnopharmacol*. 2006;106(2):179–186.
- Yin W, Deng XK, Yin FZ, Zhang XC, Cai BC. The cytotoxicity induced by brucine from the seed of Strychnos nux-vomica proceeds via apoptosis and is mediated by cyclooxygenase 2 and caspase 3 in SMMC 7221 cells. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2007;45(9):1700–1708.
- Liu F, Wang X, Han X, Tan X, Kang W. Cytotoxicity and DNA interaction of brucine and strychnine-two alkaloids of semen strychni. *Int J Biol Macromol.* 2015;77:92–98.
- Teske J, Weller JP, Albrecht UV, Fieguth A. Fatal intoxication due to brucine. J Anal Toxicol. 2011;35(4):248–253.
- Daeihamed M, Dadashzadeh S, Haeri A, Akhlaghi MF. Potential of liposomes for enhancement of oral drug absorption. *Curr Drug Deliv*. 2017;14(2):289–303.
- Kloesch B, Gober L, Loebsch S, Vcelar B, Helson L, Steiner G. In vitro study of a liposomal curcumin formulation (LipocurcTM): toxicity and biological activity in synovial fibroblasts and macrophages. *In Vivo*. 2016;30(4):413–419.

- Ma J, Zhang D, Ying X, et al. A novel NGR-conjugated peptide targets DNA damage responses for radiosensitization. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets*. 2015;15(6):533–541.
- Arap W, Pasqualini R, Ruoslahti E. Cancer treatment by targeted drug delivery to tumor vasculature in a mouse model. *Science*. 1998; 279(5349):377–380.
- Zhao BJ, Ke XY, Huang Y, et al. The antiangiogenic efficacy of NGR-modified PEG-DSPE micelles containing paclitaxel (NGR-M-PTX) for the treatment of glioma in rats. *J Drug Target*. 2011;19(5): 382–390.
- Luo LM, Huang Y, Zhao BX, et al. Anti-tumor and anti-angiogenic effect of metronomic cyclic NGR-modified liposomes containing paclitaxel. *Biomaterials*. 2013;34(4):1102–1114.
- Youssef MM, Tolba MF, Badawy NN, et al. Novel combination of sorafenib and biochanin-A synergistically enhance the anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic effects on hepatocellul carcine cells. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:30717.
- Yin W, Wang TS, Yin FZ, Cai BC. A presic and anti-inflammatory properties of brucine and brucine N-oxy extracted from seeds of Strychnos nux-vomica. *J Ether pharmacol.* 2, 3:88(2) 0:205–214.

International Journal of Nanomedicine

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Nanomedicine is an international, peerreviewed journal focusing on the application of nanotechnology in diagnostics, therapeutics, and drug delivery systems throughout the biomedical field. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine, CAS, SciSearch®, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, EMBase, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-nanomedicine-journal

