

Frequency of Use of Fixed-Combination Eye Drops by Patients with Glaucoma at Multiple Private Practices in Japan

Kenji Inoue¹, Ryoko Komori¹, Shiho Kunimatsu-Sanuki², Kyoko Ishida³, Goji Tomita^{1,3}

¹Inouye Eye Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; ²Nishikasai Inouye Eye Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; ³Department of Ophthalmology, Toho University Ohashi Medical Center, Tokyo, Japan

Correspondence: Kenji Inoue, Inouye Eye Hospital, 4-3 Kanda-surugadai, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, 101-0062, Japan, Tel +813-3295-0911, Fax +813-3295-0917, Email 2inoue-k@inouye-eye.or.jp

Purpose: To investigate the current use of fixed-combination eye drops by patients with glaucoma in Japan.

Patients and Methods: A total of 5303 outpatients (5303 eyes) with glaucoma or ocular hypertension were enrolled in the survey at 78 private practices from March 8 to 14, 2020. The medications they used were investigated. The use of fixed-combination medications was analyzed, stratified by the number of medications used. The results were compared to those of the survey performed in 2016 via χ^2 tests.

Results: Fixed-combination medications were used by 55.6%, 79.8%, 84.9%, 91.3%, and 94.1% of patients in the two-, three-, four-, five, and six-medications groups. The use of prostaglandin analog/ β -blocker (PG/ β), carbonic anhydrase inhibitor/ β -blocker (CAI/ β), and α -2-adrenergic agonist/ β -blocker (α 2/ β) fixed-combination medications were 42.8%, 12.0%, and 0.8% in the two-medications group; 36.2%, 41.6%, and 2.0% in the three-medications group; and 23.5%, 60.1%, and 1.3% in the four-medications group, respectively. The most commonly used fixed-combination medications were PG/ β in the two-medications group and CAI/ β in the groups using three or more medications. Compared to those in the survey performed in 2016, there were increases (both $P < 0.0001$) in the use of PG/ β fixed-combination medication in the two- (28.7% to 42.8%) and three-medications (21.7% to 36.2%) groups, and none in the use of CAI/ β fixed-combination medication. There was little use of α 2/ β fixed-combination medication, probably because it was launched only three months before the survey.

Conclusion: The proportion of fixed-combination medications increased as the number of medications per patient increased.

Keywords: intraocular pressure, PG/ β fixed combination, CAI/ β fixed combination, α 2/ β fixed combination, multicenter

Introduction

In the treatment of glaucoma, it is important to maintain and improve patient adherence to medication.¹ Adherence is liable to be lower with multidrug combination therapy than with monotherapy because of the higher number of medications, instillations, and possible adverse reactions.² Therefore, fixed-combination eye drops were developed to improve adherence when multiple drugs are required. In Japan, the following fixed-combination medications have been introduced: latanoprost/timolol, travoprost/timolol, and dorzolamide/timolol, in 2010; brinzolamide/timolol, in 2013; and tafluprost/timolol, in 2014. We are interested in the actual status of treatment for glaucoma patients and have been conducting a multi-institutional survey of glaucoma every 3 to 4 years since 2007.³ Since the institutions involved in the surveys consist of private practitioners located all over Japan, we believe that the results obtained from the surveys reflect the real-world status of medical treatment for glaucoma in Japan. We conducted the fourth survey in 2016,⁴ for which we reported separately on the use of fixed-combination eye drops.⁵ Therein, we observed an increase in the use of fixed-combination eye drops compared to the third survey, conducted in 2012.⁶ After the survey in 2016, further fixed-combination eye drops became available in Japan: latanoprost/carteolol, in 2017 and brimonidine/timolol, in 2019. The launch of latanoprost/carteolol fixed-combination eye drops marked the first time that a drug other than timolol was used

as β -blocker in such medication in Japan. These new developments may have changed the use of fixed-combination eye drops in Japan. Therefore, we conducted the fifth survey⁷ in 2020 by using the same questionnaire as for past surveys, with the addition of the names of the new drugs that had become available (Figure 1). Knowledge of the current status of use of fixed-combination eye drops is important. As mentioned earlier, the use of fixed-combination eye drops possibly improves patients' adherence to the medication, which may increase the success of medical treatment for glaucoma. The use of fixed-combination eye drops may also reduce adverse reactions by reducing patients' exposure to preservatives and reducing the risk of contact with the eye-drop bottle. If it can be determined which fixed-combination eye drops are widely used, and in which situations, clinical practice may be improved and new fixed-combination eye drops may be developed with cooperation between the pharmaceutical industry and academia in future.

Our aim in this study was to analyze the use of fixed-combination eye drops from data obtained in the fifth survey. We also aimed to compare these results with those of the 2016 survey,⁵ to investigate changes over time.

Materials and Methods

We asked those institutions employing ophthalmologists who have worked in the Inoue Eye Hospital Group in the past, as well as institutions that collaborate with our hospital on a medical level to cooperate in the survey. The survey was conducted at 78 participating institutions that agreed to cooperate (Table 1) from March 8 to 14, 2020. All outpatients with glaucoma or ocular hypertension during the study period were included. The total number of patients was 5303 (one eye each). The diagnosis of and treatment for glaucoma were performed at the discretion of the attending physician in accordance with the 2018 guidelines for the clinical management of glaucoma.¹ The investigation included the affected eye of patients with unilateral glaucoma or ocular hypertension, and the right eye of patients with bilateral disease. The

The 5 th Survey for Glaucoma			
Initials			Patient number
Sex	M: Male • F: Female	Age	years
Diagnosis	R • L	1: POAG 2: NTG 3: PACG 4: Secondary glaucoma (including exfoliation glaucoma) 5: Ocular hypertension 6: Infantile glaucoma	
History of surgery	1: No		
	2: Yes	1: Trabeculectomy 2: Trabeculotomy 3: Goniosynechialysis 4: Tube-shunt 5: Others ()	
History of laser	1: No		
	2: Yes	1: LI 2: SLT (ALT) 3: Others ()	
Prescription drug	1: No		
	2: Yes	< β -blocker> 1: Timolol maleate (TIMOPTOL®) 2: Timolol maleate -sustained-release- (TIMOPTOL® XE) 3: Timolol maleate -thermo-setting gel- (RYSMON® TG) 4: Carteolol hydrochloride (Mikelan®) 5: Carteolol hydrochloride -sustained-release- (Mikelan® LA) 6: Betaxolol hydrochloride (BETOPTIC®) 7: Levobunolol hydrochloride (MIROL®)	
		< $\alpha\beta$ -blocker> 8: Nipradilol (HYPADIL)	
		<Ion channel> 9: Isopropyl unoprostone (Rescula®) <PG analogs> 10: Latanoprost (Xalatan®) 11: Travoprost (TRAVATANZ®) 12: Tafluprost (TAPROS®) 13: Bimatoprost (LUMIGAN®)	
<PG+ β -blocker fixed combination> 14: Latanoprost/timolol fixed combination (Xalacom®) 15: Travoprost/timolol fixed combination (DUOTRAV®) 16: Tafluprost/timolol fixed combination (TAPCOM®) 17: Latanoprost/carteolol fixed combination (Mikeluna®)			
Prescription drug	2: Yes	<CAI+ β -blocker fixed combination> 18: Dorzolamide/timolol fixed combination (COSOPT®) 19: Brinzolamide/timolol fixed combination (AZORGA®)	
		<CAI eye drop> 20: Dorzolamide hydrochloride (Trusopt®) 21: Brinzolamide (Azopt®) <Oral CAI> 22: Acetazolamide (DIAMOX®)	
		< α_1 -antagonist> 23: Bunazosin hydrochloride (Detantol®)	
		< α_2 -agonist> 24: Brimonidine tartrate (AIPHAGAN®)	
		<ROCK inhibitor> 25: Ripasudil hydrochloride (GLANATEC®)	
		<EP2 agonist> 26: Omidenedap isopropyl (EYBELIS®)	
		< α_2 -agonist+ β -blocker fixed combination> 27: Brimonidine/timolol fixed combination (AIBETA®)	
<Others> 28: Pilocarpine hydrochloride (Sanpilo®) 29: Dipivefrin hydrochloride (Pivallephrine®) 30: Others ()			

Figure 1 The questionnaire for the survey.

Abbreviations: α_1 -agonist, α_1 -adrenergic agonist; α_2 -agonist, α_2 -adrenergic agonist; ALT, argon laser trabeculoplasty; CAI, carbonic anhydrase inhibitor; EP2, prostaglandin E₂ receptor 2; L, left; LI, laser iridotomy; NTG, normal-tension glaucoma; PACG, primary angle-closure glaucoma; PG, prostaglandin analog; POAG, primary open-angle glaucoma; R, right; ROCK, Rho-associated protein kinase; SLT, selective laser trabeculoplasty.

Table 1 The 78 Institutions Participating in the 2020 Survey

Fujita Eye Clinic	Toyama Eye Clinic	Hakuai Kobayashi Eye Clinic
Kinukawa Ganka	Oohara Ganka	Saihakuganka Clinics
Izumi Eye Clinic	Shinozaki Ekimae Takahashi Ophthalmology Clinic	Kugahara Eye Clinic
Sanai Ophthalmology Clinic	Miyazaki Ophthalmology Clinic	Fujiwara Eye Clinic
Saiki Eye Clinic	Hashida Eye Clinic	Kawazoe Eye Clinic
Ishii Eye Clinic	Soga Eye Clinic	Maki Ophthalmology Clinic
Yanagawa Ganka	Takanawadai Eye Clinic	Oharachika Ophthalmology Clinic
Fukasaku Ophthalmology	Waseda Ophthalmology Clinic	Kasai Ophthalmology
Tajima Eye & Plastic Clinic	Iogi Kikuchi Eye Clinic	Horikawa Eye Clinic
Aoyagi Eye Clinic	Inage Eye Clinic	Yanase Ophthalmology Clinic
Hongo Eye Clinic	Akatsuka Eye Clinic	Matobaganka Clinics
Yoshida Eye Clinic	Egi Eye Clinic	Nishikamata Eye Clinic
Noda Ganka Masuika Iin	Higako Ganka	Ogawa Eye Clinic
Miyake Eye Clinic	Goto Eye Clinic	Yoshida Eye Clinic
Takanedai Ophthalmology Clinic	Ogawa Eye Clinic	Shirokane Eye Clinic
Yatsu Ekimae Ajsai Ophthalmology Clinic	Nishifu Hikari Eye Clinic	Otakibashi Nishino Eye Clinic
Oami Eye Clinic	Dannoue Ophthalmology Clinic	Atsumi Clinic (Tokyo)
Nakayama Eye Clinic	Tsunashima-Ekimae Eye Clinic	Atsumi Clinic (Kakegawa)
Morichika Eye Clinic	Nakai Eye Center	Hayashi Ophthalmology Clinic
Nakazawa Eye Clinic	Saito Eye Clinic	Nakamura Ganka Keiseigeka
Komagome Mitsui Eye Clinic	Hillside Eye Clinic	Sakura Eye and Internal Medicine Clinic
Tachikawa Shindo Eye Clinic	Zushi Eye Clinic	Omiya Inouye Eye Clinic
Sugahara Eye Clinic	Imakoga Ophthalmology Clinic	Sapporo Inouye Eye Clinic
Ueda Eye Clinic	Murakami Eye Clinic	Nishikasai Inouye Eye Hospital
Emoto Eye Clinic	Gakiya Eye Clinic	Ochanomizu Inouye Eye Clinic
Ezure Ophthalmology Clinic	Kawashima Eye Clinic	Inouye Eye Hospital

right eye was selected because of the multi-center nature of the study, to reduce the burden of randomization on the attending physicians. The study protocol was approved by the Inouye Eye Hospital's ethics committee (approval number: 201606-1) and the study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was waived by the committee because of the retrospective study design. The study information was provided to participants by the institutions, and the participants had the opportunity to opt out of the study.

The survey was conducted by using a questionnaire (Figure 1). The questionnaires were sent to each institution in advance. Patient data, including age, sex, diagnosis, number and type of medications in use, and history of laser treatment or surgery for glaucoma at the time of consultation were collected from the medical records. Aggregation was performed at the Inouye Eye Hospital. From these questionnaires, we analyzed the use of fixed-combination eye drops. In addition, the results were compared with those of the 2016 survey.⁵ Specifically, we compared patients who used fixed-combination eye drops (prostaglandin analog/ β -blocker [PG/ β], carbonic anhydrase inhibitor/ β -blocker [CAI/ β], and α -2-adrenergic agonist/ β -blocker [α 2/ β]) by dividing them into groups by the number of medications used (one to seven). Fixed-combination eye drops were regarded as two medications.

For historical data, we used results obtained from the fourth survey, performed from March 7 to 13, 2016.⁵ Fifty-seven institutes participated in that survey, including 4288 patients (one eye each).

For statistical analyses, the χ^2 and Fisher's exact tests were used for comparisons of glaucoma types and medications, Fisher's exact test was used for comparisons of sex and patient history of surgery and laser treatment, and the Mann-Whitney *U*-test was used for comparisons of age and number of medications. Yate's continuity correction was used to correct for the χ^2 test. All statistical analyses were conducted by using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). A P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patients

The patients were 2347 men and 2956 women, and their mean age was 68.7±13.1 years (mean ± standard deviation), ranging from 11 to 101 years (Table 2). The diagnoses were normal-tension glaucoma in 2710 patients, primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) in 1638, secondary glaucoma in 435, ocular hypertension in 286, primary angle-closure glaucoma (PACG) in 225, infantile glaucoma in 4, and others in 5. In terms of ophthalmological history, 366 patients had undergone surgery and 220 had undergone laser treatment. The mean number of medications used was 1.8±1.3; 543 patients (10.2%) were using no medication, 2203 (41.5%) used one, 1217 (22.9%) used two, 754 (14.2%) used three, 391 (7.4%) used four, 160 (3.0%) used five, 34 (0.6%) used six, and 1 (0.02%) used seven.

Use of Fixed-Combination Eye Drops

Medications used in the two-medication group are shown in Figure 2. Notably, α 2/ β fixed-combination eye drops were used by only 10 patients (0.8%).

Fixed-combination medications were used by 602 (79.8%), 332 (84.9%), 146 (91.3%), and 32 (94.1%) patients in the three-, four-, five-, and six-medications groups, respectively. The details of these groups are shown in Table 3. The patient using seven medications used PG analogs + CAI/ β fixed combination (dorzolamide/timolol) + CAI (oral) + α 1-blocker + α 2-agonist + Rho-associated protein kinase (ROCK) inhibitor.

Comparison with the Previous Survey

The patients in the previous survey were 1839 men and 2449 women (no difference in the sex ratio), and their mean age was 68.1±13.0 years, ranging from 7 to 102 years. Compared with the previous survey, patients' mean age and the mean number of medications in use were significantly higher in the survey conducted in 2020 (P=0.0108 and P=0.0032, respectively) (Table 2). The rate of POAG was significantly higher in the 2020 survey than that in the 2016 survey (P=0.0222), whereas the rate of PACG was significantly higher in the 2016 survey than that in the 2020 survey (P=0.0061). The rate of patients who had a history of surgery was similar in the two surveys (P=0.2479); however, the rate of patients who had a history of laser treatment was significantly higher in the 2016 survey than that in the 2020 survey (P<0.0001).

A comparison of fixed-combination eye drops used in the 2020 and 2016 reports is presented in Figure 3. In the two-medication group, PG/ β fixed-combination eye drops were most common, followed by PG analogs + β (α β)-blockers, in both surveys. The use of PG/ β fixed-combination eye drops in this group significantly increased from 2016 (28.7%) to

Table 2 Comparison of Patients' Characteristics in Previous Study and This Study (2016 and 2020)

	2020	2016	P
Number of patients	5303	4288	
Mean ± standard deviation age, years	68.7±13.1	68.1±13.0	0.0108
Sex, men:women	2347:2956	1839:2449	0.1851
Type of glaucoma	NTG: 2710	NTG: 2197	0.9019
	POAG: 1638	POAG: 1232	0.0222
	Secondary glaucoma: 435	Secondary glaucoma: 378	0.2853
	Ocular hypertension: 286	Ocular hypertension: 242	0.6205
	PACG: 225	PACG: 234	0.0061
	Infantile glaucoma: 4	Infantile glaucoma: 5	0.5252
	Others: 5		
History of glaucoma surgery/laser treatment	Surgery: 366 Laser: 220	Surgery: 270 Laser: 257	0.2479 <0.0001
Mean ± standard deviation number of medications in use	1.8±1.3	1.7±1.2	0.0032

Abbreviations: NTG, normal-tension glaucoma; POAG, primary open-angle glaucoma; PACG, primary angle-closure glaucoma.




Figure 2 The details of medications used in the two-medications group.

Abbreviations: α2, α2-adrenergic agonist; β, β-blocker; BRI, brinzolamide; CAI, carbonic anhydrase inhibitor; CAR, carteolol; DOR, dorzolamide; LAT, latanoprost; PG, prostaglandin analog; ROCK, Rho-associated protein kinase; TAF, tafluprost; TIM, timolol; TRA, travoprost.

2020 (42.8%) ($P < 0.0001$). There were no differences in the use of CAI/β fixed-combination eye drops between the two studies ($P = 0.1660$). For PG/β fixed-combination eye drops, there were no differences between the two studies in the use of latanoprost/timolol ($P = 0.1957$) or tafluprost/timolol ($P = 0.0773$) fixed-combination eye drops, and the use of travoprost/timolol fixed-combination eye drops decreased ($P = 0.0381$).

In the three-medications group, the most common combination used in 2016 were PG analogs + CAI/β fixed-combination eye drops, followed by PG/β fixed-combination eye drops + CAI eye drops and PG analogs + β-blocker + CAI eye drops. In this survey, the most common combination used was also PG analogs + CAI/β fixed-combination eye drops, followed by PG/β fixed-combination eye drops + α2-agonist and PG/β fixed-combination eye drops + CAI eye drops. The use of PG/β fixed-combination eye drops significantly increased from 2016 (21.7%) to 2020 (36.2%) ($P < 0.0001$).

In the four-medications group, the most common combination used in 2016 was PG analogs + CAI/β fixed-combination eye drops + α2-agonist, followed by PG/β fixed-combination eye drops + CAI eye drops + α2-agonist and PG analogs + CAI/β fixed-combination eye drops + ROCK inhibitor. In this survey, the most common combination was also PG analogs + CAI/β fixed-combination eye drops + α2-agonist, followed by PG analogs + CAI/β fixed-combination eye drops + ROCK inhibitor and PG/β fixed-combination eye drops + CAI eye drops + α2-agonist. There was no difference in the use of PG/β and CAI/β fixed-combination eye drops between the previous and current surveys.

In the five-medications group, the prescription patterns and the use of PG/β and CAI/β fixed-combination medications were similar between the previous and current surveys (PG/β, $P = 0.6448$; CAI/β, $P = 0.5941$).

Use of Latanoprost/ Carteolol and Brimonidine/ Timolol Fixed-Combination Medication

The use of latanoprost/carteolol fixed-combination eye drops is shown in Figure 4. In the two-medications group, it was the most commonly used PG/β fixed-combination eye drops.

Table 3 Medications in Use in the Three-, Four-, Five-, and Six-Medications Groups

	2020		2016	
	N	%	N	%
Three-medications group				
CAI/β FC + PG analogs	270	35.8%	236	39.5%
PG/β FC + α2 agonist	115	15.3%	51	8.5%
PG/β FC + CAI (eye drops)	110	14.6%	58	9.7%
CAI/β FC + α2 agonist	37	4.9%	17	2.8%
Others	222	29.4%	236	39.5%
Four-medications group				
CAI/β FC + PG analogs + α2 agonist	156	39.9%	120	43.3%
CAI/β FC + PG analogs + ROCK inhibitor	53	13.6%	27	9.7%
PG/β FC + CAI (eye drops) + α2 agonist	40	10.2%	29	10.5%
Others	142	36.3%	101	36.5%
Five-medications group				
CAI/β FC + PG analogs + α2 agonist + ROCK inhibitor	91	56.9%	39	39.4%
PG/β FC + CAI (eye drops) + α2 agonist + ROCK inhibitor	32	20.0%	10	10.1%
Others	37	23.1%	50	50.5%
Six-medications group				
CAI/β FC + PG analogs + α2 agonist + ROCK inhibitor + CAI (oral)	18	52.9%	13	54.2%
PG/β FC + CAI (eye drops) + CAI (oral) + α2 agonist + ROCK inhibitor	3	8.8%	1	4.2%
Others	13	38.2%	10	41.7%

Abbreviations: α2 agonist, α2-adrenergic agonist; β, β-blocker; CAI, carbonic anhydrase inhibitor; FC, fixed combination; PG, prostaglandin analog; ROCK, Rho-associated protein kinase.

Discussion

In this study, we investigated the use of fixed-combination eye drops. The types and numbers of such eye drops have increased over the years. Therefore, we expected the distribution of their use to change. The various fixed-combination eye drops have different efficacies in lowering intraocular pressure (IOP) and pose risks for different adverse reactions,^{8–10} and ophthalmologists select such medications based on these factors. According to the 2020 survey, fixed-combination medications were used in 55.6% patients in the two-medications group, 79.8% in the three-medications group, 84.9% in the four-medications group, 91.3% in the five-medications group, and 94.1% in the six-medications group. Thus, the proportion of fixed-combination eye drops used increased from 2016 to 2020. There was a significant increase in the number of medications in use per patient compared to that in the previous survey. Two new fixed-combination eye drops became available in the time between the surveys. The number of bottles used per patient (1.5±1.0 bottles in the previous survey and 1.4±1.0 bottles in the current survey) were equivalent between the surveys (P=0.4444). Therefore, the increase in the number of medications used per patient may have been due to the increased use of new fixed-combination products.

We observed certain changes in the use of fixed-combination eye drops from the 2016 survey⁵ to the present survey. In the two-medications group, there was a statistically significant increase in the use of PG/β fixed-combination eye drops. The use of CAI/β fixed-combination eye drops was the same between the studies. Among the PG/β fixed-combination eye drops, the use of latanoprost/timolol and tafluprost/timolol was the same between the studies. The use of travoprost/timolol fixed-combination eye drops decreased. Latanoprost/carteolol fixed-combination eye drops, which were not available during the 2016 survey, were used by 324 patients (6.1%) overall, according to the 2020 survey. They were the most commonly used PG/β fixed-combination eye drops. This may be because carteolol has IOP-lowering effects equivalent to that of timolol with the benefit of being safer;^{11,12} the same is true of latanoprost/carteolol in comparison to latanoprost/timolol.¹³

In the four- and five-medications groups, the use of both PG/β and CAI/β fixed-combination eye drops were the same in 2020 as in 2016.⁵ The increase in the use of PG/β fixed-combination eye drops in the two- and three-medications groups in this study was influenced by the use of latanoprost/carteolol fixed-combination eye drops. As additional




Figure 3 Comparison of fixed-combination medications used in the 2020 and 2016 surveys. ** $p < 0.0001$ (χ^2 test).
Abbreviations: $\alpha 2$, α -2-adrenergic agonist; β , β -blocker; CAI, carbonic anhydrase inhibitor; PG, prostaglandin analog.




Figure 4 Use of different types of PG/β fixed-combination medications.
Abbreviations: β , β -blocker; PG, prostaglandin analog.

medications are added for patients currently using two or three medications, PG/ β fixed-combination eye drops are expected to increase in patients using four or five medications.

In this study, α_2/β fixed-combination eye drops had been available for only three months at the time of the survey, which explains why few patients used it. Brimonidine/timolol fixed-combination eye drops have been available in Korea longer than in Japan, and their efficacy and safety have been reported.¹⁴ However, the brimonidine in the Japanese version of the eye drops is a formulation of 0.1%, which differs from the formulation of 0.2% in the Korean version. In this study, the most common concomitant medications with brimonidine/timolol fixed-combination eye drops in the three-medications group were PG analogs, used by 12 (80.0%) patients. This may be because PG is the first-choice eye drop for the treatment of glaucoma. The use of brimonidine/timolol fixed-combination eye drops is expected to increase over time.

There are several limitations in this study. First, since the answers to this survey were based on prescriptions, it is unclear to what extent patients adhered to the prescribed medications. Second, the data included both branded and generic products, and it is not clear which the patients were using. Third, as the number of patients handled by different doctors varies, there is a possibility of bias toward prescriptions made by doctors with many patients. Fourth, the cooperating facilities in this survey were facilities that the physicians independent from the Inouye Eye Hospital group were belonging or facilities collaborating with it. For this reason, their prescription patterns may be similar. Facilities from all over Japan participated in the survey; however, the Kanto region, where Inoue Eye Hospital and Nishikasai Inoue Eye Hospital are located, contained 79.5% (62 of 78) of them. Fifth, the participants were not necessarily the same in the previous and current surveys. This is because, in order to investigate the current state of fixed-combination eye-drop use, a larger number of cases was collected in this study than in the previous study. However, considering the aforementioned bias, it is possible that even more reliable results may be obtained for the comparison between surveys if the number of cases per physician and institution are matched.

Conclusion

In this survey of patients with glaucoma, many patients used fixed-combination eye drops; PG/ β fixed-combination eye drops were the most common type in the two-medications group, and CAI/ β in the groups of patients using three or more medications. Compared to the 2016 survey,⁵ there was a statistically significant increase in the use of PG/ β fixed-combination eye drops in the two- and three-medications groups, and there was no difference in the use of PG/ β and CAI/ β fixed-combination eye drops in the four- and five-medications groups. We expect that fixed-combination eye drops will be used increasingly by patients who need multiple eye medications. Moreover, we expect that the use of these fixed-combination eye drops will serve as a prescription guide for clinicians and as a reference for the development of new fixed-combination eye drops, indicating which products are most likely to be used.

Abbreviations

α_2/β , α -2-adrenergic agonist/ β -blocker; CAI/ β , carbonic anhydrase inhibitor/ β -blocker; IOP, intraocular pressure; PG/ β , prostaglandin analog/ β -blocker; ROCK, Rho-associated protein kinase.

Data Sharing Statement

The participants' data that support the findings of this study are available for five years after publication from the corresponding author, Kenji Inoue, upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the doctors from the 78 institutions for collecting the data for this survey.

Funding

There is no funding to report.

Disclosure

K. Inoue reports grants from Teijin, Otsuka, and Wakamoto; and lecture fees from Otsuka, HOYA, Pfizer, Senju, Santen, Allergan, Novartis, and Carl Zeiss Meditec. S. Kunimatsu-Sanuki reports lecture fees from Santen, Novartis, Alcon, Sucampo, Senju, Otsuka, Pfizer, and Kowa. K. Ishida reports lecture fees from Alcon, Pfizer, Santen, Senju, Otsuka, Kowa, Sucampo, GlaxoSmithKline, JFC Sales Plan, Japan Ophthalmic Instruments Association, Novartis, Wakamoto, Allergan, Bayer Yakuhin, and Nitto Medic. G. Tomita reports grants from Pfizer, Santen, Senju, Eisai, Handaya, Kowa, and Otsuka; and lecture fees from Santen, Senju, Allergan Japan, and TOPCON. R. Komori reports no conflicts of interest in this work. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. The Japan Glaucoma Society. Guidelines for Glaucoma. 4th ed. *Nippon Ganka Gakkai Zasshi*. 2018;122:5–53. Japanese.
2. Djafari F, Lesk MR, Harasymowycz PJ, Desjardins D, Lachaine J. Determinants of adherence to glaucoma medical therapy in a long-term patient population. *J Glaucoma*. 2009;18(3):238–243. doi:10.1097/IJG.0b013e3181815421
3. Nakai Y, Inoue K, Moriyama R, Wakakura M, Inoue J, Tomita G. Current status of glaucoma therapy at private practices and a private ophthalmology hospital. *Atarashii Ganka*. 2008;25:1581–1585. Japanese.
4. Nagai M, Higa R, Shiokawa M, Inoue K, Ishida K, Tomita G. Current status of therapy for glaucoma at multiple ophthalmic institutions in 2016. *Atarashii Ganka*. 2017;34:1035–1041. Japanese.
5. Inoue K, Nagai M, Arai Y, Shiokawa M, Ishida K, Tomita G. Current status of therapy for glaucoma at multiple ophthalmic institutions in 2016: fixed combination eye drops. *Rinsho Ganka*. 2017;71:1743–1754. Japanese.
6. Shiokawa M, Inoue K, Tomita G. Current status of glaucoma therapy at private practices and private ophthalmology hospital in 2012. *Atarashii Ganka*. 2013;30:851–856. Japanese.
7. Kuroda A, Inoue K, Inoue J, Kunimatsu-Sanuki S, Ishida K, Tomita G. Multi-institutional survey for glaucoma in 2020-drug therapy. *Rinsho Ganka*. 2021;75:377–385. Japanese.
8. Joh HJ, Jin SW. Comparison of different combinations of maximum medical therapy for lowering intraocular pressure in primary open angle glaucoma: 12-month retrospective consecutive case series. *Jpn J Ophthalmol*. 2019;63(4):322–327. doi:10.1007/s10384-019-00673-8
9. Hashimoto Y, Kitamoto K, Aihara M, Usui T. Toxicity profiles of fixed-combination eye drops for glaucoma therapy using cultivated human corneal epithelial sheets. *Jpn J Ophthalmol*. 2020;64(3):304–311. doi:10.1007/s10384-020-00742-3
10. Xing Y, Zhu L, Zhang K, Huang S. The efficacy of the fixed combination of latanoprost and timolol versus other fixed combinations for primary open-angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(2):e0229682. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0229682
11. Netland PA, Weiss HS, Stewart WC, Cohen JS, Nussbaum LL; Night Study Group. Cardiovascular effects of topical carteolol hydrochloride and timolol maleate in patients with ocular hypertension and primary open-angle glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 1997;123(4):465–477. doi:10.1016/s0002-9394(14)70172-2
12. Stewart WC, Cohen JS, Netland PA, Weiss H, Nussbaum LL; Nocturnal Investigation of Glaucoma Hemodynamics Trial Study Group. Efficacy of carteolol hydrochloride 1% vs timolol maleate 0.5% in patients with increased intraocular pressure. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 1997;124(4):498–505. doi:10.1016/s0002-9394(14)70865-7
13. Saito Y, Kizaki J, Wada Y, Shibasaki Y, Kishimoto N, Aihara M. Comparison of the 24-h efficacy and safety of fixed combination carteolol/latanoprost and timolol/latanoprost in patients with primary open-angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension: a prospective crossover study. *Jpn J Ophthalmol*. 2021;65(5):598–607. doi:10.1007/s10384-021-00856-2
14. Park SW, Kim JM, Lee JW, Maglambayan J, Simonyi S, Park KH. Efficacy and safety of fixed-combination brimonidine tartrate/timolol maleate in primary open-angle glaucoma, including normal-tension glaucoma. *Jpn J Ophthalmol*. 2021;65(2):295–305. doi:10.1007/s10384-020-00796-3

Clinical Ophthalmology

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal>