Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Prognostic value of *p53* mutation for poor outcome of Asian primary liver cancer patients: evidence from a cohort study and meta-analysis of 988 patients

Xiajie Wen¹ Fengmin Lu^{1,2} Shuang Liu³

¹Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, College of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, ²Microbiology and Infectious Disease Center, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Peking University Health Science Center, ³Beijing Artificial Liver Treatment and Training Center, Beijing Youan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

Correspondence: Shuang Liu Beijing Artificial Liver Treatment and Training Center, Beijing Youan Hospital, Capital Medical University, 8 Xitoutiao, Outer You'anmen, Fengtai District, Beijing 100050, China Tel +86 10 6329 1007 Fax +86 10 6329 5285 Email shuangliu 186@163.com



Abstract: Several previous studies have investigated the association between gene p53 (p53) mutation and the poor outcome of primary liver cancer (PLC) patients; however, the results remain inconsistent. In the present study, p53 mutation in 60 paired tumor and corresponding nontumor tissues derived from a cohort of 60 PLC patients was systematically analyzed. The results showed that p53 mutation was only an independent risk factor for overall survival (OS), not for recurrence-free survival (RFS), and a meta-analysis was performed to verify this. Online databases were searched up to July 1, 2016. Studies about the association between p53 mutation and the postsurgery survival of PLC patients were collected. A total of 988 patients from eight studies were analyzed; among them, 341 (34.51%) patients had p53 mutation. Pooled hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were 2.03 (1.64, 2.41) and 2.36 (1.31, 3.42) for OS and RFS, respectively. In conclusion, both the cohort study and meta-analysis suggested that the p53 mutation was associated with postsurgery OS in Asian PLC patients. However, the relationship between p53 mutation and recurrence should be confirmed by further studies.

Keywords: p53, primary liver cancer, survival, meta-analysis

Introduction

Primary liver cancer (PLC), ranked as the second and sixth leading cause of cancer deaths in males and females, respectively, is one of the most lethal human malignancy worldwide, and it is especially common in Asia.^{1,2} Although improved diagnostic techniques and treatment approaches have contributed more patients to receive treatment at early stages, such as liver resection, the mortality rate still remains high due to the frequent recurrence of tumor.^{3–5} Therefore, the identification of effective prognostic factors is extremely needed for the proper management of PLC patients.

Currently, the available main prognostic factors include the size of tumor, the number of tumor nodules, portal vein tumor thrombus (PVTT), serum level of α -fetoprotein (AFP) and serum ferritin, and whether the patient has metastasis or not. However, the prognostic significance of each single variable has been found to be unsatisfactory so far; some findings even remain controversial.^{4–8}

The gene of p53 residing on chromosome 17p13.1 is a well-known tumor suppressor gene that controls response to several different cellular stresses, including DNA damage, hypoxia, and oncogene activation.^{9,10} The mutations of p53 had been found in

OncoTargets and Therapy 2016:9 7425-7433

Control of the first of the second seco

7425

many kinds of cancer and repeatedly reported as a prognostic factor for the clinical outcome of PLC patients.^{11,12}

To explore the role of p53 mutation in predicting the outcome of PLC patients, p53 mutation in paired tissues of 60 Chinese PLC cases was screened for, and then the association between p53 mutation and patients' long-term clinical outcome was analyzed. Moreover, a meta-analysis including 988 PLC patients to verify the consequence was carried out.

Materials and methods Study population and their clinical data collection

Tumor tissue specimens were obtained from 60 Chinese patients who had underwent surgical treatment in Henan Cancer Hospital, Zhengzhou, China, between December 2008 and December 2009. All the patients were diagnosed with PLC by pathological diagnosis, and none of them received any chemotherapy or radiotherapy before surgery. By reading the electronic medical record, clinical features and biochemical indicators were collected.

The age of the patients ranged from 34 to 70 years (median 50.82 years). The male to female ratio was 43:17. Serological tests showed that out of the 60 patients, three patients (5.00%) were HBeAg positive and 59 patients (98.33%) were serum hepatitis B surface antigen positive, whereas serum anti-hepatitis C virus (HCV) antibody was present in only one (1.67%) patient. What is more, 58 (96.67%) patients had cirrhosis diagnosed by pathology.

This study was approved by the ethics committee of Peking University Health Science Center, Beijing, China. Written informed consents were obtained from all the participants.

Follow-up

After patients were discharged from hospital, by telephoning or checking patients' medical records or visiting patients or their relatives, their medical and survival information were collected.

The first and second follow-ups were carried out 1 month and 3 months after hospital discharge, respectively. The patients were then followed up every 3 months for the first year and every 6 months for the following 5 years. The last follow-up was carried out in July 2015. Five of the patients were lost to follow-up and 44 died during the past 5 years.

Method

DNAs were extracted from 60 paired frozen PLC tissues, and for the array-based comparative genomic hybridization

(a-CGH) study, the genomic DNAs were extracted using the Genomic DNA PURIFICATION Kit (Qiagen NV, Venlo, the Netherlands). Four independent polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were used to amplify exons 2–11 of p53. The PCR products were directly sequenced to identify mutation. Chromosome aberration was comprehensively analyzed via a-CGH. In the assay, each corresponding paired adjacent nontumor tissue DNA was used as reference DNA.

In the following analysis, compared to the adjacent nontumor tissue DNA sequences, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) or point mutation of p53 would be defined as p53 mutation of the patients.

Statistical analysis

Chi-square test was used to assess the significance of the associations between p53 mutation and clinical features. Cumulative survival was tested by the Kaplan–Meier method, and the Mantel–Cox model was used to assess the effects of p53 mutation on survival and recurrence. A two-sided *P*-value <0.05 was defined as statistical significance. These tests were done by SPSS 21.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Stata version 12 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) was used, and a random-effect model was conducted if heterogeneity was substantial with the value of I^2 >50% and χ^2 *P*-value <0.10; if not, fixed-effects model was considered if *P*-value was <0.05 in Egger's test.

Search strategy and study selection

Comprehensive literature search was conducted in the databases of PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science with an upper date limit to July 1, 2016. By using the random combination of the search terms "primary liver cancer or hepatocellular carcinoma", "PLC or HCC", "*p53* or *p53* mutation", "TP53 or TP53 mutation", and "prognosis, survival, recurrence or outcome", a total of 653 literatures were found.

Eligible studies were required to match the following criteria: 1) proven pathology diagnosis of PLC patients; 2) reported explicit methods for the detection of *p53* mutation; and 3) provided hazard ratio (HR), 95% confidence interval (CI), or crude data.

Data extraction and quality assessment

According to the guidelines of Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology,¹⁴ two reviewers

independently extracted the data from each eligible study and a consensus was achieved through discussion in case of disagreement between these two reviewers. Data extracted from the literatures included author, publication year, clinical features, assay method, HR, and 95% CI. If the studies did not report the raw data, HR and CI were extracted according to survival curves by using the previous published methods.^{15,16} The quality of each study was identified according to the Newcastle–Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (NOS) for cohort studies.¹⁴ However, the literature's use was limited in the following analysis if the data were not completely reported in the literatures.

Results

Status of p53 mutation and the clinical features of the patients

A total of 29 out of 60 patients (48.33%) were detected carrying p53 mutation in the present cohort. As the results shown in Table 1 and similar to several previous studies reported, poor mean overall survival (OS) time and short mean recurrence-free survival (RFS) time were observed among patients carrying p53 mutation (P<0.05). No other clinical features were found to be statistically different between the two groups.

Mutation of *p53* predicts poor OS in PLC patients

To further evaluate the association of p53 mutation with patients' poor prognosis after surgical treatment, Kaplan– Meier test was conducted. The results showed that patients with p53 mutation had significantly shorter OS than those

Table I Preoperative clinical features stratified by p53 status

without p53 mutation (P=0.002), but RFS did not differ (P=0.738); survival curves are shown in Figure 1.

Next, Cox regression multivariate analysis was performed with six other potential clinical variables, including age (\leq 60 years/>60 years), tumor size (\leq 5 cm/>5 cm), tumor number(1/ \geq 2), serum level of AFP(\leq 400 ng/mL/>400 ng/mL), PVTT (-/+), and cirrhosis (-/+). The results are shown in Table 2. Both *p*53 mutation and large tumor size were the independent predictors for short OS. Other factors, including age, PVTT, cirrhosis, tumor number, and AFP, tended to have influence on survival to some extent, but without significant *P*-values.

Meta-analysis

Nine studies including the results reported earlier were eligible for meta-analysis; one was performed in the UK and other eight studies including 988 patients were performed in Asia. The basic data are listed in Table 3.11,12,17-23 The mean rate of p53 mutation was 34.51%. One of these studies combined the patients from Asia and Europe including 336 Chinese patients and 73 White patients, and the data about Asian patients were used in the following study.²¹ The follow-up time postsurgery ranged from 2 to 8 years, and the median time was 4.8 years. Remarkable differences identified among the studies were positive rate of virus infection and cirrhosis. The positive rate of Hepatitis B virus (HBV) ranged from 15.5% to 98.3%, and that of HCV ranged from 1.49% to 77.1%. Excluding one study that did not report cirrhosis rate, the cirrhosis rates ranged from 44.4% to 95.1%. The cohort study research was pooled in the follow-up analyses. Figure 2 shows the forest plots for the association

Clinical features	Total patients	p53 mutation (–)	p53 mutation (+)	P-value
	(N=60)	patients, (n=31)	patients, (n=29)	
Age (years), mean \pm SD	50.82±8.40	50.90±8.90	50.72±8.00	0.705
Gender (M/F)	43/17	21/10	22/7	0.485
PVTT (-/+)	45/14	24/6	21/8	0.491
Cirrhosis (-/+)	2/58	2/29	0/29	0.164
Size (<5 cm/≥5 cm)	17/42	8/23	9/20	0.653
Tumor number ($<2/\geq2$)	31/29	18/13	17/12	0.965
AFP (≤400/>400), ng/mL	25/34	11/20	4/ 4	0.260
AST (≤40/>40), U/L	17/43	9/22	8/21	0.901
ALT (≤40/>40), U/L	26/34	17/14	9/20	0.063
ALP (≤150/>150), U/L	44/16	23/8	21/8	0.876
OS time, mean \pm SD	32.79±24.85	38.71±28.07	24.05±16.15	<0.001
RFS time, mean \pm SD	13.02±18.86	13.98±23.85	8.28±9.77	0.048

Abbreviations: M, male; F, female; PVTT, portal vein tumor thrombus; AFP, α -fetoprotein; AST, aspartate transaminase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; OS, overall survival; RFS, recurrence-free survival; SD, standard deviation.



Figure I Kaplan–Meier survival curves for primary liver cancer patients with and without *p53* mutation. **Notes: (A)** Overall survival curves (*P*=0.002). (**B**) Recurrence-free survival curves (*P*=0.738).

between *p53* mutation and OS or RFS. Egger's test was carried out to assess the publication bias of the studies, and it showed that the publication bias was absent in both the analyses. The *P*-values of the bias for OS and RFS were 0.405 and 0.830, respectively; funnel plot was also done to value the publication bias (Figure 3).

The summary HR and 95% CI for OS and RFS were 2.03 (1.64, 2.41) and 2.36 (1.31, 3.42), respectively. The result

 Table 2 Multivariate analysis for predictors of prognosis of PLC patients

Covariate	Coefficient	SE	HR	95% CI	P-value
OS					
p53 mutation (−/+)	0.95	0.37	2.58	(1.26, 5.27)	0.010
Age (<60/≥60)	0.69	0.44	1.98	(0.84, 4.70)	0.119
Size (<5 cm/≥5 cm)	1.04	0.50	2.83	(1.06, 7.58)	0.038
Tumor number (<2/ \geq 2)	0.33	0.37	1.39	(0.68, 2.84)	0.374
AFP (≤400/>400)	0.34	0.40	1.41	(0.64, 3.10)	0.394
PVTT (-/+)	-0.55	0.40	2.56	(0.26, 1.29)	0.178
Cirrhosis (-/+)	0.32	0.34	1.38	(0.71, 2.65)	0.341
RFS					
p53 mutation (–/+)	0.49	0.50	1.62	(0.61, 4.30)	0.501
Age (<60/≥60)	-0.14	0.60	0.87	(0.27, 2.84)	0.815
Size (<5 cm/≥5 cm)	1.78	0.68	5.95	(1.56, 22.68)	0.009
Tumor number (<2/ \geq 2)	1.25	0.50	3.48	(1.30, 9.29)	0.013
AFP (≤400/>400)	0.39	0.58	I.48	(0.47, 4.61)	0.501
PVTT (-/+)	-0.97	0.75	0.38	(0.09, 1.63)	0.192
Cirrhosis (-/+)	0.35	0.40	1.42	(0.64, 3.13)	0.388

Abbreviations: PLC, primary liver cancer; SE, standard error; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; OS, overall survival; AFP, α -fetoprotein; PVTT, portal vein tumor thrombus; RFS, recurrence-free survival.

supported p53 mutation as a kind of risk factor for the poor outcome of PLC patients who underwent surgery, which is consistent with a previous report.⁹

Sensitivity and subgroup analysis

To ensure the reliability of this study, sensitivity analysis was performed, by excluding every individual study, the studies with a score of NOS <5, the largest effect study, and studies with follow-up <5 years. No significant change had happened, and it suggested that no individual study significantly affected the pooled HR, which indicated that the results were statistically robust.

To the authors' knowledge, the conditions of virus infection and cirrhosis are strong factors for the OS of PLC patients and may contact with p53 conditions.^{24–26} Therefore, subgroup analyses were performed to eliminate the variances among clinical features in this study.

By dividing the research according to the rate of cirrhosis, HBV infection, HCV infection, number of the patients, and the test method, subgroup analyses showed that the heterogeneity comes from the difference in HBV and HCV infection rate experiment methods and patient number in each research; all the *P*-values were >0.10 in these subgroups (Table 4).

Discussion

A comprehensive understanding of the influential factors for the OS and RFS is crucial for the improved management

D	ov	re	p 1	re	S	

References	Country	Cases	Assay method	p53 mutation	Cirrhosis	НВV	HCV	Follow-	RFS HR	OS HR
				rate (%)	(%)	infection (%)	infection (%)	up years	(95% CI)	(95% CI)
Hayashi et al ¹⁷ (1995)	Japan	06	PCR-SSCP	27.78	76.67	18.89	62.22	3	6.63 (1.84–23.85)	1.96 (1.02–9.19)
Sugo et al ¹² (1999)	Japan	98	PCR-SSCP	25.51	77.55	19.39	43.88	7	2.33 (1.16–4.69)	2.78 (1.30–5.94)
Park et al ¹⁸ (2001)	South Korea	20	Direct sequencing	45.00	75.00	75.00	5.00	2	3.65 (0.61–12.31)	4.58 (0.85-19.03)
Yano et al ¹⁹ (2007)	Japan	83	PCR-SSCP, direct sequencing	19.28	60.24	15.67	77.11	8	7.33 (3.47–15.47)	5.08 (2.92–8.83)
Su et al ²⁰ (2008)	China	54	PCR-SSCP, direct sequencing	33.33	44.44	83.33	5.56	3.5	2.45 (1.12–5.43)	I
Woo et al ²² (2011)	China	336	Direct sequencing	37.20	75.60	88.40	1.49	5	I	1.86 (1.37–2.53)
Yuan et al ²³ (2013)	China	187	Direct sequencing	44.92	I	15.51	34.76	S	I	1.64 (1.09–2.47)
Wen et al, 2015	China	60	a-CGH, direct sequencing	48.33	95.00	98.33	16.67	6	1.62 (0.61–4.30)	2.58 (1.26–5.27)
Notes: The results of th Abbreviations: PLC, pr	is current study we imary liver cancer;	ere included HBV, hepati	in a meta-analysis and are shown in the tis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; RFS,	e table as "Wen et al recurrence-free surv	(2015)". En-dash ival; HR, hazard i	es indicate that the r ratio; Cl, confidence	esearch had not repo interval; OS, overall	orted this inforn survival; PCR, p	nation or the result coul olymerase chain reactio	d not be calculated. 1; SSCP, single-strand
conformation polymorph	vism; a-CGH, array-	-based comp	arative genomic hybridization.							

of PLC patients. The currently available biomarkers, such as tumor stages, pathological type, and AFP, are representative indicators of the outcome of PLC patients, and identification of new biomarkers reflecting basic hepatocyte carcinogenesis could provide useful insights into disease development and clinical outcomes.^{27,28} Mutation of p53 is the most common genetic abnormality in human cancers, including PLC. Previously studies had suggested that p53 mutation had statistic relationship with AFP, vascular permeation, and histological differentiation. However, these results were inconsistent.^{12,17,29} In this study, the correlation was absent between p53 mutation status and AFP level, when the latter was divided by the standard 400 ng/mL, and no statistical differences were found between p53 mutation status and other nine kinds of clinical features. The results suggested that the relationship between p53 mutation and clinical features should be further tested and verified. For survival analysis, meaningful HR 2.58 (1.26, 5.27) between the p53 mutations and OS was only found by Cox regression analysis. Even the mean RFS time of patients with p53 mutation (8.28 months) was obviously shorter than the patients without (13.8 months). However, it is not an independent prognosis factor for RFS.

The possible mechanisms attributed to the prognosispredicting value of p53 might associate with the biological function of p53. As known, p53 is an important tumor suppressor gene responding to genotoxic and oncogenic stress by inducing cell cycle arrest or apoptosis. p53 has the capacity to regulate the expression of several hundred genes, many of which are involved in mediating or regulating cell growth, division, survival, and programmed cell death.³⁰⁻³² What is more, p53-null mice were susceptible to spontaneous tumor formation, and the removal of p53 would lead to rapid tumor development and death for various specific cancers.33-35 However, it is worthwhile to notice that cancer relapse is a complicated process and is affected by multiple factors, such as surgery conditions, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and underlying diseases.^{36–39} Hence, basic conditions would be considered when using p53 mutation or not as a predict factor for PLC patients.

For the meta-analysis, heterogeneity is also a common problem. According to a previous study, the value of I^2 , which is adjusted by freedom, would be a better indicator of heterogeneity.⁴⁰ In this study, the I^2 values of OS and RFS were 26.3% and 0, respectively. The background of patients, including gender, age, race, cirrhosis status, and virus infection status, were possible reasons of heterogeneity.^{26,41,42} Interestingly, the heterogeneity was

Study ID		ES (95% CI)	% weigh
Hayashi et al ¹⁷ (1995)		1.96 (1.02, 9.19)	0.88
Sugo et al ¹² (1999)		2.78 (1.30, 5.94)	2.74
Park et al ¹⁸ (2001)		4.58 (0.84, 19.03)	0.18
Yano et al ¹⁹ (2007)		5.08 (2.92, 8.83)	1.69
Woo et al ²² (2011)	+	1.86 (1.37, 2.53)	43.83
Yuan et al ²³ (2013)	+	1.64 (1.09, 2.47)	30.97
Wen et al (2015)	+	2.62 (1.89, 3.62)	19.71
Overall (/²=26.3%, <i>P</i> =0.228)	0	2.03 (1.64, 2.41)	100
 19	0	1 19	
Study ID		ES (95% CI)	% weigh
Hayashi et al ¹⁷ (1995)		6.63 (1.84, 23.85)	0.92
Sugo et al ¹² (1999)		2.33 (1.16, 4.69)	35.85
Yano et al ¹⁹ (2007)		7.33 (3.47, 15.47)	3.10
Su et al ²⁰ (2008)		2.45 (1.12, 5.43)	24.05
Park et al ¹⁸ (2001)		3.65 (0.61, 12.31)	3.26
Wen et al (2015)		1.62 (0.61, 4.30)	32.81
Overall (I ² =0.0%, P=0.546)	\Diamond	2.36 (1.31, 3.42)	100
-23.9	0	23.9	

Figure 2 Forest plots for the prognosis effect of p53 mutation on postsurgery primary liver cancer patients.

Notes: The results of this current study were included in a meta-analysis and are shown in the figure as "Wen et al (2015)". (A) Overall survival (P<0.001). (B) Recurrence-free survival (P<0.001).

Abbreviations: ES, effect size; CI, confidence interval.

absent in OS after adjusting the studies by HBV and HCV infection rates, patient number, and experiment method, indicating that these factors might partially account for the appreciable heterogeneity. Cirrhosis might be a potential factor of heterogeneity, however most of the Asian PLC patients had hepatitis virus infection and cirrhosis, and all of the patients in the eight studies analyzed had a high

cirrhosis rate, so subgroup analyses did not consider the cirrhosis rate.

Notably, there are some limitations in this study. First, only five articles and the cohort study data with 405 patients can be used for the meta-analysis of RFS. Second, it is difficult to eliminate the effects of publication bias during the meta-analysis; even Egger's test showed that the publication bias was absent.⁴³



Figure 3 Funnel plots for publication bias of the prognosis effect of *p53* mutation on postsurgery primary liver cancer patients. Notes: (A) Overall survival. (B) Recurrence-free survival. Abbreviations: SE, standard error; HR, hazard ratio.

Analysis	Studies (n)	Pooled HR	CI	l² statistic (%)	P-value for heterogeneity
OS					
HBV infection					
<50%	4	3.41	(1.78, 5.05)	0.37	0.561
≥50%	3	1.90	(1.55, 2.34)	0	0.205
HCV infection					
<50%	5	3.46	(1.56, 2.35)	14.1	0.322
≥50%	3	2.47	(1.71, 5.04)	0	0.369
Method					
SSCP	2	2.58	(0.56, 4.60)	0	0.732
Direct sequencing	3	1.78	(1.49, 2.36)	0	0.743
Mix	2	2.81	(1.98, 3.64)	59.2	0.117
Follow-up time (months)					
<60	2	2.40	(1.33, 6.13)	0	0.601
≥60	5	2.02	(1.64, 2.41)	49.0	0.098
Number of patients					
<100	5	2.79	(2.03, 3.56)	0	0.598
≥100	2	1.77	(1.32, 2.21)	0	0.632
HBV infection					
<50%	3	2.82	(1.14, 4.49)	31.7	0.231
HCV infection					
≥50%	3	2.06	(0.70, 3.43)	0	0.730
<50%	3	2.06	(0.70, 3.43)	0	0.730
≥50%	3	2.82	(1.14, 4.49)	31.7	0.231
Method					
SSCP	2	2.44	(0.70, 4.18)	0	0.450
Direct sequencing	I.	3.65	(0.61, 2.31)	-	_
Mix	3	2.25	(0.88, 3.61)	38.2	0.198
Follow-up time (months)					
<60	3	2.73	(0.74, 4.71)	0	0.725
≥60	3	2.22	(0.97, 3.47)	37.6	0.201
Number of patients					
<80	3	2.06	(0.70, 3.43)	0	0.730
≥80	3	2.82	(1.14, 4.49)	31.7	0.231

Table 4 Subgroup analysis of the eligible studies on p53 mutation with OS and RFS

Abbreviations: OS, overall survival; RFS, recurrence-free survival; HR, hazard ratio; Cl, confidence interval; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; SSCP, single-strand conformation polymorphism.

Conclusion

This study suggested that *p53* mutation was associated with postsurgery OS in Asia PLC patients, and it might be a new potential prognosis factor for PLC patients.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2015;65(2): 87–108.
- Goh GB, Chang PE, Tan CK. Changing epidemiology of hepatocellular carcinoma in Asia. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol*. 2015;29(6): 919–928.
- Lang H, Sotiropoulos GC, Brokalaki EI, et al. Survival and recurrence rates after resection for hepatocellular carcinoma in noncirrhotic livers. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2007;205(1):27–36.
- Shah SA, Cleary SP, Wei AC, et al. Recurrence after liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma: risk factors, treatment, and outcomes. *Surgery*. 2007;141(3):330–339.
- Tung-Ping Poon R, Fan ST, Wong J. Risk factors, prevention, and management of postoperative recurrence after resection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Surg.* 2000;232(1):10–24.
- Ferrin G, Aguilar-Melero P, Rodriguez-Peralvarez M, Montero-Alvarez JL, de la Mata M. Biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma: diagnostic and therapeutic utility. *Hepat Med.* 2015;7:1–10.
- 7. Thomasset SC, Dennison AR, Garcea G. Ablation for recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review of clinical efficacy and prognostic factors. *World J Surg.* 2015;39(5):1150–1160.
- Facciorusso A, Del Prete V, Antonino M, et al. Serum ferritin as a new prognostic factor in hepatocellular carcinoma patients treated with radiofrequency ablation. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2014;29(11): 1905–1910.
- Liu J, Ma Q, Zhang M, et al. Alterations of TP53 are associated with a poor outcome for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: evidence from a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer*. 2012;48(15): 2328–2338.
- Vousden KH, Lu X. Live or let die: the cell's response to p53. Nat Rev Cancer. 2002;2(8):594–604.
- Honda K, Sbisa E, Tullo A, et al. p53 mutation is a poor prognostic indicator for survival in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma undergoing surgical tumour ablation. *Br J Cancer*. 1998;77(5):776.
- Sugo H, Takamori S, Kojima K, Beppu T, Futagawa S. The significance of p53 mutations as an indicator of the biological behavior of recurrent hepatocellular carcinomas. *Surg Today*. 1999;29(9):849–855.
- Huedo-Medina TB, Sanchez-Meca J, Marin-Martinez F, Botella J. Assessing heterogeneity in meta-analysis: Q statistic or I2 index? *Psychol Methods*. 2006;11(2):193–206.
- Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology (MOOSE) group. *JAMA*. 2000; 283(15):2008–2012.
- Tierney JF, Stewart LA, Ghersi D, Burdett S, Sydes MR. Response to: practical methods for incorporating summary time-to-event data into meta. *Trials*. 2013;14(1):391.
- Parmar MK, Torri V, Stewart L. Extracting summary statistics to perform meta-analyses of the published literature for survival endpoints. *Stat Med.* 1998;17(24):2815–2834.
- Hayashi H, Sugio K, Matsumata T, Adachi E, Takenaka K, Sugimachi K. The clinical significance of p53 gene mutation in hepatocellular carcinomas from Japan. *Hepatology*. 1995;22(6):1702–1707.

- Park NH, Chung Y-H, Youn KH, et al. Close correlation of p53 mutation to microvascular invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* 2001;33(5):397–401.
- Yano M, Hamatani K, Eguchi H, et al. Prognosis in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma correlates to mutations of p53 and/or hMSH2 genes. *Eur J Cancer*. 2007;43(6):1092–1100.
- Su H, Zhao J, Xiong Y, et al. Large-scale analysis of the genetic and epigenetic alterations in hepatocellular carcinoma from Southeast China. *Mutat Res.* 2008;641(1–2):27–35.
- 21. Villanueva A, Hoshida Y. Depicting the role of TP53 in hepatocellular carcinoma progression. *J Hepatol.* 2011;55(3):724–725.
- Woo HG, Wang XW, Budhu A, et al. Association of TP53 mutations with stem cell-like gene expression and survival of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology*. 2011;140(3):1063–1070.
- Yuan RH, Chang KT, Chen YL, et al. S100P expression is a novel prognostic factor in hepatocellular carcinoma and predicts survival in patients with high tumor stage or early recurrent tumors. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(6):e65501.
- Staib F, Hussain SP, Hofseth LJ, Wang XW, Harris CC. TP53 and liver carcinogenesis. *Hum Mutat*. 2003;21(3):201–216.
- 25. Welzel TM, Graubard BI, Quraishi S, et al. Population-attributable fractions of risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma in the United States. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2013;108(8):1314–1321.
- 26. Tornesello ML, Buonaguro L, Tatangelo F, Botti G, Izzo F, Buonaguro FM. Mutations in TP53, CTNNB1 and PIK3CA genes in hepatocellular carcinoma associated with hepatitis B and hepatitis C virus infections. *Genomics*. 2013;102(2):74–83.
- Liver Cancer Study Group of J. Primary liver cancer in Japan. Clinicopathologic features and results of surgical treatment. *Ann Surg.* 1990;211(3):277–287.
- 28. Ma WJ, Wang HY, Teng LS. Correlation analysis of preoperative serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) level and prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) after hepatectomy. *World J Surg Oncol.* 2013;11:212.
- Sheen IS, Jeng KS, Wu JY. Is p53 gene mutation an indicator of the biological behaviors of recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma? *World J Gastroenterol*. 2003;9(6):1202–1207.
- Hu W, Feng Z, Levine AJ. The regulation of multiple p53 stress responses is mediated through MDM2. *Genes Cancer*. 2012;3(3–4):199–208.
- Sherr CJ. Principles of tumor suppression. Cell. 2004;116(2): 235-246.
- 32. Meek DW. Regulation of the p53 response and its relationship to cancer. *Biochem J.* 2015;469(3):325–346.
- Chen Z, Trotman LC, Shaffer D, et al. Crucial role of p53-dependent cellular senescence in suppression of Pten-deficient tumorigenesis. *Nature*. 2005;436(7051):725–730.
- 34. Zhang J, Xu E, Ren C, et al. Mice deficient in Rbm38, a target of the p53 family, are susceptible to accelerated aging and spontaneous tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2014;111(52):18637–18642.
- Donehower LA, Harvey M, Slagle BL, et al. Mice deficient for p53 are developmentally normal but susceptible to spontaneous tumours. *Nature*. 1992;356(6366):215–221.
- Fatemi SR, Pourhoseingholi MA, Asadi F, et al. Recurrence and fiveyear survival in colorectal cancer patients after surgery. *Iran J Cancer Prev.* 2015;8(4):e3439.
- Wu JW, Boudreau DM, Park Y, Simonds NI, Freedman AN. Commonly used diabetes and cardiovascular medications and cancer recurrence and cancer-specific mortality: a review of the literature. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* 2014;13(8):1071–1099.
- Markar S, Gronnier C, Duhamel A, et al. The impact of severe anastomotic leak on long-term survival and cancer recurrence after surgical resection for esophageal malignancy. *Ann Surg.* 2015;262(6):972–980.
- Facciorusso A, Antonino M, Del Prete V, Neve V, Scavo MP, Barone M. Are hematopoietic stem cells involved in hepatocarcinogenesis? *Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr.* 2014;3(4):199–206.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*. 2003;327(7414):557–560.

- El-Serag HB, Rudolph KL. Hepatocellular carcinoma: epidemiology and molecular carcinogenesis. *Gastroenterology*. 2007;132(7): 2557–2576.
- 42. Li T, Qin LX, Gong X, et al. Clinical characteristics, outcome, and risk factors for early and late intrahepatic recurrence of female patients after curative resection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Surgery*. 2014; 156(3):651–660.
- Nolting A, Perleth M, Langer G, et al. GRADE Leitlinien: 5. Einschätzung der Qualität der Evidenz Publikationsbias [GRADE guidelines:
 5. Rating the quality of evidence: publication bias]. Z Evid Fortbild Qual Gesundhwes. 2012;106(9):670–676. German.

OncoTargets and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic agents and protocols on

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal

patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Dovepress