

Remote ischemic preconditioning in myocardial protection in hemodialysis patients

Marcelo R Bacci¹
Livia Y Vasconcelos¹
Neif Murad²
Antonio Carlos P Chagas²
Ana Carolina Capuano¹
Beatriz CA Alves³
Edimar C Pereira⁴
Ligia A Azzalis⁴
Virginia BC Junqueira⁴
Fernando LA Fonseca^{3,4}

¹Department of General Practice, ²Department of Cardiology, ³Clinical Analysis Laboratory of Faculdade de Medicina do ABC, Santo André, SP, Brazil; ⁴Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, Diadema, SP, Brazil

Background: Remote ischemic preconditioning (RIPC) is a procedure that generates a brief period of ischemia followed by reperfusion. The role of RIPC in protecting myocardial ischemia during hemodialysis is not yet established. The aim of the study was to evaluate RIPC myocardial protection as evaluated by ultrasensitive I troponin in hemodialysis outpatients.

Patients and methods: A double-blind randomized trial with two groups: intervention submitted to RIPC and control group without RIPC. Intervention group received RIPC in three consecutive hemodialysis sessions. Blood samples were taken before and after each session. Blood urea nitrogen for calculation of single-pool Kt/v and ultrasensitive I troponin were measured to evaluate dialysis adequacy and myocardial injury.

Results: A total of 47 patients were randomized. About 60.8% were men and 54% were diabetic. The mean single-pool Kt/v was 1.51 in the intervention group and 1.49 in control. The ultrasensitive troponin I measured no significant change from the time of collection: before or after dialysis.

Conclusion: The RIPC applied in three consecutive sessions did not demonstrate superiority to control, therefore another study tested RIPC in 12 consecutive sessions with a positive result in myocardial protection. In our study, more than half of the patients were diabetic. Diabetic patients have a trend to show a lower response to RIPC because of the greater presence of collateral coronary circulation. In summary, in this model there was no interference of RIPC in ultrasensitive troponin I values, but troponin had a high negative predictive value for myocardial infarction in all tested models.

Keywords: hemodialysis, chronic kidney disease, cardiac troponin, remote ischemic preconditioning, myocardial infarction

Introduction

Remote ischemic preconditioning (RIPC) is defined as brief transient episodes of ischemia followed by a prolonged sustained episode of ischemia and reperfusion in the heart. It was first described by Murry et al in 1986, when they showed that brief ischemic episodes in dogs by the experimental occlusion of the left coronary artery reduced infarct extension caused by the occlusion of the circumflex artery and subsequent reperfusion.¹ It was observed that these induced ischemic periods followed by reperfusion promote cardioprotection in situations in which this prolonged ischemic event is expected, like in cardiac surgeries, transplants or even percutaneous coronary angioplasty.²

Kadkhodae et al reported protective effects in the kidney by pre- and post-conditioning in ischemic situations and induced reperfusion.³ Besides all other risk

Correspondence: Marcelo R Bacci
Department of General Practice,
Faculdade de Medicina do ABC, Av.
Príncipe de Gales n 821, Vila Valparaíso,
Santo André, SP, ZIP 09060-650, Brazil
Tel + 55 11 9 8193 7005
Email mrbacci@yahoo.com

factors, patients on hemodialysis have a common complication from the procedure associated with myocardial ischemia. With the prolonged decrease of oxygen supply, a left ventricular dysfunction can be observed in the long run, resulting in cardiac insufficiency and an increase in mortality.⁴

A recent study reveals that conventional hemodialysis, besides being associated with endothelial injury, oxidative stress and high inflammatory activity, can induce microcirculation injury with consequent critical ischemia and tissue damages during the procedure.^{4,5}

It is known that cardiac troponin I (cTnI) and cardiac troponin T (cTnT) levels are usually elevated and related to mortality in such cases. A recent meta-analysis concluded that the elevation of cTnI and cTnT represents a 2.64- and 1.74-fold increase in mortality, respectively.⁶

The aim of this study was to analyze the RIPC effect in myocardial injury by means of the measurement of cTnI in patients with chronic kidney disease on hemodialysis.

Patients and methods

Study design

This is a randomized clinical trial. Patients were divided into two groups: experiment and control. Randomization was performed according to the list generated by the website www.randomization.com, which was accessed only by the nurse in charge of the dialysis. Researchers remained blinded as to which group each patient belonged to. Patients on medications for the treatment of pre-existing diseases were not washed out.

Hemodialysis sessions were set to 3:30 hours, with blood flow of 300 mL/min, dialysate flow of 500 mL/min, sodium concentration of 140 mEq/L and bicarbonate of 34 mEq/L. The ultrafiltration value was defined according to the individual need of each patient. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant included in this study; this study and its protocol were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the study was approved by the Faculdade de Medicina do ABC Ethics Committee (CAAE number 45920015.5.0000.0082).

Study interventions

The ischemic preconditioning consisted of a 5-minute interruption of the brachial blood flow using a Tyco sphygmomanometer (Welch Allyn, Skaneateles Falls, NY, USA) inflated to 200 mmHg or until the distal blood flow was interrupted; subsequent deflation followed with the same rest period, and the procedure was repeated twice, totaling 30 minutes of

intervention. The contralateral arm was chosen, next to the functioning arteriovenous fistula, and in the absence of the fistula, the right arm was used. The intervention occurred in the beginning of the dialysis session. The intervention group underwent three consecutive sessions of RIPC, whereas control group underwent none.

Interesting outcomes

The primary outcome was the decrease in ultrasensitive cTnI level when intervention and control groups were compared. The secondary outcome was mortality by any cause at time points 30 and 90 days, hospitalization due to cardiovascular event defined as acute coronary syndrome, pulmonary thromboembolism or cerebrovascular accident.

Variables of interest

The analysis of biochemical markers was carried out using blood samples collected at 2 and 7 days prior to the allocation, in the beginning of the first hemodialysis session, after the initial allocation.

Plasma cTnI levels were measured by ELISA assay, and their cutoff values were defined according to the constructed calibration curve. Values above the 99th percentile were considered altered.

For dialysis adequacy, the values of single-pool Kt/V and the urea reduction ratio (URR) were considered adequate if they were ≥ 1.2 and 65%, respectively.

The analysis of the inflammatory markers was performed using the ELISA method. Cutoff values were set on a method-by-method basis according to each used kit, following each manufacturer's instructions.

Statistical analysis

This was a 40-patient pilot study. The sample was divided into two groups: 20 in the control group and 20 in the intervention group.

Qualitative variables were presented with absolute and relative frequencies and analyzed by the chi-square test. Shapiro–Wilk test was used to verify the normality of quantitative variables. As the distribution was not normal, median values, along with the respective 95% confidence intervals, were used. Quantitative variable between groups were analyzed with the Mann–Whitney test, and Wilcoxon test was applied to verify if there were differences in the collections before and after each moment, confidence interval was 95%. Data Analysis and Statistical Software for Professionals (Stata), version 11.0, was the statistical package used.

Results

The mean age in the control group was 63.9 years (SD ± 15.8), and in the intervention group it was 52.1 years (SD ± 21.5). All of the patients from both groups suffered from systemic hypertension. Diabetes was present in 60.8% of the patients in the intervention group and in 65.2% in the control group.

Table 1 shows the characterization of the samples, taking parameters like gender, Kt/V, hemoglobin and ferritin into consideration.

Table 2 shows the mean values of troponin pre- and post-dialysis in control and intervention groups. Interestingly, between the moments of pre- and post-dialysis the significance value was <0.05 ($p < 0.05$) according to the Wilcoxon test, demonstrating that the RIPC did not have the expected effect.

In Table 3 it can be observed that there were no significant variations in the point estimation for urea, URR and troponin between control and intervention groups.

Table 1 Characterization of samples according to the studied groups

Studied variables	Groups		p*
	Control	Intervention	
Gender, n (%)			
Female	9.0 (40.9)	8.0 (34.8)	0.672*
Male	13.0 (59.1)	15.0 (65.2)	
Kt/V	1.5 (1.1–1.5)	1.5 (1.1–1.6)	0.846**
Hemoglobin, g/dL	10.6 (10.4–11.5)	11.1 (10.8–11.6)	0.190**
Albumin, g/dL	3.8 (3.6–4.0)	4.0 (3.9–4.1)	0.010**
Ferritin, ug/L	560.0 (364.9–563.5)	375.0 (278.1–391.7)	0.076**

Notes: Confidence interval of 95% shown in brackets; *chi-square; ** Mann-Whitney test.

Table 2 Point estimation (interval estimation) of troponin concentration according to the moments in control and intervention groups

cTn	Control			Intervention		
	Pre	Post	p*	Pre	Post	p*
Troponin 1	0.010 (0.006–0.016)	0.009 (0.006–0.023)	0.631	0.007 (0.006–0.012)	0.007 (0.006–0.011)	0.152
Troponin 2	0.010 (0.006–0.014)	0.011 (0.006–0.018)	0.634	0.009 (0.006–0.017)	0.006 (0.006–0.014)	0.987
Troponin 3	0.011 (0.006–0.018)	0.013 (0.007–0.027)	0.024	0.007 (0.006–0.018)	0.006 (0.006–0.009)	0.136

Notes: Values expressed in median (confidence interval of 95%); *Wilcoxon test.

Table 3 Point estimation of concentration variation of urea, troponin and urea reduction ratio between groups

Markers	Groups		p**
	Control	Intervention	
Urea*	63.50 (36.86–89.35)	75.75 (35.86–104.52)	0.672
Troponin*	–0.001 (–0.0064–0.0021)	0.001 (–0.0014–0.0014)	0.441
Urea reduction ratio *	–0.650 (–8.074–11.427)	4.500 (–8.090–13.901)	0.503

Notes: Values expressed in median (confidence interval of 95%); *variation between the first and last collection; **Wilcoxon test.

Discussion

It is known that chronic kidney disease patients on hemodialysis, even those without acute coronary disease, have high serum levels of troponin.

So far, very few management strategies have been proposed to reduce myocardial damage caused by hemodialysis. Remote ischemic preconditioning is one of the propositions.^{7,8}

Troponin is the biomarker of choice for the analysis of myocardial ischemia due to the fact that it is an enzyme specific to the cardiac muscle that plays an important role in the muscle contraction process; moreover, it practically has no relation with skeletal muscles. Most of the studies use troponin T (TnT) as a marker; studies using troponin I (TnI) are not only few but also short-term, with a small number of patients included.⁹

A 2012 study on the predictive value of troponin in patients on hemodialysis revealed a strong discrepancy between TnT and TnI values, which can be explained by their molecular mechanisms, like structure, molecular weight and half-life.¹⁰ Besides, the kinetics of enzyme release in the circulation after the alterations in myocyte membrane permeability can be different, and there is a divergence between the clearance of both enzymes. Once released in the circulation, TnI becomes more susceptible to modifications owing to phosphorylation, oxidation and proteolysis.

Factors like aggressive ultrafiltration and intradialytic hypotension are associated with the aggravation of the myocardial ischemic condition during a hemodialysis session owing to the significant alterations in the coronary flow. Repeated injuries induced by ischemia followed by

reperfusion cause alterations in motility and loss of contractility, which may lead to myocardial fibrosis and irreversible left ventricular systolic dysfunction.¹¹

Burton et al showed that nearly 50% of patients on hemodialysis developed alterations in regional myocardial motility, and also that the mortality rate among these patients increased in the subsequent 12 months.⁴

No RIPC benefits, performed in three consecutive hemodialysis sessions in this study, could be observed. However, Park et al reported its beneficial effects in 12 consecutive hemodialysis sessions, when a decrease in TnT levels could be observed.¹²

This study faced some limitations. First, the number of sessions was lower than in other published studies, and it is not surely known how many interventions are necessary to obtain such benefits. The presence of diabetes is a positive predictive factor for higher cardiovascular mortality. Moreover, coronary collateral circulation in diabetic patients is higher due to the presence of ischemia, a factor that may be responsible for the lower response rate in patients undergoing RIPC. The studied sample included a diabetic population of >50%.^{5, 13}

In sum, in the model of three consecutive hemodialysis sessions, no significant reduction in ultrasensitive troponin I concentrations could be observed when remote ischemic preconditioning was applied.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Murry CE, Jennings RB and Reimer KA. Preconditioning with ischemia: a delay of lethal cell injury in ischemic myocardium. *Circulation* 1986;74(5):1124–1136.
- Przyklenk K, Bauer B, Ovize M, Kloner RA, Whittaker P. Regional ischemic preconditioning protects remote virgin myocardium from subsequent sustained coronary occlusion. *Circulation* 1993;87(3):893–899.
- Kadkhodae M, Seifi B, Najafi A, Sedaghat Z. First report of the protective effects of remote per- and postconditioning on ischemia/reperfusion-induced renal injury. *Transplantation*. 2011;92(10):e55.
- Burton JO, Jefferies HJ, Selby NM, McIntyre CW. Hemodialysis-induced cardiac injury: determinants and associated outcomes. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2009;4(5):914–920.
- Benhamou Y, Begarin L, David N et al. Detection of microcirculatory impairment by transcutaneous oxymetry monitoring during hemodialysis: an observational study. *BMC Nephrol*. 2014;15(4):1–8.
- Kalaji FR, Albitar S. Predictive value of cardiac Troponin T and I in hemodialysis patients. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl*. 2012; 23(5):39–945.
- Gassanov N, Nia AM, Caglayan E, Er F. Remote ischemic preconditioning and renoprotection: from myth to a novel therapeutic option? *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2014;25(2):216–224.
- Heusch G. Cardioprotection: chances and challenges of its translation to the clinic. *Lancet*. 2013; 381(9861):166–175.
- Alam A, Palumbo A, Mucsi I, Barre PE, Sniderman AD. Elevated troponin I levels but not low grade chronic inflammation is associated with cardiac-specific mortality in stable hemodialysis patients. *BMC Nephrol*. 2013;14:247–253.
- Artunc F, Mueller C, Breidhardt T, et al. Sensitive troponins – which suits better for hemodialysis patients? Associated factors and prediction of mortality. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(10):1–9.
- Przyklenk K, Bauer B, Ovize M, Kloner RA, Whittaker P. Regional ischemic preconditioning protects remote virgin myocardium from subsequent sustained coronary occlusion. *Circulation*. 1993;87(3):893–899.
- Park J, Ann SH, Chung HC et al. Remote ischemic preconditioning in hemodialysis: a pilot study. *Heart Vessels*. 2014;29(1):58–64.
- Venugopal V, Laing CM, Ludman A, Yellon DM, Hausenloy D. Effect of remote ischemic preconditioning on acute kidney injury in non-diabetic patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a secondary analysis of 2 small randomized trials. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2010;56(6):1043–1049.

International Journal of General Medicine

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of General Medicine is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that focuses on general and internal medicine, pathogenesis, epidemiology, diagnosis, monitoring and treatment protocols. The journal is characterized by the rapid reporting of reviews, original research and clinical studies across all disease areas.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-general-medicine-journal>

Dovepress

The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.