## ORIGINAL RESEARCH **Obstetric Outcomes of Pregnancy After Uterine** Artery Embolization

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: International Journal of Women's Health

Mariko litsumori<sup>1,\*</sup> Shinya Matsuzaki Masayuki Endo<sup>1</sup> Takeya Hara<sup>1</sup> Takuji Tomimatsu<sup>1</sup> Satoko Matsuzaki<sup>2</sup> Tatsuya Miyake Tsuyoshi Takiuchi 🕞 Aiko Kakigano<sup>I</sup> Kazuya Mimura Eiji Kobayashi<sup>I</sup> Yutaka Ueda<sup>1</sup> Tadashi Kimura<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan; <sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Otemae Hospital, Osaka, Japan

\*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Shinya Matsuzaki; Aiko Kakigano

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, 2-2 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, lapan Tel +81-6-6879-3355 Fax +81-6-6879-3359 Email zacky@gyne.med.osaka-u.ac.jp; ai-kaki@gyne.med.osaka-u.ac.jp



Background: Uterine artery embolization (UAE) is used to treat severe postpartum hemorrhage (PPH). According to a few studies, UAE for PPH was associated with preterm birth, fetal growth restriction (FGR), and placenta accreta spectrum (PAS) in subsequent pregnancies. These previous studies, however, lacked controls, and to the best of our knowledge, no systematic literature reviews have been conducted thus far. We report the results of our retrospective case-control study of pregnancies after UAE at a single center and include a literature review to evaluate the risk of PAS in pregnancies after UAE.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed data from deliveries at our hospital between January 2012 and October 2017. We divided the delivery data into cases with previous UAEs performed for PPH (the post-UAE group) and those without UAEs (the non-UAE group, which included women without previous PPH). We defined PAS as cases in which hysterectomy was performed and pathological examination confirmed the diagnosis. Results are presented as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs).

**Results:** We used data from 3155 patients in this study, of whom 16 patients had undergone UAE (post-UAE group) and 3139 had not (non-UAE group). We found no differences between the groups in terms of frequency of preterm births (12.5% versus 14.2%, respectively; OR, 0.863; 95% CI, 0.218 to 3.414; P = 0.84) or FGR (6.2% versus 10.0%, respectively; OR, 0.602; 95% CI, 0.104 to 3.584; P = 0.61). However, cases of PAS were significantly more common in the post-UAE group (37.5%) than in the non-UAE group (1.2%; OR, 50.303; 95% CI, 17.38 to 145.592; P < 0.01).

**Conclusion:** Our results suggest that previous UAE is a significant risk factor for PAS. Keywords: placenta accreta, placenta accreta spectrum, uterine artery embolization, postpartum hemorrhage, obstetric outcomes

### Background

Postpartum hemorrhage (PPH) occurs in 4% to 6% of deliveries, and 80% of cases are caused by atonic bleeding.<sup>1-3</sup> Severe PPH is an obstetric emergency that occurs in 1% to 2% of live births and accounts for approximately 140,000 maternal deaths annually worldwide.<sup>4</sup> Treatment strategies include pharmacological measures (eg, oxytocin administration), intrauterine tamponade (eg, Bakri balloon or Sengstaken-Blakemore tube), uterine artery ligation, hysterectomy, uterine compression sutures, and uterine artery embolization (UAE). $^{5-12}$ 

A few publications have shown higher rates of preterm birth, fetal growth restriction (FGR), and placenta accreta spectrum (PAS) among women who became pregnant after UAE for PPH. However, these obstetric outcomes were not investigated with non-UAE cases in these studies.<sup>13,14</sup> To the best of our knowledge, no

© 2020 Jitsumori et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms. by and incorporate the Greative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

studies have compared rates of PAS between patients who have undergone UAE and those who have not. Our aim was to determine the rates of preterm birth, FGR, PAS, and other obstetric adverse outcomes in subsequent pregnancies after UAEs for PPH.

#### **Methods**

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of women who delivered at Osaka University Hospital, Osaka, Japan between January 2012 and October 2017. We divided these women into those who had previously undergone UAE for PPH (post-UAE group) and those who had not (non-UAE group).

We reviewed their medical records for perinatal outcomes, including gestational age at delivery, weight of infants, and blood loss at delivery. Our primary outcome was the rate of PAS. Our secondary outcomes included the rate of preterm births (delivery at <37 weeks of gestation) and rate of small for gestational age in infants ( $\leq$ -1.5 standard deviations for birth weight). We excluded cases of abortion from our analysis.

We calculated the gestational age at delivery from the first day of the woman's last menstrual period as previously described.<sup>15</sup> If the gestational age estimated was inconsistent with that obtained from growth measurements estimated at the first ultrasound examination (within 5 days in the first trimester or within 14 days in the second trimester), we used the gestational age estimated from the ultrasound results. We defined PAS as cases in which hysterectomy had been performed and the diagnosis had been pathologically confirmed. Cases in which the diagnoses of PAS had not been histologically determined were defined as clinical PAS.

We performed a literature review to identify the possible risk factors for PAS in subsequent pregnancies following UAE procedures for PPH. We conducted an electronic search of the PubMed (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/) and Scopus (https://www.scopus.com) databases for literature from January 2002 to December 2017. We excluded articles written in languages other than in English and those published before 2002, with some modification of the method previously described.<sup>16–18</sup> In addition, we excluded all articles related to UAE for uterine fibroids, cervical pregnancy, cesarean scar pregnancy, or uterine arteriovenous malformations. For our search, we used the following specific keywords for each database: "uterine artery embolization", "subsequent pregnancy", "uterine artery embolization" and "placenta accreta."

The ethics committee of Osaka University approved the study (approval #18130, approved on August 1, 2018). The

need for informed consent from patients was waived because of the retrospective nature of the study, whose design was based on computerized data with anonymous selection.

The effect of previous UAE on development of PAS was summarized using odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). We conducted our statistical analysis using the JMP Pro 13.0 software (SAS, Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). We used the Student's *t*-test for continuous variables,  $\chi^2$  test for categorical variables, and Fisher's exact test for rare categorical variables. All p values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

#### Results

We collected data from 3,155 deliveries that occurred during the study period. The post-UAE group included 16 cases and the non-UAE group included 3,139 cases. As shown in Table 1, groups did not differ significantly with regard to the maternal characteristics. Groups did, however, differ in the rate of previous cesarean deliveries (CD), rate of transfusion, and mean blood loss.

Approximately a third of patients in the post-UAE group (37.5%, 6/16) had a previous CD while 12.3% (388/3139) of patients in the non-UAE group had a previous CD (P < 0.01). The rate of transfusion was higher in the post-UAE group compared with the non-UAE group (12.5% vs 6.4%;

 Table I Clinical Characteristics of the Post-UAE and Control
 Groups

	Post-UAE (n=16)	Non-UAE (n=3139)	P value
Age (years)	35.0 ± 4.3 (27-42)	33.7 ± 5.4 (17–56)	0.34
GW at delivery	38.0 ± 1.9 (27-42)	38.0 ± 2.5 (22-42)	0.99
Maternal BMI	24.4 ± 2.3 (21–29)	24.9 ± 3.6 (16–51)	0.66
Parity	l ± 0.37 (0-2)	0.58 ± 0.81 (0-8)	0.49
Blood loss (mL) (range)	1397 ± 1175 (180-4000)	779 ± 765 (0-16000)	<0.01
Blood transfusion	12.5% (2/16)	6.4% (202/3139)	0.32
Birth weight (g) (range)	3027 ± 635.6 (1326-4156)	2862 ± 851 (186–4556)	0.24
Previous CD	37.5% (6/16)	12.3% (388/3139)	<0.01
IVF-ET	31.2% (5/16)	13.1% (414/3139)	0.10
Placenta previa	12.5% (2/16)	3.9% (123/3139)	0.07

Notes: Data are presented as n (%) or mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, unless otherwise specified. Bold indicates statistical significance.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CD, cesarean delivery; GW, gestational weeks; IVF-ET, in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer; NA, not available; PPH, Postpartum hemorrhage; and UAE, uterine artery embolization.

P < 0.01). Mean total estimated blood loss was 1,397 mL (range, 180–4000 mL) in the post-UAE group and 779 mL (range, 0–16,000 mL) in the non-UAE group (P < 0.01). Detailed clinical information on the post-UAE group (n = 16) is shown in Table 2.

Table 3 presents the targeted obstetric outcomes of our study. PAS was significantly more common in the post-UAE group than in the non-UAE group (6/16 [37.5%] vs

1.2% [37/3139]; OR 50.303; 95% CI 17.38–145.59; P < 0.01). The other outcomes were not significantly different between the two groups. As placenta previa, previous CD, and IVF-ET are all major risk factors for PAS, we examined the risk of PAS while excluding patients with placenta previa, previous CD, and IVF-ET from both the post-UAE and non-UAE groups as shown in Figure 1 and Table 4. Various patterns were examined and all patterns, except

Patient	Current Pregnancy									Previous Pregnancy					
No.	Age	GP	ВМІ	Delivery <sup>a</sup>	Previous CD	IVF	PP	EBL (mL)	PAS	FGR	РТВ	EBL (mL)	Cause of PPH	FGR	РТВ
I	37	G2P1	22.5	VD				500				>2000	Unk		
2	32	G4P2	21.9	VD				717				2485	RPOC		
3	32	G4P1	25.3	VD				340				5000	Atonic		
4	30	G2P1	21.4	VD				180				4500	Atonic		
5	36	G4P1	27.6	VD				800				4500	Atonic		
6	39	G4P1	27.7	CD	Yes			1400				Unk	Atonic		
7	35	G3P2	24.6	CD	Yes			1270				2450	MRP		
8	41	G2P1	23.1	CD		Yes		500				Unk	Atonic		Yes
9	30	G2P0	21.7	VD		Yes		1000				1000	RPOC⁵		
10	38	G2P1	23.6	VD		Yes		500				2700	Atonic		
11	34	G2P1	26.0	VD				4000	А			Unk	MRP		
12	31	G2P1	23.0	CD	Yes			2240	А			3400	LLP		
13	42	G4P1	24.0	VD		Yes		4000	А			4000	MRP		
14	38	G2P1	24.9	CD	Yes	Yes		1600	А			Unk	Atonic		
15	27	G2P1	24.3	CD	Yes		Yes	1280	I		Yes	3200	Atonic	Yes	
16	38	G3P2	29.4	CD	Yes		Yes	2040	I	Yes	Yes	Unk	Atonic	Yes	

Table 2 Detailed Patient Information of the Post-UAE Group

Notes: <sup>a</sup>Indicates mode of delivery. <sup>b</sup>An abortion case.

Abbreviations: UAE, uterine artery embolization; No., number; BMI, body mass index; CD, cesarean delivery; IVF, in vitro fertilization; PP, placenta previa; EBL, estimated blood loss; PAS, placenta accreta spectrum; FGR, fetal growth restriction; PTB, preterm birth; PPH, postpartum hemorrhage; GP, gravidity and parity; VD, vaginal delivery; Unk, unknown; Atonic, atonic bleeding; MRP, manual removal of placenta; RPOC, retained products of conception; LLP, low-lying placenta; A, placenta accreta; and I, placenta increta.

Table 3	Clinical	Outcomes	of	Subsequent	Pregnancies
---------	----------	----------	----	------------	-------------

	Post UAE (n = 16)	Non-UAE (n = 3139)	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P-value
Preterm birth (< 37 weeks)	12.5% (2/16)	14.2% (446/3139)	0.863	0.218-3.414	0.84
SGA <-1.5 SD	6.2% (1/16)	9.9% (313/3139)	0.602	0.104–3.584	0.61
PAS	37.5% (6/16)	1.2% (37/3139)	50.303	17.38-145.592	<0.01

Notes: Data are presented as n (%) unless otherwise specified. Bold indicates statistical significance.

Abbreviations: Cl, confidence interval; SGA, small for gestational age; PAS, placenta accreta spectrum; UAE, uterine artery embolization.



Figure I Forest plot of odds ratio of placenta accreta spectrum in post-UAE cases. Forest plots show the OR and 95% Cl of the risk for PAS. Various combinations were determined, and we revealed that post-UAE is a significant risk factor for PAS except for the P-UAE with PP and p-CD groups. (-) indicates that the patients with pointed factor were excluded. Bold indicates statistical significance.

Abbreviations: CD, cesarean delivery, CI, confidence interval; IVF, in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer pregnancy; PAS, placenta accreta spectrum, p-CD; previous cesarean delivery; PP, placenta previa; p-UAE, post-UAE; UAE, uterine artery embolization.

those with placenta previa and previous CD but where IVF was excluded (P = 0.09), revealed a significantly higher rate of PAS in the post-UAE group than in the non-UAE group (all, P < 0.01).

Although we found that two of the three patients (66.7%) in the post-UAE group with a history of manual removal of placenta (MRP) had PAS (Table 2), we could not compare the effect of MRP on risk of PAS between the post-UAE and non-UAE groups as we did not have any data on MRP for the patients in the non-UAE group.

The results of our literature review are shown in Table 5. Out of the 95 nonduplicate records identified from the electronic databases search, we retrieved 95 full-text articles after screening the titles and abstracts. Then, after excluding the articles that did not meet the inclusion criteria (3 case series and 3 case reports), we included 6 articles in the review.<sup>13,19-23</sup> Table 5 provides detailed information on the obstetric outcomes of those studies. All case series showed high rates of PAS (2/19 [10.5%], 5/30 [16.7%], 4/17 [23.5%]). We found that the reports lacked a good definition for PAS diagnosis and did not have well-described patient baseline characteristics.

#### Discussion

A key finding of our study was that previous UAE for PPH was a significant risk factor for PAS. A previous study reported an overall incidence of PAS of 1 in 553 cases.<sup>24</sup> PAS is rare but results in high maternal morbidity and mortality rates.<sup>16,25,26</sup> Various factors such as placenta previa, previous CD, and IVF have been recognized as risk factors for PAS, but the role of previous UAE as a risk factor was not well understood.<sup>27–29</sup> Here, we identified previous UAE as a clear risk factor for PAS in the subsequent pregnancy.

Brown et al reported the first case of UAE for PPH in 1979.<sup>30</sup> One of the purposes of UAE is to avoid hysterectomy (a last resort to stop severe bleeding). UAE can control PPH and is recommended in various obstetric guidelines. The clinical success rate of UAE for PPH is greater than 90%.<sup>31</sup> However, the obstetric outcomes of subsequent pregnancies have been reported only in a few studies which have shown a higher rate of PAS. Inoue et al reported that five out of 28 women (16.6%) who underwent UAE for PPH had to receive a hysterectomy due to PAS.<sup>22</sup> However, this and other reports

Table 4	łC	Comparison	of the	e Rate o	f Placenta	Accreta	in	Various	Patterns	of	Patient	Baseline	Characteristics	3
---------	----	------------	--------	----------	------------	---------	----	---------	----------	----	---------	----------	-----------------	---

	Post UAE	Non-UAE	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P value
All patients	37.5% (6/16)	1.1% (37/3139)	50.303	17.38-145.59	<0.01
Excluded PP, p-CD, and IVF	16.6% (1/6)	0.08% (2/2301)	229.9	17.85-2961.50	<0.01
Excluded PP and p-CD	20% (2/10)	0.2% (6/2654)	110.333	19.28-631.32	<0.01
Excluded PP and IVF	22.2% (2/9)	0.2% (7/2622)	106.73	18.78-606.73	<0.01
With IVF, excluded PP and p-CD	25.0% (1/4)	1.1% (4/353)	29.08	2.46-343.31	<0.01
With PP and p-CD, excluded IVF	100% (2/2)	48% (12/25)	5.40	0.24–123.81	0.09
Excluded PP and IVF With IVF, excluded PP and p-CD With PP and p-CD, excluded IVF	22.2% (2/9) 25.0% (1/4) 100% (2/2)	0.2% (7/2622) 1.1% (4/353) 48% (12/25)	<b>106.73</b> <b>29.08</b> 5.40	<b>18.78–606.73</b> <b>2.46–343.31</b> 0.24–123.81	<0.01 <0.01 0.09

Notes: Bold indicates statistical significance.

Abbreviations: CD, cesarean delivery, CI, confidence interval; IVF, in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer pregnancy; PAS, placenta accreta spectrum, p-CD; previous cesarean delivery; PP, placenta previa; p-UAE, post-UAE; UAE, uterine artery embolization.

did not compare the outcomes of patients with UAE to those without previous UAE, and differences in the patient characteristics were not considered.<sup>13,19-23</sup>

The study reported by Cho et al included 96 women and represents the largest study of subsequent pregnancy after UAE due to PPH.<sup>32</sup> A multivariate-adjusted analysis showed that UAE during a first delivery increased the rate of UAE at a second delivery (0.10% vs 5.9%; odds ratio, 25.56; 95% CI, 9.86–66.23), but the authors did not investigate the causes of PPH or the PAS rates; thus, the study did not satisfy our criteria for inclusion in the literature review.

As shown in Table 5, few reports exist on PAS following UAE for PPH. From the results of these studies, we found that UAE is a major risk factor for PAS. Uterine necrosis is a complication of UAE for PPH, and we considered that the factors leading to uterine necrosis after UAE for PPH include reduced blood flow to the uterus and a damaged myometrium and endometrium.<sup>33</sup> We speculate that the myometrial damage induced by UAE could be one of the causes of PAS in the same way as the damage of the endometrium-myometrial interface caused by uterine surgery, especially cesarean delivery, may induce PAS.<sup>34,35</sup> Another mechanism could be the reduced thickness of the endometrium as patients with lower endometrial thickness, such as those undergoing cryopreserved embryo transfer, have been reported to have a higher risk for PAS (adjusted OR 3.87, 95% CI 1.08-13.81).

UAE is considered appropriate in the treatment of PPH because it reduces bleeding, avoids hysterectomy, and preserves fertility with high success rates and tolerable side effects.<sup>8,36,37</sup> However, the procedure entails risks such as PAS in the subsequent pregnancy. Although there is little evidence, uterine compression sutures and uterine balloon tamponade (UBT) seem not to be associated with a higher risk of PAS in the subsequent pregnancy when compared with UAE.<sup>9,12,38-40</sup> Therefore, if the situation allows, clinicians may try to control PPH using uterine compression sutures or UBT before performing UAE.

Although we revealed that previous UAE is a strong risk factor for PAS in the subsequent pregnancy, we should be aware that our study and previous studies could not exclude the effect of the presence of PAS as the cause of PPH in the first pregnancy. A previous report showed that the rate of recurrent PAS (including clinical PAS) is 19.9%.<sup>41</sup> Therefore, if the cause of PPH in the first pregnancy is clinical PAS, diagnosis of histological PAS in subsequent pregnancies would increase. While there were only 3 cases of MRP as the cause of PPH, those with MRP treated with UAE showed a high risk of PAS (2 of 3 cases) in the subsequent pregnancy (Table 2). There is a possibility that these cases could have had clinical PAS in the previous pregnancy resulting in the higher rate of PAS observed in the subsequent pregnancy.

In our study, we included all patients without previous UAE in the non-UAE group to estimate the risk of PAS in the general pregnancies at our institution. Because the number of patients in the post-UAE group is small, we could not match the patients' clinical characteristics well. To resolve this problem, propensity score matching could have been useful; however, we could not perform this analysis due to the limited number of cases.

Author (Reference)	Year	No.	Cause of Previous PPH	Number of PAS	Subsequent Pregnancy	Treatment for PAS	Diagnosis of PAS
Kitao <sup>11</sup>	2009	I	AB	1/1	PPH due to PAS	$UAE \to hyst$	Histopathological
Sentilhes <sup>14</sup>	2010	19	Unknown	2/19 (10.5%)	4 cases: AB 2 cases: PAS	I case: hyst I case: UAE	Unknown
Kanter <sup>15</sup>	2013	I	AB	1/1	Uterine inversion	l case: hyst	Histopathological
Inoue <sup>16</sup>	2014	30	Unknown	5/30 (16.7%)	7 cases: PPH	5 cases: hyst	Unknown
Poggi <sup>17</sup>	2015	17	a	4/17 (23.5%)	4 cases: PAS	3 cases: hyst	Unknown
Nakamura <sup>18</sup>	2016	2	Ь	2/2	PPH due to PAS	2 cases: hyst	Histopathological
Jitsumori	2020	16	с	6/16 (37.5%)	6 cases: PAS	6 cases: hyst	Histopathological

Table 5Literature Review on the Rate of Placenta Accreta Spectrum in Subsequent Pregnancies Following Uterine ArteryEmbolization Due to Postpartum Hemorrhage

Notes: <sup>a</sup>10 cases: AB, 3 cases: Laceration, 2 cases: PAS, 1 case: Abruption, and 1 case: Placenta previa. <sup>b</sup>1 case: Uterine rupture and 1 case: vaginal hematoma. <sup>c</sup>13 cases: AB, 1 case: PPH after abortion, 1 case: Twins, and 1 case: clinical placenta accreta.

Abbreviations: AB, atonic bleeding; No, number; hyst, hysterectomy; PAS, placenta accreta spectrum; PPH, postpartum hemorrhage; UAE, uterine artery embolization.

Moreover, we could not perform multivariate analysis due to the same reason. However, we believe that we managed to show the high rate of PAS in patients with previous UAE, and demonstrated that these patients should be treated as high-risk patients.

The major strengths of our study are that it is the first one to compare outcomes of subsequent pregnancies post-UAE with pregnancies without UAE, and it reveals that the incidence of PAS is increased in the subsequent pregnancy. In addition, we used a histopathological diagnosis for PAS. Therefore, we believe that our results are valuable to clinicians managing this extremely rare condition.

Our study has several limitations. First, our study was a single-center retrospective study with a small sample size; consequently, unmeasured bias may exist in the analysis. Second, we could not compare the outcomes between patients with PPH managed by UAE and those managed by other treatment modalities because our database did not have data on the other treatment modalities. This analysis is crucial; however, we could not investigate this comparison.

Third, we could not match the patient characteristics between post-UAE and non-UAE cases as the small sample size did not allow us to perform further analyses. In addition, we could not exclude the effect of clinical PAS in the first pregnancy which was the high risk of PAS in the subsequent pregnancy. Previous studies have also shown this limitation and one should be aware of this when reading-related topics of study. Last, because our institution is a referral hospital, there is a possibility that our non-UAE group comprised patients with a significant bias towards preterm birth and FGR. To clearly show that UAE is a high-risk factor for PAS in the subsequent pregnancy, a study using data from several institutions with large numbers of cases needs to be conducted. More robust studies such as prospective studies or randomized control studies are difficult to perform on this subject.

In conclusion, we provided evidence that UAE for PPH is a significant risk factor for PAS in the subsequent pregnancy.

#### Abbreviations

UAE, uterine artery embolization; PPH, postpartum hemorrhage; UCS, uterine compression suture; FGR, fetal growth restriction.

# Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate

This study was approved by the Osaka University Hospital Institutional Review Board and the Ethics Committee (approval #18130, approved on August 1, 2018).

#### **Data Sharing Statement**

The dataset used and/or analyzed during the current study is available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank H. Abe and K. Sakiyama for their administrative assistance in the preparation of this manuscript. The authors would like to thank Editage and Dr. Nagase for the English language review.

#### **Author Contributions**

MJ, ShM, ME, TH, SaM, TM, KM, AK, and TTa made substantial contributions to conception and design and collected the clinical data. TTo, TTa, EK, YU, and TK contributed to the data analysis and helped draft the manuscript. AK made extensive English editing in the revised manuscript. TK conceived and supervised the study and gave final approval of the version to be published. All authors contributed to drafting or revising the article, gave final approval of the version to be published, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

#### Disclosure

Tadashi Kimura reports personal fees from Nihon Kayasu Co. Ltd, outside the submitted work. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

#### References

- 1. Bishanga DR, Charles J, Tibaijuka G, et al. Improvement in the active management of the third stage of labor for the prevention of postpartum hemorrhage in Tanzania: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2018;18:223. doi:10.1186/s12884-018-1873-3
- Suzuki Y, Matsuzaki S, Mimura K, et al. Investigation of perioperative complications associated with use of uterine compression sutures. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2017;139:28–33. doi:10.1002/ijgo.2017.139. issue-1
- Kearney L, Kynn M, Reed R, Davenport L, Young J, Schafer K. Identifying the risk: a prospective cohort study examining postpartum haemorrhage in a regional Australian health service. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2018;18:214. doi:10.1186/s12884-018-1852-8
- Matsuzaki S, Endo M, Ueda Y, et al. A case of acute Sheehan's syndrome and literature review: a rare but life-threatening complication of postpartum hemorrhage. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2017;17:188. doi:10.1186/s12884-017-1380-y
- Dashtinejad E, Abedi P, Afshari P. Comparison of the effect of breast pump stimulation and oxytocin administration on the length of the third stage of labor, postpartum hemorrhage, and anemia: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2018;18:293. doi:10.1186/s12884-018-1832-z
- Kong CW, To WW. Prognostic factors for the use of intrauterine balloon tamponade in the management of severe postpartum hemorrhage. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2018;142:48–53. doi:10.1002/ijgo.12498

- Ishii T, Sawada K, Koyama S, et al. Balloon tamponade during cesarean section is useful for severe post-partum hemorrhage due to placenta previa. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2012;38:102–107. doi:10.1111/j.1447-0756.2011.01625.x
- Aoki M, Tokue H, Miyazaki M, Shibuya K, Hirasawa S, Oshima K. Primary postpartum hemorrhage: outcome of uterine artery embolization. *Br J Radiol.* 2018;91:20180132. doi:10.1259/bjr.20180132
- Nishikawa A, Matsuzaki S, Mimura K, Kanagawa T, Kimura T. Short interpregnancy interval after B-Lynch uterine compression suture: a case report. *Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;43:434–436.
- Committee on Practice B-O. Practice Bulletin No. 183: postpartum hemorrhage. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;130:e168–e86. doi:10.1097/ AOG.000000000002351
- Matsuzaki S, Endo M, Tomimatsu T, et al. New dedicated blunt straight needles and sutures for uterine compression sutures: a retrospective study and literature review. *BMC Surg.* 2019;19:33. doi:10.1186/s12893-019-0495-7
- Nagase Y, Matsuzaki S, Kawanishi Y, et al. Efficacy of prophylactic antibiotics in Bakri intrauterine balloon placement: a single-center retrospective analysis and literature review. *AJP Rep.* 2020.
- Poggi SH, Yaeger A, Wahdan Y, Ghidini A. Outcome of pregnancies after pelvic artery embolization for postpartum hemorrhage: retrospective cohort study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2015;213:576 e1–5. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2015.06.063
- Takahashi H, Hayashi S, Matsuoka K, Kitagawa M. Placenta accreta following uterine artery embolization. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;49:197–198. doi:10.1016/S1028-4559(10)60041-1
- 15. Sawada M, Kakigano A, Matsuzaki S, et al. Obstetric outcome in patients with a unicornuate uterus after laparoscopic resection of a rudimentary horn. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2018;44:1080–1086. doi:10.1111/jog.2018.44.issue-6
- Matsuzaki S, Yoshino K, Endo M, Kakigano A, Takiuchi T, Kimura T. Conservative management of placenta percreta. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2018;140:299–306. doi:10.1002/ijgo.12411
- 17. Matsuzaki S, Matsuzaki S, Ueda Y, et al. A case report and literature review of midtrimester termination of pregnancy complicated by placenta previa and placenta accreta. *AJP Rep.* 2015;5:e6–e11. doi:10.1055/s-0034-1395992
- Tanaka M, Matsuzaki S, Kumasawa K, Suzuki Y, Endo M, Kimura T. Cervical varix complicated by placenta previa: a case report and literature review. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2016;42:883–889. doi:10.1111/jog.2016.42.issue-7
- Kitao K, Makihara N, Morita H, et al. The development of placenta increta following pelvic transcatheter artery embolization for postpartum hemorrhage. *Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol.* 2009;36:53–54.
- Sentilhes L, Gromez A, Clavier E, Resch B, Verspyck E, Marpeau L. Fertility and pregnancy following pelvic arterial embolisation for postpartum haemorrhage. *BJOG*. 2010;117:84–93. doi:10.1111/ j.1471-0528.2009.02381.x
- 21. Kanter G, Packard L, Sit AS. Placenta accreta in a patient with a history of uterine artery embolization for postpartum hemorrhage. *J Perinatol.* 2013;33:482–483. doi:10.1038/jp.2012.99
- 22. Inoue S, Masuyama H, Hiramatsu Y; Multi-Institutional Study Group of Transarterial Embolization for Massive Obstetric Haemorrhage in C, Shikoku Area Society of O, Gynecology. Efficacy of transarterial embolisation in the management of post-partum haemorrhage and its impact on subsequent pregnancies. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2014;54:541–545. doi:10.1111/ajo.12228
- Nakamura Y, Aoki S, Takebayashi S, Hirahara F. Effect of transarterial embolization for post-partum hemorrhage on subsequent pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res.* 2016;42:1186–1189. doi:10.1111/jog.13029
- 24. Wu S, Kocherginsky M, Hibbard JU. Abnormal placentation: twenty-year analysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2005;192:1458–1461. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2004.12.074

- 25. Matsuzaki S, Yoshino K, Endo M, et al. Successful anticoagulant therapy for disseminated intravascular coagulation during conservative management of placenta percreta: a case report and literature review. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2017;17:443. doi:10.1186/ s12884-017-1634-8
- 26. Jauniaux E, Ayres-de-Campos D; Diagnosis FPA, Management Expert Consensus P. FIGO consensus guidelines on placenta accreta spectrum disorders: introduction. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2018;140:261–264. doi:10.1002/ijgo.12406
- 27. Kaser DJ, Melamed A, Bormann CL, et al. Cryopreserved embryo transfer is an independent risk factor for placenta accreta. *Fertil Steril*. 2015;103:1176–84 e2. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2015.01.021
- Silver RM, Fox KA, Barton JR, et al. Center of excellence for placenta accreta. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2015;212:561–568. doi:10.1016/j. ajog.2014.11.018
- Matsuzaki S, Yoshino K, Tomimatsu T, Takiuchi T, Kumasawa K, Kimura T. Placenta accreta following laparoscopic adenomyomectomy: a case report. *Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;43:763–765.
- 30. Brown BJ, Heaston DK, Poulson AM, Gabert HA, Mineau DE, Miller FJ Jr. Uncontrollable postpartum bleeding: a new approach to hemostasis through angiographic arterial embolization. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1979;54:361–365.
- Delotte J, Novellas S, Koh C, Bongain A, Chevallier P. Obstetrical prognosis and pregnancy outcome following pelvic arterial embolisation for post-partum hemorrhage. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2009;145:129–132. doi:10.1016/j.ejogrb.2009.03.013
- 32. Cho GJ, Shim JY, Ouh YT, et al. Previous uterine artery embolization increases the rate of repeat embolization in a subsequent pregnancy. *PLoS One.* 2017;12:e0185467. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0185467
- 33. Poujade O, Ceccaldi PF, Davitian C, et al. Uterine necrosis following pelvic arterial embolization for post-partum hemorrhage: review of the literature. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2013;170:309–314. doi:10.1016/j.ejogrb.2013.07.016
- 34. Jauniaux E, Collins S, Burton GJ. Placenta accreta spectrum: pathophysiology and evidence-based anatomy for prenatal ultrasound imaging. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2018;218:75–87. doi:10.1016/j. ajog.2017.05.067
- 35. Fitzpatrick KE, Sellers S, Spark P, Kurinczuk JJ, Brocklehurst P, Knight M. Incidence and risk factors for placenta accreta/increta/ percreta in the UK: a national case-control study. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:e52893. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0052893
- 36. Hardeman S, Decroisette E, Marin B, et al. Fertility after embolization of the uterine arteries to treat obstetrical hemorrhage: a review of 53 cases. *Fertil Steril.* 2010;94:2574–2579. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2010.02.052
- 37. Liu Z, Wang Y, Yan J, et al. Uterine artery embolization versus hysterectomy in the treatment of refractory postpartum hemorrhage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2020;33:693–705. doi:10.1080/14767058.2018.1497599
- Matsuzaki S, Jitsumori M, Hara T, et al. Systematic review on the needle and suture types for uterine compression sutures: a literature review. *BMC Surg.* 2019;19:196. doi:10.1186/s12893-019-0660-z
- 39. An GH, Ryu HM, Kim MY, Han JY, Chung JH, Kim MH. Outcomes of subsequent pregnancies after uterine compression sutures for postpartum hemorrhage. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;122:565–570. doi:10.1097/AOG.0b013e31829e3b1d
- 40. Carlson L, Jackson C, Glover A, Webster C, Dotters-Katz S, Strauss R. Subsequent pregnancy outcomes following use of an intrauterine tamponade balloon for postpartum hemorrhage (PPH) [24C]. Obstet Gynecol. 2017;129:36S–37S. doi:10.1097/01. AOG.0000514338.16396.61
- 41. Cunningham KM, Anwar A, Lindow SW. The recurrence risk of placenta accreta following uterine conserving management. *J Neonatal Perinatal Med.* 2015;8:293–296. doi:10.3233/NPM-15915028

157

#### International Journal of Women's Health

#### **Dovepress**

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Women's Health is an international, peerreviewed open-access journal publishing original research, reports, editorials, reviews and commentaries on all aspects of women's healthcare including gynecology, obstetrics, and breast cancer. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-womens-health-journal