OncoTargets and Therapy

Dovepress
open access to scientific and medical research

Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Expression of *PER*, *CRY*, and *TIM* genes for the pathological features of colorectal cancer patients

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:
OncoTargets and Therapy
5 April 2016
Number of times this article has been viewed

Yong Wang[1]
Yunsheng Cheng[1]
Gang Yu[1]
Benli Jia[1]
Zhihang Hu[1]
Lijiu Zhang[2]

[1]Department of General Surgery, [2]Department of Gastroenterology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, People's Republic of China

Abstract: As typical clock gene machinery, period (*PER1*, *PER2*, and *PER3*), cryptochrome (*CRY1* and *CRY2*), and timeless (*TIM*), could control proliferation, cellular metabolism, and many key functions, such as recognition and repair of DNA damage. Dysfunction of the circadian clock could result in tumorigenesis of colorectal cancer (CRC). In this study, the expression levels of *PER1*, *PER2*, and *PER3*, as well as *CRY1*, *CRY2*, and *TIM* in the tumor tissue and apparently healthy mucosa from CRC patients were examined and compared via quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. Compared with the healthy mucosa from CRC patients, expression levels of *PER1*, *PER2*, *PER3*, and *CRY2* in their tumor tissue are much lower, while *TIM* level was much enhanced. There was no significant difference in the *CRY1* expression level. High levels of *TIM* mRNA were much prevalent in the tumor mucosa with proximal lymph nodes. CRC patients with lower expression of *PER1* and *PER3* in the tumor tissue showed significantly poorer survival rates. The abnormal expression levels of *PER* and *TIM* genes in CRC tissue could be related to the genesis process of tumor, influencing host–tumor interactions.

Keywords: colorectal cancer, cancer chronotherapy, period genes, cryptochrome genes, timeless gene

Introduction

The time-dependent pattern of variation exists in most biological processes and functions of living organisms.[1] The circadian rhythm is defined as the rhythmic patterns of oscillation with a period of ~24 hours. The circadian timing system, containing central and peripheral oscillators,[2] is responsible for the generation of the rhythmic variation. The central pacemaker and master oscillator, located at the suprachiasmatic nuclei of the brain, are entrained to the environmental light–dark cycle via photon, which is conveyed by the retinohypothalamic tract and synchronizes slave oscillators in peripheral tissues.[3,4]

Up to now, several biological clock genes have been confirmed, such as ARNTL1, clock (circadian locomotor output cycles kaput), period 1, 2 and 3 (*PER1–3*), cryptochrome (*CRY1* and *CRY2*), timeless (*TIM*), timeless-interacting protein (TIPIN), and CSNK1E (encoding casein kinase 1-epsilon, CK1ε). The molecular components, which generate circadian rhythms of the circadian/biological clock, constitute a unique collaboration mechanism of genes and proteins via transcriptional, translational, and posttranslational procedures.

PER1–3, *CRY1* and *CRY2* can be transcriptionally activated by the CLOCK and ARNTL1, which are the basic helix–loop–helix/Period, Aryl-hydrocarbon-receptor, Single minded (PAS) transcription factors, heterodimerizing and binding to the elements of E-box enhancer in the gene promoters. In contrast, *CRY* and *PER* proteins form the repression complex, translocating back into the nucleus and interacting with

Correspondence: Lijiu Zhang
Department of Gastroenterology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, 678 Furong Rd, Economic and Technological Development Zone, Hefei 230601, People's Republic of China
Email zhanglj_anyi@163.com

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com
Dovepress
http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S96925

CLOCK and ARNTL1, resulting in the loss of their activity.[5,6] As a core circadian clock gene in *Drosophila melanogaster*, *TIM* is also retained in mammals. However, the influence of mammalian circadian system on clock function is not yet clear. By interacting with the components of the DNA replication system, *TIM* could regulate DNA replication processes, which are essential for ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3-related-checkpoint kinase 1 (ATR-Chk1)-mediated as well as ataxia telangiectasia mutated–checkpoint kinase 2 (ATMChk2)-mediated signaling and S-phase arrest.[7] As more and more incidence of various cancers has been reported by epidemiological studies, the temporal organization variation in body, defined as the circadian disruption, is nowadays considered to be an important risk factor for cancer.[8,9]

Approximately 5%–15% genome-wide mRNA expressions, including key cell cycle regulators, tumor suppressors genes, and oncogenes, are circadian rhythmic, which are driven by biological clock genes, whose expression regulates the timing of DNA repair, apoptosis, and cell proliferation. The cycle progression of the cells is tightly regulated by the circadian system, including the control of cell proliferation and apoptosis, as well as clocking the transcription and post-translational modification for key proteins. The deregulated cell proliferation may occur in case of the circadian clock disruption, implicating in many types of cancers.[10,11] Over expression of *PER1* in cancer cell lines of human leads to the reduction of colony formation and clonogenic expansion and altered expression of transcriptional target genes, *MYC* and *p21*. For the *PER2*, a mutation has been investigated to accelerate the generation of intestinal polyp in $APC^{Min/+}$ mice, and an increase in hyperplasia and neoplasia under γ-radiation has been shown in *PER2*-null mice.[12,13] Based on the analysis of two different mouse models of breast cancer, *PER3* was putatively considered to be a tumor suppressor gene. Moreover, for patients with estrogen receptor-positive tumors treated with tamoxifen and especially for those without chemotherapy, breast cancer recurrence has been observed to be related to the deletion and/or reduction in the expression of *PER3* gene.[14]

In humans, deregulation or polymorphism of the *PER*, *CRY*, and *TIM* genes is associated with a number of neoplastic and hemolymphoproliferative diseases.[15,16] Recently, there is a great deal of interest in the alteration of clock gene and clock-controlled gene expression in colorectal cancer (CRC) patients.[17,18] CRC accounts for ~10% among all kinds of cancers, becoming the third most common cancer and the fourth most common for death all over the world.[19,20] In this study, the expression levels of *PER* and *CRY* genes in human CRC tissues and matched normal mucosa were evaluated to explore the relationship between their expressions in tissue with cancer and the clinical and pathological features of CRC patients.

Patients and methods

Patients

Primary tumor samples and matched normal tissues were obtained from 19 recently diagnosed CRC patients (13 men and six women) in our hospital who had had curative surgery. Clinical data, tumor characteristics, location, and staging of these patients are listed in Table 1. All tissue specimens

Table 1 Clinical and pathological features of colorectal cancer patients (N=19)

Features of patients	n	%	M/female
Age, mean ± SD (years)	68.9±8.8		67.1±8.7/72.8±8.6
Sex			
Male (M)/female (F)			13/6
Tumor location			
Cecum	2	10.5	0/2
Ascending colon			0/0
Proximal transverse	2	10.5	1/1
Distal transverse	2	10.5	1/1
Descending colon	1	5.3	1/0
Sigmoid colon	11	57.9	10/1
Rectosigmoid junction	1	5.3	0/1
Histologic type			
Mucin-producing adenocarcinoma	15	78.9	11/4
Mucin-producing adenocarcinoma	4	21.1	2/2
Grading			
G1–G2	17	89.5	13/4
G3–G4	2	10.5	0/2
Depth of tumor invasion			
T1–T2	3	15.8	2/1
T3–T4	16	84.2	11/5
Lymph node metastasis			
N0	12	63.2	8/4
N1–N2	7	36.8	5/2
Metastasis			
No	17	89.5	12/5
Yes	2	10.5	1/1
AJCC/TNM stage			
I	3	15.8	2/1
II	9	47.4	6/3
III	5	26.3	4/1
IV	2	10.5	1/1
Vascular invasion			
No	12	63.2	6/6
Yes	7	36.8	7/0
MSI status			
Missing	6	31.6	3/3
MSI-H	3	15.8	1/2
MSI-L	4	21.1	2/2
MSS	6	31.6	3/3

Abbreviations: AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSI-L, low microsatellite instability; MSI-H, high microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; SD, standard deviation; TNM, tumor, node, metastasis.

were collected between 9 am and 5 pm in a same day (9 am to 11 am five samples, 11 am to 1 pm four samples, 1 pm to 3 pm six samples, and 3 pm to 5 pm four samples) and immediately put into liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee in the Second Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University. All patients offered their informed written consent.

Extraction of RNA from fresh frozen tissue and synthesis of the first-strand cDNA

The total RNA from ~150 mg to 200 mg fresh frozen tissues was isolated by phenol extraction. The amount of extracted RNA was weighted by Nano Drop Spectrophotometer, while Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer was applied for monitoring RNA integrity after subsequent digestion by DNaseI. Then, 1.0 μg of total RNA was reverse transcribed by using the High-Capacity cDNA Archive Kit.

Quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction assay

The differential expressions of the genes in tumor tissue matched to normal mucosa of CRC samples were assessed by quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assay. Human QuantiTect Primers Assay was applied for the determination of *PER1*, *PER2*, *PER3*, *CRY1*, *CRY2*, and *TIM*. All qRT-PCRs were in a 25 μL final volume and three replicates were performed on a QuantiFast SYBR Green PCR kit and run in an ABI PRISM 7700 Sequence Detection System under conditions at 50°C for 2 minutes and 95°C for 10 minutes, as well as 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 1 minute. The threshold cycle (C_t) values were acquired. Expression levels of the target genes were normalized by glutaraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase housekeeping control gene,[21] and the relative amount of mRNA in each target gene comparing with glutaraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase was calculated by average $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method.[22] The results are shown in Table 2.

Microsatellite instability

The Bethesda panel of microsatellite (BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D17S250, and D2S123) was applied for the microsatellite instability (MSI) analysis, which was evaluated by means of multiplex PCR and polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis analysis. Then, the tumors were accordingly classified into the following categories: microsatellite stable, low microsatellite instability (MSI-L), and high microsatellite instability (MSI-H).[23]

Statistical analysis

The SPSS Statistical Package was used for the statistical analyses. Comparing with gene expression levels of the normal mucosa, those from the adjacent CRC tissues were calculated by the formula $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$, with the reported values of median, 25th percentile (Q1), and 75th percentile (Q3). The normal distribution of the continuous variables was verified by the Shapiro–Wilk test and the one-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. By addressing with a nonparametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test, the statistical significance of the up- or downregulation for non-normal distribution of the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ transformation was assessed. The differences among the groups for normally distributed variables were compared by Student's *t*-test or by analysis of variance, while those for non-normally distributed variables were compared by the Mann–Whitney rank sum test or the Kruskal–Wallis rank sum test. The correlation between mRNA expression levels and clinical and pathological features was evaluated by Spearman's test, and the survival rates were calculated by the Kaplan–Meier method via censored data analysis. A *P*-value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results and discussion

The expression levels of the core clock genes (*PER1*, *PER2*, *PER3*, *CRY1*, *CRY2*, and *TIM*) from 19 paired normal and colon cancer tissues were evaluated, in order to identify those differentially expressed in primary CRC. Figure 1 presents the relative expression levels of clock genes in CRC tissue samples according to the data in Table 2. Comparing with normal tissue after normalizing to 1, the following five genes in the tumor samples were down-regulated: *PER1* (median =0.42, Q1–Q3=0.24–0.85, *P*=0.002), *PER2* (median =0.51, Q1–Q3=0.34–0.88, *P*=0.011), *PER3* (median =0.36, Q1–Q3=0.15–0.58, *P*=0.003), and *CRY2* (median =0.55, Q1–Q3=0.27–0.90, *P*=0.012). The *CRY1* gene did not show different expression (median =0.93, Q1–Q3=0.65–1.48, *P*=0.600). It is obviously shown in Figure 1 that *TIM* was upregulated (median =1.22, Q1–Q3=0.92–1.63, *P*=0.044).

The association between gene expression levels (*PER*, *CRY*, and *TIM*) and clinical and pathological features, such as patient age and sex, tumor location and stage, and MSI status, is listed in Table 3. A significant association was observed for the *CRY1* and *TIM* genes. In particular, lower expression level of *CRY1* in the tumor mucosa was found in the 68–75-year-old subjects (*P*=0.026) and female patients (*P*=0.005), whereas higher expression level of *CRY1* in the tumor mucosa was found in cancers located in the distal colorectal segments (*P*=0.015), which was confirmed by Spearman's correlation (*r*=0.521, *P*=0.02, slope =0.519) (Figure 2).

Table 2 Relative and normalized expression levels of clock genes in colorectal cancer and mRNAs were expressed as mean $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ and SD

	PER1		*PER2*		*PER3*	
	Mean $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$	SD	Mean $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$	SD	Mean $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$	SD
Sample PS1	0.148593313	0.003329629	0.140636383	0.001378578	0.041369743	0.005547747
Sample PS2	0.686256067	0.059030876	0.837356315	0.08115278	0.44794733	0.084363612
Sample PS3	0.293233832	0.021471752	0.360234712	0.024152627	0.579212347	0.054530476
Sample PS4	0.517660447	0.046787661	0.483264041	0.01729745	0.440295024	0.014052806
Sample PS5	0.615409643	0.030150945	0.562359068	0.021690964	0.49138551	0.066455953
Sample PS6	0.405616491	0.020982013	0.189677498	0.018320315	0.15215594	0.006157781
Sample PS7	0.062033048	0.006675992	0.243125077	0.01070676	0.06347467	0.008683778
Sample PS8	0.421673014	0.045380419	0.337789071	0.014045216	0.36097168	0.058131258
Sample PS9	0.676397751	0.031561495	0.50870249	0.034245053	0.077369856	0.006263042
Sample PS10	0.238319619	0.018670048	0.936377508	0.047961853	0.2499[illegible]	0.041435853
Sample PS11	0.846401991	0.205716657	0.292537606	0.014757011	0.93[illegible]729	[illegible]378102
Sample PS12	0.047178752	0.003438641	0.453037804	0.021973839	0.23[illegible]5017	[illegible]001149226
Sample PS13	0.026752629	0.003197484	0.664332366	0.010683014	[illegible]	[illegible]008898889
Sample PS14	0.300053079	0.021065332	0.448304223	0.021745458	0.21043458[illegible]	0.017448073
Sample PS15	0.910213643	0.231713871	0.829084909	0.178008842	0.25[illegible]88173	0.022470912
Sample PS16	0.288833852	0.049316316	0.876888235	0.024310168	[illegible]41743796	0.033459043
Sample PS17	1.333893837	0.057954476	1.411384224	0.073528[illegible]95	2.625848[illegible]	0.18764957
Sample PS18	1.471514504	0.074230307	3.407718958	0.10[illegible]2464	[illegible]340[illegible]891	0.128939674
Sample PS19	1.370382169	0.236537711	1.370840431	0.[illegible]26875[illegible]8	1.0[illegible]499345	0.071021113
	CRY1		*CRY2*		*TIM*	
	Mean $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$	SD	Mean $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$	SD	Mean $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$	SD
Sample PS1	0.649289748	0.059934764	0.117900145	0.00664726	0.545659652	0.075202149
Sample PS2	0.715427505	0.034935461	0.898393284	0.1022[illegible]565	0.606442156	0.085315813
Sample PS3	0.389462167	0.051389512	0.441259597	0.0578[illegible]6	0.922434233	0.064052995
Sample PS4	0.857378971	0.029410076	0.[illegible]4777702	0.02[illegible]38623	0.582847524	0.062303115
Sample PS5	0.934737143	0.281852036	0.[illegible]8506[illegible]2	0.037949085	0.866423092	0.04148866
Sample PS6	0.328891017	0.038016805	0.2[illegible]24570[illegible]	0.010453803	0.97474993	0.014107983
Sample PS7	0.5833602	0.03740551	0.210[illegible]24	0.011059941	2.590559252	0.02738315
Sample PS8	0.813085982	0.06158565[illegible]	0.2330[illegible]503	0.032872414	1.572210757	0.028702739
Sample PS9	0.555555039	0.03857[illegible]37	0.28047[illegible]47	0.040498653	1.219881211	0.04902701
Sample PS10	0.722475552	0.12230[illegible]53	[illegible]518345	0.063735334	1.585920607	0.056514161
Sample PS11	2.307305573	0.[illegible]1873398	0.268831945	0.020482531	1.267166976	0.035374096
Sample PS12	2.263095958	[illegible]169538304	0.769572585	0.053583003	2.32439189	0.274518286
Sample PS13	1.900991943	0.[illegible]1072431	0.551525369	0.034847113	1.235656923	0.013061341
Sample PS14	1.109515431	0.02[illegible]33253	0.434306719	0.020559688	1.032233245	0.029969761
Sample PS15	1.479121[illegible]	0.07970[illegible]14	0.800736942	0.072909877	1.632193291	0.085031924
Sample PS16	0.2889[illegible]852	0.049316316	0.876888235	0.024310168	0.441743796	0.033459043
Sample PS17	1.33[illegible]3837	0.057954476	1.411384224	0.073528495	2.625848118	0.18764957
Sample PS18	1.47151[illegible]	0.[illegible]4230307	3.407718958	0.108763464	1.034029891	0.128939674
Sample PS19	[illegible]038216	[illegible].23653771[illegible]	1.370840431	0.026873828	1.095499345	0.071021113

Abbreviations: [illegible]M, timeless; *PER*, period; [illegible], cryptochrome; SD, standard deviation.

A significant association could be observed between high *TIM* mRNA expression level in tumor mucosa and stages III–IV (P=0.005), involving lymph nodes (P=0.005), in particular, of proximal lymph nodes (P=0.013), and DNA mismatch repair proficiency and MSI (P=0.015) (Table 3).

CRC patients with lower expression of *PER1* (P=0.010) and *PER3* (P=0.010) in the tumor tissue showed significantly poorer survival rates, according to the Kaplan–Meier method for the analysis of censored data. No statistically significant decrease in survival could be evidenced in patients with low expression level of *PER2* (P=0.143), *CRY1* (P=0.143), *CRY2* (P=0.236), and *TIM* (P=0.491) in the tumor tissue (Figure 3).

The core clock genes drive and activate downstream clock-controlled genes and the control of tissue/organ function.[24,25] In addition, altered expression levels of *PER* and *CRY* genes have been evidenced in intestinal biopsies of diseased intestinal segments of patients affected by ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease, and inflammation is considered to favor the development of neoplastic disease. Considering the reported involvement of the circadian clock in several cancers, qRT-PCR was applied to examine the expressions



Figure 1 Expression of core clock genes in colorectal cancer tissue.
Notes: Box and whisker plots of the expression of the core clock genes in CRC tissue as analyzed by qRT-PCR and compared with matched normal tissue, with the expression in GAPDH used as calibrator. For each gene, a box plot shows the median, quartiles, minimum, and maximum values, as well as outliers. Boxes represent the interquartile range (IQR) and the horizontal bar the median relative expression. Expression values that do not fall within 1.5× IQR are outlie[illegible]re indicated by circles where appropriate.
Abbreviations: CRC, colorectal cancer; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time [illegible]erse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction; GAPDH, glutaraldehyde-3-phos[illegible]at dehydrogenase; *TIM*, timeless; *PER*, period; *CRY*, cryptochro[illegible]

of *PER1*, *PER2*, *PER3*, *CRY1*, *CR[illegible]2*, and *[illegible]M* in CRC and matched normal colorectal ti[illegible]s. The exp[illegible]ssion level of some clock genes in tu[illegible]or tiss[illegible] was found to be significantly decreased, a[illegible]n the case of *[illegible]ER1*, *PER2*, *PER3*, and *CRY2*, whereas that of *TIM* (P=0.044) was higher, and poorer survival ra[illegible]was [illegible]sociated with lower expression levels of *PER1* and *PE[illegible]* in the t[illegible]or tissue in a statistically significa[illegible]way.

Ou[illegible]results [illegible]re in agreement with previous reports describing [illegible]erations in the expression of clock genes in CRC. In parti[illegible]lar, the decreased expression of *PER* genes seems to be mos[illegible] relevant for the process of oncogenesis and tumor progression. *PER1* and *PER2* are related to the pathways for ATM-Chk1/Chk2 DNA damage response, β-catenin modulate, and proliferation of colon and noncolon cancer cells. In contrast, clock function may be altered by intestinal tumorigenesis, leading to increase in β-catenin-destabilizing *PER2* protein. *PER1* could directly interact with ATM in vitro as a response to radiation. In mice, *PER2* mutation would result in change in the temporal gene expression for regulation of the cell cycle and tumor suppression (C-MYC, CYCLIN A, CYCLIN D1, GADD45A, and MDM-2), DNA-damage response deregulation, accelerating intestinal polyp formation in $APC^{Min/+}$ mice, and increase in neoplastic growth.[26,27] We found no statistically significant difference in the *CRY1* expression level between CRC and matched normal tissue. CK1ε phosphorylates *PER* and *CRY* proteins and β-catenin, thereby facilitating their ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation. In vitro and in vivo studies strongly confirm that CKIε is a key factor in the early stages of tumorigenesis, predisposing to colon cancer. As tumor cells have more dependence on th[illegible] activity of CK1ε than normal ones, a specific ki[illegible]se inhibito[illegible]o CK1ε could induce tumor cell-selective cyt[illegible]oxicity.[28,29]

A correlation betwe[illegible] low exp[illegible]ssion of *[illegible]ER1* gene and liver metastasis and [illegible]he relati[illegible]nship [illegible]een high expression of *PER2* g[illegible]ne [illegible] si[illegible]ificantly better outcomes were reported in a [illegible]revious [illegible]dy.[30] I[illegible]our study, *CRY1* mRNA expressio[illegible]ev[illegible]s in tissues [illegible]RC were significantly related to patient age (w[illegible]h the lowest levels detected in the age ra[illegible]ge [illegible]68–75 years), [illegible]ex (with the lowest levels detected in [illegible]emale patients), and cancer location (with the highest levels [illegible]etected in tu[illegible]ors located in the distal colon).

The cloc[illegible] gene machinery, which controls the system functions of hepatic, intestinal, renal detoxification, and x[illegible]biotic detoxification, exhibits circadian variation of activity, determining time-dependent toxicity of xenobiotics and drugs. Time-of-day-dependent variation in drug toxicity, metabolism, and effectiveness provides the basis for the specific 24-hour period timing of drug administration during the chronomodulated cancer chemotherapy of advanced-stage CRC.

For example, diurnally active patients deliver oxaliplatin in the afternoon and fluorouracil and leucovorin at night.[31] For the sex dependency, female CRC patients have been reported to have shorter survival and greater toxicities, according to European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Chronotherapy Group trial.[32] The male and female mammals (including humans) have different xenobiotic detoxification and metabolic pathways. The change of sexual dimorphism in hepatic drug metabolism could be observed in double mutant $CRY1^{-/-}$ $CRY2^{-/-}$ male mice. Once the *CRY* genes are inactivated, the male and female mice have similar expression levels of sex-specific liver products, such as several cytochrome P450 enzymes.[33] By transferring this evidence to humans, it could be suggested that the decreased expression level of the *CRY1* gene in female CRC patients might lead to the different median survival and the increase in the toxicity after the administration of chronic-modulated chemotherapy.

Table 3 Relationship between expression levels of *CRY1* and *TIM* genes and clinicopathological features of CRC patients

Category	n	*CRY1*				*TIM*			
		Median	Q1	Q3	*P*-value	Median	Q1	Q3	*P*-value
Age (years)									
<60	4	1.05	0.75	1.28	0.026	0.99	0.76	1.12	0.336
60–67	6	1.87	1.40	2.31		1.70	0.61	2.32	
68–75	3	0.56	0.39	0.72		1.57	1.12	1.92	
>75	6	0.83	0.58	1.11		1.13	0.92	1.59	
Sex									
Male	13	1.32	0.86	1.90	0.005	1.24	0.97	1.63	0.430
Female	6	0.60	0.39	0.72		1.07	0.87	1.57	
Tumor location									
Proximal colon	2	0.64	0.56	0.72	0.02	1.52	1.12	1.92	0.711
Transverse colon	4	0.62	0.49	0.68		1.25	0.[illegible]	1.[illegible]	
Distal colon	13	1.32	0.93	1.90		1.22	0.97	1.59	
Grading									
G1/G2	17	1.02	0.72	1.48	0.201	1.17	0.9[illegible]	1.59	0.273
G3/G4	2	0.47	0.39	0.56		1.[illegible]	1.57	1.[illegible]	
AJCC/TNM stage									
I	3	0.72	0.33	1.11	0.560	1.[illegible]	0.92	1.59	0.028
II	9	0.93	0.81	1.32		0.97	0.6[illegible]	1.12	
III	5	1.40	0.58	2.26		1.92	1.[illegible]3	2.32	
IV	2	1.15	0.39	1.90		1.40	1.22	1.57	
Histologic type									
Nonmucin-producing adenocarcinoma	15	1.11	0.72	1.48	[illegible]317	1.[illegible]	0.97	1.63	0.841
Mucin-producing adenocarcinoma	4	0.68	0.52	1.[illegible]		1.25	0.73	2.08	
Lymph node positive									
No positivity	12	0.90	0.72	1.[illegible]3	0.083	0.99	0.74	1.18	0.013
Proximal lymph node	5	1.40	0.58	2.2[illegible]		1.92	1.63	2.32	
Distal lymph node	2	1.15	[illegible]	1.90		1.40	1.22	1.57	
Lymph node involvement									
N0	12	0.90	0.[illegible]	1.[illegible]26	0.672	0.99	0.74	1.18	0.005
N1–N2	7	1.40	0.5[illegible]	2.26		1.63	1.27	2.32	
Vascular invasion									
No	12	0.87	0.62	1.26	0.150	1.07	0.92	1.77	0.799
Yes	7	1.90	[illegible]2	2.31		1.24	0.90	1.57	
MSI status									
Missing	[illegible]6								
MSI-H	4	0.56	0.39	1.90	0.735	1.57	1.22	1.92	0.015
MSI-L	4	0.96	0.71	0.91		1.61	1.43	1.88	
MSS	6	0.90	0.72	1.24		1.02	0.97	1.12	

Note: *P*-value: Spearman tests.

Abbreviations: *TIM*, timeless; CRC, colorectal cancer; AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSI-L, low microsatellite instability; MSI-H, high microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; *CRY*, cryptochrome; TNM, tumor, node, metastasis.



Figure 2 The correlation between *CRY1* expression levels in tumor tissue and cancer location, evaluated by Spearman's test (r=0.521, P=0.02, slope =0.519).

Comparing with the normal mucosa, the *TIM* mRNA levels observed in the CRC tissue were significantly related to American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC)/TNM stage (highest levels in TNM stages III–IV), lymph node involvement (highest levels in the case of positive lymph nodes, especially proximal lymph node involvement), and MSI (highest levels in MSI-H and MSI-L). Most of the cytotoxic anticancer drugs would damage DNA and activate DNA checkpoints for approving the attempted DNA repair, which is important to the survival of the cells. However, the cytotoxicity of anticancer drugs may be reduced. For ATM-dependent Chk2-mediated signaling of doxorubicin-induced DNA double-strand breaks, *TIM* plays an essential role. Moreover, the arresting of



Figure 3 Cumulative survival of patients according to the expression levels of *PER1*, *PER2*, *PER3*, *CRY1*, *CRY2*, and *TIM* in tumor tissue of CRC patients.
Notes: Patients with low expression of *PER1* and *PER3* showed significantly poorer survival rates (*P*=0.010 *PER1* and *P*=0.010 *PER3*). No statistically significant difference was found in cumulative survival rates of CRC patients according to the tumor tissue mRNA levels of *PER2* (*P*=0.143), *CRY1* (*P*=0.143), *CRY2* (*P*=0.236), and *TIM* (*P*=0.491). *P*-value: log-rank test by Kaplan–Meier method.
Abbreviations: CRC, colorectal cancer; *PER*, period; *CRY*, cryptochrome.

doxorubicin-induced G(2)/M cell cycle would be significantly attenuated by downregulation of *TIM* siRNA, sensitizing cancer cells to doxorubicin-induced cytotoxicity. Hence, the variation in drug sensitivity could be predicted by *TIM* mutation in human cancers. In order to enhance the cytotoxic effectiveness of chemotherapeutic drugs for activating DNA response pathways in cancer cells, the *TIM* inhibition becomes a potential novel target for anticancer drugs.[34,35] In our study, *TIM* expression was also significantly associated with MSI. Approximately 15% of CRCs are diagnosed by defects in mismatch repair system of DNA, leading to MSI and generating many substitution, insertion, deletion, and mutations. As mainly targeting to the microsatellite sequences, these mutations could lead to reading frame variation, further resulting in truncation or alterations in protein. The mRNA expressions with such frameshift mutations could be decreased with the presence of premature stop codons, leading to some mutant mRNA degradation via the nonsense-mediated decay pathway.[7,36] As better outcome with irinotecan-containing regimens was shown in MSI-H tumors than with 5-fluorouracil-containing treatments, the MSI status of CRC patients could affect the response to adjuvant chemotherapy.[37] In MSI-H and MSI-L patients, the increase in the *TIM* expressions may be associated with the tumorigenesis process in CRC and reduction in the response to adjuvant chemotherapy.

Conclusion

In conclusion, there are differences in the expression levels of *PER*, *CRY*, and *TIM* genes in CRC tissues compared with matched normal ones, and the altered expression might influence the process of carcinogenesis and various aspects of host–tumor characteristics and interactions.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Lemmer B. Discoveries of rhythms in human biological functions: a historical review. *Chronobiol Int*. 2009;26:1019–1068.
2. Duguay D, Cermakian N. The crosstalk between physiology and circadian clock proteins. *Chronobiol Int*. 2009;26:1479–1513.
3. Hastings MH, Reddy AB, Maywood ES. A clockwork web: circadian timing in brain and periphery, in health and disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2003; 4:649–661.
4. Jud C, Chappuis S, Revell VL, et al. Age-dependent alterations in human PER2 levels after early morning blue light exposure. *Chronobiol Int*. 2009;26:1462–1469.
5. Agostino PV, Harrington ME, Ralph MR, Golombek DA. Casein kinase-1-epsilon (CK1epsilon) and circadian photic responses in hamsters. *Chronobiol Int*. 2009;26:126–133.
6. Nagoshi E, Saini C, Bauer C, Laroche T, Naef F, Schibler U. Circadian gene expression in individual fibroblasts: cell-autonomous and self-sustained oscillators pass time to daughter cells. *Cell*. 2004;119:693–705.
7. Smith KD, Fu MA, Brown EJ. Tim-Tipin dysfunction creates an indispensible reliance on the ATR-Chk1 pathway for continued DNA synthesis. *J Cell Biol*. 2009;187:15–23.
8. Erren TC, Groß JV, Meyer-Rochow VB. Light, clocks, mood, and cancer: consolidation and novel tests of latitude and instability hypotheses. *Chronobiol Int*. 2011;28:471–473.
9. Kloog I, Haim A, Stevens RG, Portnov BA. Global co-distribution of light at night (LAN) and cancers of prostate, colon, and lung. *Chronobiol Int*. 2009;26:108–125.
10. Chu G, Yoshida K, Narahara S, et al. Alterations of circadian clockworks during differentiation and apoptosis of human cells. *Chronobiol Int*. 2011;28:477–487.
11. Hayashida S, Kuramoto Y, Kondagi S, et al. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α mediates high-fat, diet-enhanced daily oscillation of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 activity in mice. *Chronobiol Int*. 2010;27:1735–1753.
12. Im JS, Jung BH, Kim SE, Park KH, Lee JK. Per3, a circadian gene, is required for cell activation in human cells. *FEBS Lett*. 2010;584: 4731–4734.
13. Yang X, Wood PA, Ansell C, Hrushesky WJ. Circadian time-dependent tumor suppressor function and genes. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2009;8: 309–316.
14. Climent J, Perez-Losada J, Quigley DA, et al. Deletion of the PER3 gene on chromosome 1p36 in recurrent ER-positive breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28:3770–3778.
15. Alhopuro P, Björklund M, Sammalkorpi H, et al. Mutations in the circadian gene CLOCK in colorectal cancer. *Mol Cancer Res*. 2010;8: 952–960.
16. Yang X, Wood PA, Ansell CM, et al. Beta-catenin induces beta-TrCP-mediated PER2 degradation altering circadian clock gene expression in intestinal mucosa of ApcMin/+ mice. *J Biochem*. 2009;145:289–297.
17. Krugluger W, Brandstaetter A, Kállay E, et al. Regulation of genes of the circadian clock in human colon cancer: reduced period-1 and dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase transcription correlates in high-grade tumors. *Cancer Res*. 2007;67:7917–7922.
18. Mostafaie N, Kállay E, Sauerzapf E, et al. Correlated downregulation of estrogen receptor beta and the circadian clock gene Per1 in human colorectal cancer. *Mol Carcinog*. 2009;48:642–647.
19. Haggar FA, Boushey RP. Colorectal cancer epidemiology: incidence, mortality, survival, and risk factors. *Clinlical Colon Rectal Surgery*. 2009; 22:191–197.
20. Bijan M-D, Azadeh S. An overview of colorectal cancer survival rates and prognosis in Asia. *World J Gastrointest Oncol*. 2012;4:71–75.
21. Barber RD, Harmer DW, Coleman RA, Clark BJ. GAPDH as a housekeeping gene: analysis of GAPDH mRNA expression in a panel of 72 human tissues. *Physiol Genomics*. 2005;21:389–395.
22. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C (T)). *Methods*. 2001;25:402–408.
23. Boland CR, Thibodeau SN, Hamilton SR, et al. National Cancer Institute workshop on microsatellite instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res*. 1998; 58:5248–5257.
24. Hrushesky WJ, Grutsch J, Wood PA, et al. Circadian clock manipulation for cancer prevention and control and the relief of cancer symptoms. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2009;8:387–392.
25. Yamamura Y, Yang X, Kudo K, Shibata S. Time-dependent inhibitory effect of lipopolysaccharide injection on Per1 and Per2 gene expression in the mouse heart and liver. *Chronobiol Int*. 2010;27:213–232.
26. Antoch MP, Kondratov RV, Takahashi JS. Circadian clock genes as modulators of sensitivity to genotoxic stress. *Cell Cycle*. 2005;4:901–907.
27. Yang X, Wood PA, Ansell CM, et al. The circadian clock gene Per1 suppresses cancer cell proliferation and tumor growth at specific times of day. *Chronobiol Int*. 2009;26:1323–1339.
28. Kapdak C, Chai S. Potential of casein kinase I in digestive cancer screening. *World J Gastrointest Oncol*. 2009;15:26–33.
29. Yang WX, Rockwell BR. Inhibition of casein kinase 1-epsilon induces cancer-cell-selective, PERIOD2-dependent growth arrest. *Genome Biol*. 2007;8:R92.
30. Oshima T, Takenoshita S, Akaike M, et al. Expression of circadian genes correlates with liver metastasis and outcomes in colorectal cancer. *Oncol Rep*. 2011;25:1439–1446.
31. Levi F, Schibler U. Circadian rhythms: mechanisms and therapeutic implications. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2007;47:593–628.
32. Giacchetti S, Bjarnason G, Garufi C, et al; European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Chronotherapy Group. Phase III trial comparing 4-day chronomodulated therapy versus 2-day conventional delivery of fluorouracil, leucovorin, and oxaliplatin as first-line chemotherapy of metastatic colorectal cancer: The European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Chronotherapy Group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006;24:3562–3569.
33. Bur IM, Cohen-Solal AM, Carmignac D, et al. The circadian clock components CRY1 and CRY2 are necessary to sustain sex dimorphism in mouse liver metabolism. *J Biol Chem*. 2009;284:9066–9073.
34. Yang X, Wood PA, Hrushesky WJ. Mammalian TIMELESS is required for ATM-dependent CHK2 activation and G2/M checkpoint control. *J Biol Chem*. 2010;29:3030–3034.
35. Kemp MG, Akan Z, Yilmaz S, et al. Tipin-replication protein A interaction mediates Chk1 phosphorylation by ATR in response to genotoxic stress. *J Biol Chem*. 2010;285:16562–16571.
36. Unsal-Kaçmaz K, Chastain PD, Qu PP, et al. The human Tim/Tipin complex coordinates an Intra-S checkpoint response to UV that slows replication fork displacement. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2007;27:3131–3142.
37. Bertagnolli MM, Niedzwiecki D, Compton CC, et al. Microsatellite instability predicts improved response to adjuvant therapy with irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin in stage III colon cancer: cancer and Leukemia Group B Protocol 89803. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27: 1814–1821.