

Correlations of health-related quality of life with serum inflammatory indicators IL-8 and mIBI in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma

Leung Li¹
 Stephen L Chan¹
 Frankie Mo¹
 Edwin P Hui¹
 Jane Koh¹
 Allen KC Chan²
 Nelson LS Tang²
 Kit F Lee³
 Paul BS Lai³
 Simon CH Yu⁴
 Winnie Yeo¹

¹Department of Clinical Oncology, Prince of Wales Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Hong Kong Cancer Institute, State Key Laboratory of Translational Oncology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR; ²Department of Chemical Pathology, Li Ka Shing Institute of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR; ³Department of Surgery, Prince of Wales Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong SAR; ⁴Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Prince of Wales Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong SAR

Correspondence: Winnie Yeo
 Department of Clinical Oncology, Prince of Wales Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Hong Kong Cancer Institute, State Key Laboratory of Translational Oncology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR
 Tel +86 852 3505 2118
 Fax +86 852 2648 7097
 Email winnieyeo@cuhk.edu.hk

Purpose: Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) is a significant prognostic factor for overall survival in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) patients, and this is independent of stage and liver function. Inflammation plays a significant role in HCC development and progression. It was hypothesized that the inflammatory status of HCC patients may affect their HRQoL. The relationship between HRQoL and inflammatory status was explored using indicators IL-8 level and modified inflammation-based index (mIBI, based on IL-8, C-reactive protein, and albumin).

Methods: From 2007–2011, HCC patients were enrolled prospectively. Baseline HRQoL assessment utilized the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) QLQ-C30 and QLQ-HCC18; clinical and laboratory data were collected at diagnosis. Two summary indices, C30 and HCC18 index-scores, were calculated. Correlation analyses were performed between HRQoL and inflammatory markers.

Results: In the 445 patients studied, significant correlations were found between IL-8 levels and EORTC QLQ-C30, QLQ-HCC18, C30, and HCC18 index-scores. The strongest correlated factors were those reflective of constitutional symptoms, namely QLQ-C30 “appetite loss” (with Pearson’s correlation coefficient, $r=0.322$, $P<0.0001$); QLQ-C30 “fatigue” ($r=0.311$, $P<0.0001$); QLQ-C30 “role functioning” ($r=-0.305$, $P<0.0001$); QLQ-HCC18 “nutrition” ($r=0.317$, $P<0.0001$); and QLQ-HCC18 “fatigue” ($r=0.306$, $P<0.0001$). In addition, moderate but significant correlations were also observed with HCC18 index score ($r=0.321$, $P<0.0001$), and C30 index score ($r=0.306$, $P<0.0001$). HRQoL factors were also significantly correlated with mIBI.

Conclusion: Baseline HRQoL using the conventional assessments of EORTC QLQ-C30 and QLQ-HCC18, as well as C30 and HCC18 index-scores, significantly correlated with inflammatory indicators (IL-8 level and mIBI) in HCC patients. Among the strongest correlations were those between IL-8 level and the two index-scores, as well as HRQoL aspects that represent constitutional symptoms. When paralleled with molecular findings, traditional HRQoL assessment in HCC has gained a new level of understanding: pattern recognition within an HRQoL instrument could potentially identify patients with more severe inflammatory state.

Keywords: cytokine interleukin 8, index score, EORTC QLQ-C30, EORTC QLQ-HCC18, liver cancer, modified inflammation-based index

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is an aggressive cancer, which carries a high morbidity and mortality. It is the fifth commonest cancer and the second leading cause of cancer death in the world.¹ In early days, when HCC treatment was limited, the

prognosis of HCC patients was poor, with median survival of 2–4 months, albeit with a wide survival range from 0.1–65 months.^{2–5} Various factors have been reported to be of prognostic significance for HCC. Of these, two emerging factors are health-related quality of life (HRQoL)^{6–10} and inflammatory markers.¹¹

Inflammation plays a significant role in HCC development and progression.¹² In HCC, an immunosuppressive environment has been demonstrated.¹² Tumor-promoting inflammatory cells, including myeloid-derived suppressive cells (MDSC) and regulatory T cells, and tumor-promoting microenvironment have been reported to foster cancer cells immune evasion, proliferation, invasiveness, and angiogenesis.¹³ Predominant Th2-like cytokines (IL-4, IL-8, IL-10, and IL-5) compared to Th1-like cytokines (IL-1 α , IL-1 β , IL-2, tumor necrosis factor α) in the tumor microenvironment was associated with a more aggressive form of HCC.¹⁴ HCC patients with higher MDSC counts were found to have higher serum IL-10, IL-13, and vascular endothelial growth factor levels. These patients have been reported to have more advanced stage HCC, poorer liver functional reserve, and shorter overall survival (OS).¹⁵ On the contrary, HCC patients with an inflammatory microenvironment with the presence of immune cells infiltration and an expression of innate immune response genes within their resected tumors were shown to have more favorable prognosis with longer survival than those without such an inflammatory microenvironment.¹⁶

It is unknown if tumor-promoting or tumor-inhibitory inflammatory responses would result in systemic manifestation along with elevation in inflammatory markers including serum cytokine levels, thereby affecting patients' constitutional symptoms. For instance, a higher serum IL-6 level has been associated with shorter survival in one study;¹⁷ however, another study has reported conflicting results.¹⁸ On the other hand, higher serum levels of IL-8, IL-35, fibroblast growth factor 2, growth-regulated oncogene, interferon gamma-induced protein 10, vascular endothelial growth factor, as well as lower serum levels of interferon alpha-2 have also been reported to be associated with shorter relapse-free and OS in HCC patients.^{19,20} Various studies have explored the prognostic significance of a panel of cytokines in HCC patients, one of these studies was from our group, who evaluated 42 cytokines. IL-8 has been consistently found to be the only significant prognostic cytokine for survival.^{21–23}

HRQoL is a multifaceted and complex assessment of human life with special attention paid to healthcare-related issues. Earlier reports, as well as more recent studies, have

shown HRQoL to be a prognostic factor for patients with early as well as advanced stage HCC.^{6–9} The incorporation of HRQoL data into existing staging systems has been suggested to further enhance their prognostication powers.^{7,8,24} In our recently reported cohort, the prognostic significance of baseline HRQoL at HCC presentation was independent of clinical variables including the stage of HCC, liver function, and patient's demographic data.⁹ The HRQoL factors that were analyzed included a general HRQoL instrument for cancer patients, the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) QLQ-C30, as well as HCC-specific HRQoL instrument, QLQ-HCC18. Apart from conventional assessment using these instruments, our proposed C30 index score and HCC18 index score, which were, respectively, summative scores of all the domains and items of QLQ-C30 and QLQ-HCC18, were also found to be significant prognosticators.⁹ These index scores have the advantage of transforming complex HRQoL data into simpler tools that are applicable to routine daily clinical practice.

A few recent reports have suggested that correlations exist between HRQoL and cytokine levels in patients with advanced malignancies.^{25–29} Although the reason for such a correlation has not yet been elucidated, cancer-induced cytokine release as a result of inflammatory response has been implicated in cachexia.³⁰ Alongside functional impairment, cachexia is associated with a variety of symptoms including malaise, anorexia, and weight loss. We hypothesized that HRQoL impairment in HCC patients may be correlated with their status of inflammation. In this study among HCC patients, the objectives were to determine the correlations between HRQoL and inflammatory status of individual patients. The latter was determined by IL-8 level and the modified inflammation-based index (mIBI), which have recently been identified to be prognostic markers in patients with HCC.²³

Methods

Patients

From January 2007 to December 2011, newly diagnosed HCC patients were invited to the study.

Patients were eligible for the study if they had newly diagnosed HCC (based either on histology, typical findings from radiological and biochemical tests, or typical imaging findings in two radiological modalities), had not received any treatment for HCC, and were able to read and comprehend Chinese. Patients were excluded from the study if they had a history of other malignancies, encephalopathy, or cognitive impairment.

HRQoL assessment

Individual patients completed HRQoL assessment at study entry using self-administered EORTC QLQ-C30³¹ and QLQ-HCC18³² questionnaires (Chinese versions). C30 and HCC18 index-scores were calculated as previously published.⁹ Detailed descriptions of these assessments are listed in Table 1.

Inflammatory markers, clinical factors, and follow-up

Baseline blood taken was used to assess the serum levels of IL-8, C-reactive protein (CRP), and albumin. mIBI is an inflammation-based score derived from IL-8, CRP, and albumin levels. To calculate mIBI, a score is assigned according to the level of \log_{10} IL-8 (0 for <1.8; 1 for ≥ 1.8), CRP (0 for <10 mg/dL; 1 for ≥ 10 mg/dL), and albumin (0 for ≥ 35 g/L; 1 for <35 g/L), respectively. mIBI score is the summation of these three scores. The cut-off of \log_{10} IL-8 at 1.8 was determined by a receiver operator characteristic curve in the prognostic analysis, which has been reported previously.²³ Demographic and clinical data were collected. Patients were followed up until death.

Statistical analyses

Patient characteristics were assessed by standard descriptive analyses. Correlation between continuous variable (log IL-8 level) and continuous HRQoL factors was analyzed using Pearson's correlation analysis. Patients were dichotomized into mIBI scores of 2–3 vs 0–1 and into log IL8 of ≥ 1.8 vs <1.8 for correlative analyses. Correlations between the

dichotomized variables and continuous HRQoL factors were analyzed by Student's *t*-test and/or logistic regressions. The statistical analyses were performed using statistical software (SAS version 9.3; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). A *P*-value of <0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

Ethics approval and informed consents

This study was approved by the regional ethics committee (Joint Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Chinese University of Hong Kong and New Territories East Cluster of Hospital Authority). Written informed consent was obtained from all study patients.

Results

Patient characteristics

Four hundred and forty-five patients had complete HRQoL data and information on their inflammatory indicators. Tables 2 and 3 show the patients' baseline clinical characteristics, inflammatory indicators, and HRQoL. The median age at diagnosis was 60 years. The majority of patients (94%) had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0 or 1. Fifty-eight percent had cirrhosis, 81% had hepatitis B, while 6% had hepatitis C infection. Fourteen percent belonged to Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage A, 24% stage B, 56% stage C, and 5% stage D. With regards to first-line treatment, 51 patients (12%) had surgery, 28 (6%) had local ablative therapy, 110 (25%) had trans-arterial

Table 1 HRQoL instruments used in the study.

EORTC QLQ-C30	EORTC QLQ-C30 is a general cancer instrument containing multiple items, measured in multiple-point Likert scales, that reflects the multidimensionality of the HRQoL construct. It includes five functional domains (physical, role, cognitive, emotional, and social), three symptom domains (fatigue, pain, and nausea and vomiting), and a global health and HRQoL domain. Six single items assess common symptoms in cancer patients (dyspnea, appetite loss, sleep disturbance, constipation, diarrhea), and financial problems. All scales and domains are transformed to scores ranging from 0–100. A lower score for a functional or global HRQoL scale reflects a poorer functioning level or global QoL, while a lower score for a symptom/problem scale reflects less symptoms/problem (better HRQoL).
EORTC QLQ-HCC18	EORTC QLQ-HCC18 includes 18 multiple item scales organized into six domains (fatigue, body image, jaundice, nutrition, pain, and fever) and two items (abdominal swelling and sex life). All scales are grouped and transformed to score ranging from 0–100. A lower score represents a less severe symptom/problem (better HRQoL). EORTC QLQ-HCC18 is used together with EORTC QLQ-C30.
C30 index score	$\sum[(100-\text{physical functioning}), (100-\text{role functioning}), (100-\text{emotional functioning}), (100-\text{cognitive functioning}), (100-\text{social functioning}), (100-\text{global QoL}), \text{scores of fatigue, nausea and vomiting, pain, dyspnoea, insomnia, appetite loss, constipation, diarrhea, financial difficulty}] \div 15$ Range of C30 index score: 0–100; a lower score represents a less severe symptom/problem.
HCC18 index score	$\sum(\text{scores of fatigue, body image, jaundice, nutrition, pain, fever, sex life, abdominal distension}) \div 8$ Range of HCC18 index score: 0–100; a lower score represents a less severe symptom/problem.

Abbreviations: EORTC, European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer; HCC, Hepatocellular carcinoma; HRQoL, health-related quality of life.

Table 2 Baseline clinical and laboratory characteristics of HCC patients.

Factors	No. (%)	Median (range)
Age (years)	445	60 (18–88)
Gender		
Male	395 (88.8)	
Female	50 (11.2)	
ECOG performance status		
0	137 (30.8)	
1	283 (63.6)	
2	18 (4.0)	
3	7 (1.6)	
Baseline biochemistry		
Bilirubin ($\mu\text{mol/L}$, range)		19 (3–548)
Albumin (g/L)		37 (8–53)
ALT (iu/L)		57 (12–564)
Prothrombin time (s)		12.3 (8.9–32.9)
AFP (ng/mL)		239 (1–3,637,000)
Hepatitis B, %	361 (81.1)	
Hepatitis C, %	29 (6.5)	
Cirrhosis, %	260 (58.4)	
Ascites	111 (24.9)	
Vascular Involvement	144 (32.4)	
Tumor number (Single : Multiple)	165 : 280	
Child-Pugh Class		
A	303 (68.1)	
B	125 (28.1)	
C	17 (3.8)	
AJCC stage		
I	17 (3.8)	
II	112 (23.1)	
III	57 (12.9)	
IV	259 (58.2)	
Okuda stage		
I	203 (45.6)	
II	202 (45.4)	
III	40 (9.0)	
CUPI		
Low risk	224 (50.3)	
Medium risk	164 (36.9)	
High risk	57 (12.8)	
CLIP score		
0	57 (12.8)	
1	86 (19.3)	
2	105 (23.6)	
3	96 (21.6)	
4	64 (14.4)	
5	34 (7.6)	
6	3 (0.7)	
BCLC stage		
A	64 (14.4)	
B	107 (24.0)	
C	251 (56.4)	
D	23 (5.2)	
IL-8 (pg/mL)		74.8 (2.0–1670.5)
Log IL-8		1.87 (0.31–3.22)
<1.8	207 (46.5)	
≥ 1.8	238 (53.5)	

(Continued)

Table 2 (Continued)

Factors	No. (%)	Median (range)
Modified inflammation-based index		
0	109 (24.5)	
1	127 (28.5)	
2	123 (27.6)	
3	86 (19.3)	
C-reactive protein (mg/L)		11.13 (0.05–17.62)
≤ 10	199 (44.7)	
> 10	246 (55.3)	
First-line treatment		
Surgical intervention	51 (11.5)	
Percutaneous RFA/ethanol injection	28 (6.3)	
LEM/TACE/TAE	110 (24.7)	
Systemic therapy	85 (19.1)	
Best supportive care	171 (38.4)	

Abbreviations: AFP, α -fetoprotein; AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; ALT, alanine transaminase; BCLC, Barcelona clinic liver cancer; CLIP, the Cancer of the Liver Italian Program; CUPI, the Chinese University Prognostic Index; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; LEM, transarterial lipiodol-ethanol mixture; RFA, radiofrequency ablation; TACE, transarterial chemoembolization; TAE, transarterial embolization.

therapy, 85 (19%) had systemic therapy, and 171 (38%) had best supportive care alone. The mean C30 index score was 30.4 (± 19.5), and the mean HCC18 index score was 25.1 (± 17.1). The median follow-up duration was 30.5 (95% CI=27.5–33.7) months. The median OS was 8.9 (95% CI=7.3–10.4) months.

Correlation between HRQoL and IL-8 level

The mean IL-8 level was 128.4 (± 171.7) pg/ml, and the mean log IL-8 value was 1.84 (± 0.51) pg/ml. HRQoL was significantly correlated with log IL-8 level (see Table 4). Log IL-8 had positive and significant correlations with C30 index score, HCC18 index score, QLQ-C30 “fatigue”, “nausea and vomiting”, “pain”, “dyspnea”, “insomnia”, “appetite loss”, “diarrhea”, and “financial difficulty”, QLQ-HCC18 “fatigue”, “body image”, “jaundice”, “nutrition”, “pain”, “sex life”, and “abdominal swelling” ($P < 0.05$). Log IL-8 had a negative and significant correlation with QLQ-C30 “physical functioning”, “role functioning”, “emotional functioning”, “social functioning”, and “global QoL”. That is, a higher log IL-8 level was correlated with worse HRQoL. The strongest correlations were detected with HCC18 index score, where the Pearson’s correlation coefficient was $r = 0.321$ ($P < 0.0001$); the corresponding result for C30 index score was 0.306 ($P < 0.0001$); for QLQ-C30 “appetite loss”, $r = 0.322$ ($P < 0.0001$); for QLQ-C30 “fatigue”, $r = 0.311$ ($P < 0.0001$); for QLQ-C30 “role functioning”, $r = -0.305$ ($P < 0.0001$); for QLQ-HCC18 “nutrition”,

Table 3 Health-related QoL characteristics of the 455 HCC patients based on EORTC QLQ-C30 and EORTC QLQ-HCC18 assessment

QoL variable	Mean	±SD	Median	Min.	Max.
EORTC QLQ-C30					
Physical functioning	72.28	23.96	80.00	0.00	100.00
Role functioning	75.06	32.18	100.00	0.00	100.00
Emotional functioning	70.45	25.52	75.00	0.00	100.00
Social functioning	77.08	24.59	83.33	0.00	100.00
Cognitive function	68.58	30.28	66.67	0.00	100.00
Global QoL	52.53	26.38	50.00	0.00	100.00
Fatigue	42.56	29.81	33.33	0.00	100.00
Nausea/vomiting	11.01	21.28	0.00	0.00	100.00
Pain	32.10	31.55	33.33	0.00	100.00
Dyspnoea	29.74	31.27	33.33	0.00	100.00
Insomnia	40.90	35.87	33.33	0.00	100.00
Appetite loss	31.84	35.62	33.33	0.00	100.00
Constipation	16.63	26.72	0.00	0.00	100.00
Diarrhea	16.10	26.52	0.00	0.00	100.00
Financial difficulties	51.16	37.26	66.67	0.00	100.00
C30 index score	30.40	19.45	26.52	0.00	90.37
EORTC QLQ-HCC18					
Fatigue	34.77	25.79	33.33	0.00	100.00
Body image	25.24	22.78	16.67	0.00	100.00
Jaundice	23.48	22.23	16.67	0.00	100.00
Nutrition	26.88	21.40	20.00	0.00	100.00
Pain	23.26	24.44	16.67	0.00	100.00
Fever	6.37	14.48	0.00	0.00	100.00
Sex life	28.31	34.40	0.00	0.00	100.00
Abdominal swelling	32.66	35.12	33.33	0.00	100.00
HCC18 index score	25.12	17.08	21.94	0.00	87.50

Abbreviations: EORTC, European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; QoL, quality of life.

$r=0.317$ ($P<0.0001$); and for QLQ-HCC18 “fatigue”, $r=0.306$ ($P<0.0001$). Scatter plots for C30 and HCC18 index scores against log IL-8 are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. Additional analyses were conducted using logistic regression, and findings of univariate and multivariate analyses support the above findings (see Tables S1 and S2).

Correlation between HRQoL and mIBI

HRQoL domains/items were significantly correlated with mIBI (see Table 5). Two hundred and nine patients (47%) had mIBI 2–3. Compared to patients with mIBI 0–1, those with mIBI 2–3 had significantly worse mean C30 index score and HCC18 index score (Student’s t -test $P<0.0001$). mIBI 2–3 was also significantly associated with HRQoL domain/item scores in QLQ-C30 “physical functioning”, “role functioning”, “social functioning”, “global QoL”, “fatigue”, “nausea and vomiting”, “pain”, “dyspnea”, “insomnia”, “appetite loss”, “diarrhea”, and “financial difficulty” (Student’s t -test

$P<0.001$). Finally, on analysis with QLQ-HCC18, mIBI was significantly associated with “fatigue”, “body image”, “nutrition”, “pain”, “fever”, “sex life”, and “abdominal swelling” (Student’s t -test $P<0.03$). In summary, patients with higher mIBI score (ie, higher inflammatory status) had worse HRQoL. Additional analyses were conducted using logistic regression, and findings of univariate and multivariate analyses support the above findings (see Tables S3 and S4).

Discussion

In the present study, the correlations of HRQoL with inflammatory markers were investigated. C30 and HCC18 index scores, as well as the majority of the domains and items in the EORTC QLQ-C30 and QLQ-HCC18, were significantly correlated with IL-8 level and mIBI. These suggest the potential associations between HRQoL and inflammation, and since IL-8 and mIBI have both been previously shown to be prognosticators, it may help explain previous reports


Table 4 Pearson's correlation analyses between log IL-8 and HRQoL variables.

QoL variable	Pearson's <i>r</i>	P-value
EORTC QLQ-C30		
C30 Physical functioning	-0.275	<0.0001
C30 Role functioning	-0.305	<0.0001
C30 Emotional functioning	-0.096	0.043
C30 Cognitive functioning	-0.069	0.1441
C30 Social functioning	-0.244	<0.0001
C30 Global QoL	-0.25	<0.0001
C30 Fatigue	0.311	<0.0001
C30 Nausea/vomiting	0.194	<0.0001
C30 Pain	0.217	<0.0001
C30 Dyspnoea	0.177	0.0002
C30 Insomnia	0.165	0.0005
C30 Appetite loss	0.322	<0.0001
C30 Constipation	0.024	0.6186
C30 Diarrhea	0.116	0.0146
C30 Financial difficulty	0.208	<0.0001
C30 index-score	0.306	<0.0001
EORTC QLQ-HCC18		
HCC18 Fatigue	0.306	<0.0001
HCC18 Body image	0.267	<0.0001
HCC18 Jaundice	0.13	0.006
HCC18 Nutrition	0.317	<0.0001
HCC18 Pain	0.164	0.0005
HCC18 Fever	0.089	0.0619
HCC18 Sex life	0.138	0.0034
HCC18 Abdominal swelling	0.29	<0.0001
HCC18 index-score	0.321	<0.0001


Abbreviations: EORTC, European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; QoL, quality of life.

in which HRQoL was found to be a significant prognostic factor for survival in HCC patients that was independent of stage and liver function.⁶⁻⁹

C30 and HCC18 index scores were the strongest prognostic HRQoL factors for OS in HCC patients in a previous study;⁹ the underlying reason has been suggested to be due to their representativeness of all factors within their respective HRQoL instruments.⁹ In the current analysis, the performance of these two index scores remained better than the majority of individual HRQoL factors. This further supports the representative power of these two index scores. As mentioned previously, the simplicity of a single index score, in contrast to a large collection of scores in an HRQoL instrument, enables convenient utilization of complex HRQoL data for routine clinical use. Since QoL index scores have a significant correlation with IL-8 level and mIBI, we tentatively suggest that the inflammatory status of an individual patient may affect his/her HRQoL.

**Figure 1** Scatter plot of C30 index scores against log IL-8 levels of the 455 HCC patients.

Abbreviation: HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma.

**Figure 2** Scatter plot of HCC18 index scores against log IL-8 levels of the 455 HCC patients.

Abbreviation: HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma.

Although the majority of factors in QLQ-C30 and QLQ-HCC18 have significant correlations with IL-8 level, it is noteworthy that quite a number of these correlations, which were mainly liver-disease related, were only modest. Among the strongest correlations with IL-8 were QLQ-C30 fatigue, QLQ-HCC18 fatigue, QLQ-C30 appetite loss, QLQ-HCC18 nutrition, and QLQ-C30 role functioning. These factors reflect constitutional symptoms, eg, malaise, anorexia, weight loss, and cachexia. Inflammatory response, as represented by IL-8 level, could potentially cause constitutional symptoms, thereby resulting in QoL impairment that was captured by the present study. In other words, the inflammatory status of HCC patients was associated with a specific pattern of HRQoL impairment, predominantly involving adverse effects on constitutional symptoms but not on factors associated with liver disease-related symptoms. When paralleled with molecular findings, traditional HRQoL assessment has gained

Table 5 Student's *t*-test for HRQoL scores according to mBI scores 0–1 vs 2–3.

QoL variable	mBI 0–1	mBI 2–3	P-value
EORTC QLQ-C30			
C30 Physical functioning	80.0 (19.8)	63.6 (25.3)	<0.0001
C30 Role functioning	85.3 (25.1)	63.5 (35.3)	<0.0001
C30 Emotional functioning	71.8 (23.5)	68.9 (27.6)	0.2329
C30 Cognitive functioning	79.1 (23.7)	74.8 (25.4)	0.0658
C30 Social functioning	76.2 (26.2)	60.0 (32.2)	<0.0001
C30 Global QoL	58.9 (23.9)	45.3 (27.2)	<0.0001
C30 Fatigue	33.4 (27.4)	52.9 (29.1)	<0.0001
C30 Nausea/vomiting	6.1 (14.1)	16.6 (26.1)	<0.0001
C30 Pain	24.7 (28.5)	40.4 (32.8)	<0.0001
C30 Dyspnoea	24.6 (28.7)	35.6 (33.1)	0.0002
C30 Insomnia	35.7 (34.0)	46.7 (37.1)	0.0012
C30 Appetite loss	21.2 (30.3)	43.9 (37.4)	<0.0001
C30 Constipation	16.0 (26.7)	17.4 (26.8)	0.5753
C30 Diarrhea	13.0 (23.2)	19.6 (29.5)	0.0094
C30 Financial difficulty	44.5 (36.3)	58.7 (37.0)	<0.0001
C30 Index-score	24.5 (16.5)	37.0 (20.4)	<0.0001
EORTC QLQ-HCC18			
HCC18 Fatigue	27.1 (22.1)	43.4 (26.9)	<0.0001
HCC18 Body image	18.7 (20.2)	32.6 (23.3)	<0.0001
HCC18 Jaundice	21.8 (20.2)	25.4 (24.2)	0.0840
HCC18 Nutrition	20.6 (18.0)	34.0 (22.7)	<0.0001
HCC18 Pain	19.3 (21.9)	27.8 (26.3)	0.0003
HCC18 Fever	4.6 (12.9)	8.3 (15.9)	0.0065
HCC18 Sex life	24.7 (31.5)	32.4 (37.1)	0.0202
HCC18 swelling	22.0 (29.7)	44.7 (36.9)	<0.0001
HCC18 Index-score	19.8 (14.6)	31.1 (17.7)	<0.0001

Notes: Data are presented as mean (SD).

Abbreviations: EORTC, European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; mBI, modified inflammation-based index; QoL, quality of life.

a new level of understanding: pattern recognition within an HRQoL instrument could identify patients with more severe inflammatory state. From another perspective, since measurement of cytokines is not routinely available, assessing HRQoL may be a good indicator of the inflammatory status of an individual patient.

The present study is limited by having analyzed only two inflammatory indicators, IL8 and mBI. Nonetheless, data suggests that the inflammatory process could potentially be a contributing factor to poor HRQoL in patients with HCC. Further studies with other inflammatory indicators are needed.

It has been demonstrated that using interventional therapies such as exercise programs or anti-inflammatory medication could lower certain cytokine levels, thereby improving the HRQoL of cancer patients.^{33–36} Findings from the current study support further investigation to determine the role of therapeutic intervention against inflammatory processes in alleviating constitutional symptoms, thereby improving patient's HRQoL.

Conclusion

Baseline HRQoL using conventional assessment of EORTC QLQ-C30 and QLQ-HCC18, as well as C30 and HCC18 index scores, significantly correlated with inflammatory indicators (IL-8 level and mBI) in HCC patients. Among the strongest correlations were those between IL-8 level and the two index scores, as well as HRQoL aspects that represent constitutional symptoms within the EORTC HRQoL instruments. The current findings bring forth insights to traditional HRQoL assessment, and could potentially revolutionize the understanding and utility of HRQoL in HCC patients: pattern recognition within a HRQoL instrument could help to identify patients with more severe inflammatory state.

Author contributions

Leung Li, Winnie Yeo, Stephen L Chan, Frankie Mo, Edwin P Hui, Jane Koh, Allen KC Chan, Nelson LS Tang, Kit F Lee, Paul BS Lai, and Simon CH Yu contributed to the following: substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; final approval of the version to be published; and agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. Winnie Yeo provided general supervision to the research group.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. *Int J Cancer*. 2015;136(5):E359–E386.
- Kim UB, Doo CJ, Baik SH, et al. Natural history and prognostic factors of primary hepatocellular carcinoma: study of 70 untreated patients. *Korean J Intern Med*. 1989;4(2):136–142.
- Calvet X, Bruix J, Ginés P, et al. Prognostic factors of hepatocellular carcinoma in the West: a multivariate analysis in 206 patients. *Hepatology*. 1990;12(4 Pt 1):753–760.
- Pawarode A, Voravud N, Sriuranpong V, Kullavanijaya P, Patt YZ. Natural history of untreated primary hepatocellular carcinoma: a retrospective study of 157 patients. *Am J Clin Oncol*. 1998;21(4):386–391.
- Okuda K, Ohtsuki T, Obata H, et al. Natural history of hepatocellular carcinoma and prognosis in relation to treatment study of 850 patients. *Cancer*. 1985;56(4):918–928.
- Yeo W, Mo FK, Koh J, et al. Quality of life is predictive of survival in patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Oncol*. 2006;17(7):1083–1089.
- Bonnetain F, Paoletti X, Collette S, et al. Quality of life as a prognostic factor of overall survival in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: results from two French clinical trials. *Qual Life Res*. 2008;17(6):831–843.

8. Diouf M, Filleron T, Barbare JC, et al. The added value of quality of life (QOL) for prognosis of overall survival in patients with palliative hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol*. 2013;58(3):509–521.
9. Li L, Mo FK, Chan SL, et al. Prognostic values of EORTC QLQ-C30 and QLQ-HCC18 index-scores in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma - clinical application of health-related quality-of-life data. *BMC Cancer*. 2017;17(1):8.
10. Li L, Yeo W. Value of quality of life analysis in liver cancer: a clinician's perspective. *World J Hepatol*. 2017;9(20):867–883.
11. Greten TF, Duffy AG, Korangy F. Hepatocellular carcinoma from an immunologic perspective. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2013;19(24):6678–6685.
12. Wan S, Kuo N, Kryczek I, Zou W, Welling TH. Myeloid cells in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology*. 2015;62(4):1304–1312.
13. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell*. 2011;144(5):646–674.
14. Hernandez-Gea V, Toffanin S, Friedman SL, Llovet JM. Role of the microenvironment in the pathogenesis and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology*. 2013;144(3):512–527.
15. Arihara F, Mizukoshi E, Kitahara M, et al. Increase in CD14+HLA-DR⁻/low myeloid-derived suppressor cells in hepatocellular carcinoma patients and its impact on prognosis. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2013;62(8):1421–1430.
16. Chew V, Tow C, Teo M, et al. Inflammatory tumour microenvironment is associated with superior survival in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. *J Hepatol*. 2010;52(3):370–379.
17. Jang JW, Oh BS, Kwon JH, et al. Serum IL-6 and C-reactive protein as a prognostic indicator in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cytokine*. 2012;60(3):686–693.
18. Cho HJ, Kim SS, Ahn SJ, et al. Low serum IL-6 levels as a predictive marker of recurrence in patients with hepatitis B virus related hepatocellular carcinoma who underwent curative treatment. *Cytokine*. 2015;73(2):245–252.
19. Qiu X, Wang X, Song Y, Chen L. Plasma level of IL-35 as an independent prognostic indicator in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2016;61(12):3513–3521.
20. Chen ZY, Wei W, Guo ZX, et al. Using multiple cytokines to predict hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence in two patient cohorts. *Br J Cancer*. 2014;110(3):733–740.
21. Welling TH, Fu S, Wan S, Zou W, Marrero JA. Elevated serum IL-8 is associated with the presence of hepatocellular carcinoma and independently predicts survival. *Cancer Invest*. 2012;30(10):689–697.
22. Kim SS, Cho HJ, Won JH, et al. IL-8 level as a prognostic marker in patients with hepatitis B virus-associated hepatocellular carcinoma treated with transarterial chemoembolization. *Cytokine*. 2015;76(2):449–457.
23. Chan SL, Chan AW, Chan AK, et al. Systematic evaluation of circulating inflammatory markers for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Liver Int*. 2017;37(2):280–289.
24. Sternby Eilard M, Hagström H, Mortensen KE, et al. Quality of life as a prognostic factor for survival in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Liver Int*. 2018;38(5):885–894.
25. Oliveira Miranda D, Soares de Lima TA, Ribeiro Azevedo L, Feres O, Ribeiro da Rocha JJ, Pereira-da-Silva G. Proinflammatory cytokines correlate with depression and anxiety in colorectal cancer patients. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014;2014(5):1–5.
26. Kao SC, Vardy J, Harvie R, et al. Health-related quality of life and inflammatory markers in malignant pleural mesothelioma. *Support Care Cancer*. 2013;21(3):697–705.
27. Lynch Kelly D, Lyon DE, Ameringer SA, Elswick RK. Symptoms, cytokines, and quality of life in patients diagnosed with chronic graft-versus-host disease following allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2015;42(3):265–275.
28. Panju AH, Danesh A, Minden MD, Kelvin DJ, Alibhai SM. Associations between quality of life, fatigue, and cytokine levels in patients aged 50+ with acute myeloid leukemia. *Support Care Cancer*. 2009;17(5):539–546.
29. Paulsen Ø, Laird B, Aass N. The relationship between pro-inflammatory cytokines and pain, appetite and fatigue in patients with advanced cancer. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(5):e0177620.
30. Chiba F, Soda K, Yamada S, et al. The importance of tissue environment surrounding the tumor on the development of cancer cachexia. *Int J Oncol*. 2014;44(1):177–186.
31. Aaronson NK, Ahmedzai S, Bergman B, et al. The European Organization for research and treatment of cancer QLQ-C30: a quality-of-life instrument for use in international clinical trials in oncology. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1993;85(5):365–376.
32. Blazeby JM, Currie E, Zee BC, et al. Development of a questionnaire module to supplement the EORTC QLQ-C30 to assess quality of life in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, the EORTC QLQ-HCC18. *Eur J Cancer*. 2004;40(16):2439–2444.
33. Oh B, Butow P, Mullan B, et al. Impact of medical Qigong on quality of life, fatigue, mood and inflammation in cancer patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Oncol*. 2010;21(3):608–614.
34. Sprod LK, Janelsins MC, Palesh OG, et al. Health-related quality of life and biomarkers in breast cancer survivors participating in tai chi chuan. *J Cancer Surviv*. 2012;6(2):146–154.
35. Hojan K, Kwiatkowska-Borowczyk E, Leporowska E, Milecki P. Inflammation, cardiometabolic markers, and functional changes in men with prostate cancer. A randomized controlled trial of a 12-month exercise program. *Pol Arch Intern Med*. 2017;127(1):25–35.
36. Panahi Y, Saadat A, Beiraghdar F, Sahebkar A. Adjuvant therapy with bioavailability-boosted curcuminoids suppresses systemic inflammation and improves quality of life in patients with solid tumors: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Phytother Res*. 2014;28(10):1461–1467.

Supplementary materials

Table S1 Univariate logistic regressions of HRQoL variables for log IL-8 ≥ 1.8

QoL variable	OR	95% CI for OR	P-value
C30 Physical functioning	0.981	0.972–0.989	<0.0001
C30 Role functioning	0.983	0.977–0.990	<0.0001
C30 Emotional functioning	0.995	0.987–1.002	0.1536
C30 Cognitive functioning	0.995	0.998–1.003	0.2292
C30 Social functioning	0.987	0.980–0.993	<0.0001
C30 Global QoL	0.982	0.974–0.989	<0.0001
C30 Fatigue	1.020	1.013–1.027	<0.0001
C30 Nausea/vomiting	1.020	1.009–1.030	0.0002
C30 Pain	1.014	1.008–1.020	<0.0001
C30 Dyspnoea	1.009	1.003–1.015	0.0054
C30 Insomnia	1.009	1.003–1.014	0.0016
C30 Appetite loss	1.017	1.011–1.023	<0.0001
C30 Constipation	1.001	0.994–1.008	0.6989
C30 Diarrhea	1.009	1.002–1.016	0.0178
C30 Financial difficulty	1.010	1.005–1.015	0.0002
C30 index-score	1.030	1.019–1.041	<0.0001
HCC18 Fatigue	1.024	1.015–1.032	<0.0001
HCC18 Body image	1.020	1.011–1.030	<0.0001
HCC18 Jaundice	1.009	1.000–1.017	0.0498
HCC18 Nutrition	1.032	1.021–1.043	<0.0001
HCC18 Pain	1.011	1.003–1.019	0.0071
HCC18 Fever	1.020	1.004–1.035	0.0107
HCC18 Sex life	1.004	0.998–1.009	0.1723
HCC18 Abdominal swelling	1.014	1.008–1.020	<0.0001
HCC18 Index-score	1.034	1.021–1.047	<0.0001

Abbreviations: HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; QoL, quality of life.

Table S2 Multiple logistic regressions of HRQoL variables for log IL-8 ≥ 1.8

QoL variable	OR	95% CI for OR	P-value
C30 Fatigue	1.012	1.004–1.021	0.0055
C30 Appetite loss	1.011	1.004–1.019	0.0021
HCC18 Nutrition	1.022	1.008–1.035	0.0013
HCC18 Fatigue	1.013	1.002–1.023	0.0163

Abbreviations: HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; QoL, quality of life.

Table S3 Univariate logistic regressions of HRQoL variables for mBI 2–3

QoL variable	OR	95% CI for OR	P-value
C30 Physical functioning	0.969	0.960–0.978	<0.0001
C30 Role functioning	0.977	0.971–0.984	<0.0001
C30 Emotional functioning	0.996	0.988–1.003	0.2282
C30 Cognitive functioning	0.993	0.985–1.000	0.0669
C30 Social functioning	0.982	0.975–0.988	<0.0001
C30 Global QoL	0.980	0.972–0.987	<0.0001
C30 Fatigue	1.024	1.017–1.031	<0.0001
C30 Nausea/vomiting	1.027	1.016–1.038	<0.0001
C30 Pain	1.017	1.010–1.023	<0.0001
C30 Dyspnoea	1.012	1.005–1.018	0.0003
C30 Insomnia	1.009	1.003–1.014	0.0013
C30 Appetite loss	1.019	1.013–1.025	<0.0001
C30 Constipation	1.002	0.995–1.009	0.5740
C30 Diarrhea	1.010	1.002–1.017	0.0093
C30 Financial difficulty	1.011	1.005–1.016	<0.0001
C30 index-score	1.037	1.026–1.049	<0.0001
HCC18 Fatigue	1.027	1.019–1.036	<0.0001
HCC18 Body image	1.030	1.020–1.039	<0.0001
HCC18 Jaundice	1.008	0.999–1.016	0.0818
HCC18 Nutrition	1.033	1.023–1.044	<0.0001
HCC18 Pain	1.015	1.007–1.023	0.0003
HCC18 Fever	1.019	1.005–1.034	0.0080
HCC18 Sex life	1.007	1.001–1.012	0.0196
HCC18 Abdominal swelling	1.020	1.014–1.026	<0.0001
HCC18 Index-score	1.044	1.031–1.057	<0.0001

Abbreviations: HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; mBI, modified inflammation-based index; QoL, quality of life.

Table S4 Multiple logistic regressions of HRQoL variables for mBI 2–3

QoL variable	OR	95% CI for OR	P-value
C30 Physical functioning	0.984	0.973–0.996	0.0074
C30 Role functioning	0.989	0.981–0.998	0.0150
C30 Appetite loss	1.010	1.004–1.017	0.0028
HCC18 Abdominal swelling	1.012	1.005–1.019	0.0013
HCC18 Nutrition	1.015	1.001–1.028	0.0327
HCC18 Fatigue	1.012	1.001–1.023	0.0347

Abbreviations: HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; mBI, modified inflammation-based index; QoL, quality of life.

Cancer Management and Research

Publish your work in this journal

Cancer Management and Research is an international, peer-reviewed open access journal focusing on cancer research and the optimal use of preventative and integrated treatment interventions to achieve improved outcomes, enhanced survival and quality of life for the cancer patient. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/cancer-management-and-research-journal>

a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.