



# Comment on “Development and Validation of a META-Algorithm to Identify the Indications of Use of Biological Drugs Approved for the Treatment of Immune-Mediated Inflammatory Diseases from Claims Databases: Insights from the VALORE Project”. [Response to Letter]

Andrea Spini<sup>1</sup>, Luca L'Abbate<sup>2</sup>, Ylenia Ingrasciotta<sup>1</sup>, Giorgia Pellegrini<sup>1</sup>, Massimo Carollo<sup>1</sup> , Valentina Ientile<sup>2</sup>, Olivia Leoni<sup>3</sup>, Martina Zanforlini<sup>4</sup>, Domenica Ancona<sup>5</sup>, Paolo Stella<sup>5</sup>, Anna Cavazzana<sup>6</sup>, Angela Scapin<sup>6</sup>, Sara Lopes<sup>7</sup>, Valeria Belleudi<sup>7</sup> , Gianluca Trifirò<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Diagnostics and Public Health, University of Verona, Verona, Italy; <sup>2</sup>Department of Biomedical and Dental Sciences and Morphofunctional Imaging, University of Messina, Messina, Italy; <sup>3</sup>Lombardy Regional Centre of Pharmacovigilance and Regional Epidemiologic Observatory, Milan, Italy; <sup>4</sup>Azienda Regionale per l'Innovazione e gli Acquisti, S.p.A, Milan, Italy; <sup>5</sup>Apulian Regional Health Department, Bari, Italy; <sup>6</sup>Azienda Zero, Regione Veneto, Italy; <sup>7</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Lazio Regional Health Service, Rome, Italy

Correspondence: Gianluca Trifirò, Department of Diagnostics and Public Health, University of Verona, P. le L.A. Scuro 10, Verona, 37134, Italy, Tel +39 045 802 7679, Email [gianluca.trifiro@univr.it](mailto:gianluca.trifiro@univr.it)

## Dear editor

We would like to thank you for your interest in our manuscript,<sup>1</sup> which aimed to develop a META-algorithm to identify the indication for use of biological drugs in immune-mediated inflammatory disease (IMID) patients. We agree that this study makes a significant contribution to the field of healthcare and clinical epidemiology, as the lack of information on the indication of use in claims databases represents a well-known limitation for conducting post-marketing monitoring and observational studies on biological drugs.

The four limitations indicated by Dr. Rokhmalia et al have been carefully reported and discussed in our paper, and we appreciate the recommendations for future research addressing these limitations. Although applying algorithms for identifying indications for use in claims databases can achieve a high level of accuracy, it is practically impossible to reach 100% accuracy. Therefore, caution should always be exercised when interpreting the results derived from the META-algorithm developed in this study. Nevertheless, many sensitivity analyses have confirmed the robustness of our findings. Furthermore, the impact of these limitations on the accurate identification of the indication for use in biological drug users treated for IMIDs appears to be minimal. For instance, the META-algorithm was unable to identify an indication for use in only 5% of biological drug users on average. In specific IMIDs such as inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs), which often lead patients to access healthcare facilities, the level of missingness can be as low as 1%, as reported in our study.

Misclassification of the indication for use may also have a very limited impact on the algorithm's accuracy. For example, less than 1% of certolizumab users were misclassified as being treated for IBDs, for which the drug is not approved. These patients are likely affected by IBDs and a concomitant IMID that the algorithm could not identify, which was the reason for prescribing certolizumab.

In conclusion, the newly developed META-algorithm is a tool that may greatly benefit scientific research and monitoring biological drug use at the loco-regional level using Italian claims databases, representing a significant advancement in

pharmacoepidemiology. Additional validation of this META-algorithm using other sources, such as clinical registries, may help confirm its accuracy.

## Funding

This study was funded by the Italian Medicines Agency in the context of the multiregional pharmacovigilance project (AIFA 2012–2014: Post-marketing evaluation of the benefit–risk profile of originator biologics and biosimilars in the dermatological, rheumatological, gastroenterological and onco-hematological areas through the establishment of a single multiregional network for the integrated analysis of data from health databases, active surveillance and clinical registers – VALORE project). The funding sources had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

## Disclosure

G.T. participated in advisory boards and seminars as a lecturer on topics not related to the paper and sponsored by the following pharmaceutical companies in the last two years: Eli Lilly; Sanofi; Amgen; Novo Nordisk; Sobi; Gilead; Celgene; Daiichi Sankyo, Takeda and MSD. He is also the scientific coordinator of the pharmacoepidemiology team at the University of Verona and of the academic spin-off “INSPIRE srl” that carried out in the last two years observational studies/systematic reviews on topics not related to the content of this article and which were funded by PTC Pharmaceuticals, Kyowa Kirin, Shionogi, Shire, Chiesi and Daiichi Sankyo. Y.I. is the CEO of the academic spin-off “INSPIRE srl”, which has received funding for conducting observational studies from contract research organizations (RTI Health Solutions, Pharmo Institute N.V.) and from pharmaceutical Companies (Chiesi Italia, Kyowa Kirin s.r.l., Daiichi Sankyo Italia S.p.A.). The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this communication.

## Reference

1. Spini A, L'Abbate L, Ingrassiotta Y, et al. Development and Validation of a META-Algorithm to Identify the Indications of Use of Biological Drugs Approved for the Treatment of Immune-Mediated Inflammatory Diseases from Claims Databases: insights from the VALORE Project. *Clin Epidemiol.* 2024;16:395–407. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S445120

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Epidemiology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Epidemiology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Epidemiology

Dovepress

**Publish your work in this journal**

Clinical Epidemiology is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal focusing on disease and drug epidemiology, identification of risk factors and screening procedures to develop optimal preventative initiatives and programs. Specific topics include: diagnosis, prognosis, treatment, screening, prevention, risk factor modification, systematic reviews, risk & safety of medical interventions, epidemiology & biostatistical methods, and evaluation of guidelines, translational medicine, health policies & economic evaluations. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-epidemiology-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S482719>