ORIGINAL RESEARCH Differential gene expression identifies KRT7 and MUC1 as potential metastasis-specific targets in sarcoma

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: Cancer Management and Research

Long Jiang D^{[1,](#page-0-0)}* Bhairavi Tolani^{[1,](#page-0-0)}* Che-Chung Yeh^{[1](#page-0-0)} Yanying Fan D Joseph A Reza Andrew E Horvai^{[2](#page-0-1)} Endi Xia^{[1](#page-0-0)} Johannes R Kratz^{[1](#page-0-0)} David M Jablons^{[1](#page-0-0)} Michael J Mann^{[1](#page-0-0)}

1 Thoracic Oncology Program, Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94115, USA; ²Department of Pathology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Bhairavi Tolani; Michael J Mann

Thoracic Oncology Program, Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94115, USA Tel +1 415 885 3882 Email Bhairavi.Tolani@ucsf.edu; Michael.Mann@ucsf.edu

Background: Despite numerous discoveries regarding the molecular genesis and progression of primary cancers, the biology of metastasis remains poorly understood. Compared to very large numbers of circulating tumor cells that are now known to accompany nearly all cancers, a relatively limited number of lesions actually develop in most patients with metastases. We hypothesized that phenotypic changes driven by differential gene expression in a finite subpopulation of tumor cells render those cells capable of metastasis and sought to identify key pathways through analysis of gene expression in primary and metastatic lesions from the same patients.

Methods: We compared whole-genome expression in 4 matched samples of primary and metastatic sarcoma, then evaluated candidate genes with differential expression via quantitative PCR in 30 additional matched sets, tumor tissue immunostaining, siRNA loss-of-function in a sarcoma cell migration assay, and clinical correlation with overall and disease-free survival after metastasectomy.

Results: Comparison of microarray signals identified differential expression of cell adhesion genes, including upregulation of KRT7 and MUC1 in metastases; KRT7 and MUC1 upregulation was confirmed in 22 (73%) and 20 (67%) matched sets of metastatic/primary tumors, respectively. Silencing of KRT7 and MUC1 via targeted siRNAs suppressed sarcoma cell migration in vitro, and a significant correlation (two-sided) was observed between both KRT7 and MUC1 expression in metastases and overall patient survival.

Conclusion: KRT7 and MUC1 may play a significant role in enabling sarcoma metastasis, and they may therefore be important prognostic biomarkers as well as potential targets for therapeutic prevention of metastasis.

Keywords: sarcomas, differential expression, metastasis, microarray, MUC1, KRT7

Introduction

Metastasis and invasion of adjacent vital structures are the primary causes of cancerrelated deaths. Metastasis is often associated with advanced growth of primary tumors, but in some cancers, such as small cell lung cancer, they can also be seen commonly when primary tumors are still small. Despite the importance of metastasis in defining the virulence of cancer and determining patient prognosis, little is understood about the biological and molecular mechanisms responsible for the emergence of metastasis during the natural history of malignancies, nor has it been established whether metastatic potential is an early or late phenotypic element in most cancers.^{1–[5](#page-8-1)}

Malignant tumors are known to consist of heterogeneous subpopulations of cells harboring a range of genetic, biochemical, and immunological characteristics, and

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com Cancer Management and Research 2019:11 8209–8218 8209
DovePress **Figure 19** 8209 8 2019 Jiang et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full te CC **D**S © 2019 Jiang et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php www.particle.com and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work
you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercia permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

gene expression analysis is a relatively recent addition to the profiling of tumor specimens. $6-8$ $6-8$ $6-8$ It has also relatively recently been observed that nearly all cancers are associated with the release of thousands of tumor cells into the systemic circulation; $9-12$ $9-12$ $9-12$ yet the number of macroscopic, detectable metastases in most patients is much smaller. Given the establishment of these two elements in our evolving understanding of tumor biology, we therefore hypothesized that only a small subpopulation of the cells shed from a primary tumor into the systemic circulation bear a specific metastatic phenotype that supports the development of a successful metastasis in distant tissues. We further hypothesized that analysis of differential patterns of gene expression between primary tumors and metastatic lesions from the same patients could begin to yield important indicators of molecular elements that define and characterize that phenotype. Prior studies have begun to examine potentially meaningful patterns of differential gene expression between primary tumors and their associated metastatic lesions.^{[13](#page-8-6)–[21](#page-9-0)} These studies. however, have remained largely descriptive and few have attempted to relate patterns of expression to clinical correlates or demonstrations of functional significance.

Sarcomas are rare malignant tumors of mesenchymal origin. Localized sarcomas can often be treated effectively with surgery and radiation. However, sarcoma metastasis, which develops most commonly in the lungs and occurs in roughly 35–40% of sarcomas, generally responds poorly to systemic therapies. Metastatic sarcoma is therefore asso-ciated with relatively poor prognosis.^{22[,23](#page-9-2)} As with other malignancies, a better understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms that contribute to metastases is required in order to develop more effective treatment strategies for sarcoma. The propensity of sarcomas to metastasize chiefly to the lungs has justified a more aggressive surgical approach to pulmonary metastasis. In this study, we analyzed matched pairs of primary and metastatic sarcomas to identify candidate genes that play an important role in this critically important yet poorly understood element of cancer biology.

Materials and methods

Patient samples

Snap-frozen, banked tumor samples and formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples were obtained from patients (age >18 years at the time of operation) who underwent resection of pulmonary metastatic sarcoma at the University of California San Francisco (UCSF) between 1997 and 2012. Demographics and pathologic characteristics were obtained through chart review. Vital status and date of death were determined by chart review, institutional cancer registry, California Death Records, and the Social Security Death Master File. The institutional review board at UCSF approved the study, UCSF IRB approval #10–01111, which was conducted in full accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Although the study was exempt from patient consent for de-identified, retrospective analysis of clinical data and FFPE tissue, patients provided written informed consent prior to intra-operative tissue specimen collection.

Microarray analyses

The mRNA expression level of samples was measured by Affymetrix GeneTitan Gene ST 1.1 array. Total RNA of tissues was extracted from tumor samples that had been snap frozen in the operating room in liquid nitrogen using Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit. A total of 250 ng of total RNA was amplified into cRNA and made into cDNA using the Ambion WT Expression Kit. A total of 5.5 µg of the cDNA was then fragmented using the Affymetrix GeneChip WT Terminal Labeling kit and confirmed by running on the Agilent Bioanalyzer using the RNA 6000 kit. The labeled fragmented cDNA was added into the hybridization cocktail that was prepared according to the protocol included in the Affymetrix GeneTitan Hybridization Wash and Stain kit. The samples were then put onto hybridization trays and loaded into the Affymetrix GeneTitan MC at the UCSF Thoracic Oncology Laboratory for hybridization, washing, and scanning. The Log2-scale expression data were extracted using the built-in Robust Multi-array Average (RMA) algorithm in the Affymetrix software. mRNA expression profiles were compared between matched primary and metastatic sarcoma tissue to obtain gene expression changes.

Cell lines and reagents

Sarcoma cell lines U2OS (osteosarcoma), MES-SA (uterine sarcoma), and SKUT1 (leiomyosarcoma) were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA), as was rhabdoid tumor cell line A204 (rhabdoid tumors bear a SMARCB1 mutation and are mostly found in small children). Cells were cultured with 10% fetal bovine serum and 2% antibiotics (Penicillin-Streptomycin) by following the manufacturer's recommendations for growth media.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from FFPE tissue according to the manufacturer's protocol by using a kit Ambion[®] (Life Technologies). Briefly, eight serial 10-μm FFPE sections were de-paraffinized, after which Proteinase K was added. After a few washes, total RNA was eluted and genomic DNA was digested by DNAse I. Subsequent RNA cleanup was performed by using an E.Z.N.A.® MicroElute RNA Clean Up Kit (Omega Bio-tek). RNA extracted from FFPE tissue samples was used only if a 260:280 ratio of 1.6 or greater was observed. The cDNA was transcribed by using an iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad) which served as a template for real-time PCR (Applied Biosystems 7000 sequence detection system). Real-time quantitative reverse transcript-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) for Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7 (KRT7), and Mucin-1 (MUC1) was performed with SYBR Green, using gene-specific PCR primers [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0). GAPDH was used as a housekeeping gene and data were analyzed using Relative Quantification Software (Applied Biosystems). The qRT-PCR data were presented as fold change in target gene expression±standard deviation. Realtime PCR reactions were performed in triplicate and the data were used to calculate fold change in target gene expression (mean±SD) after normalization with GAPDH, followed by presentation of the ratio of metastatic/primary levels.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining was performed using a mouse anti-KRT7/17 monoclonal antibody (Invitrogen) and a mouse anti-MUC-1 monoclonal antibody (R&D Systems) at dilutions of 1:100–200. Five μm tissue sections from primary tumor, metastatic tumor, and adjacent normal lung tissue were de-paraffinized using xylene. Heatmediated antigen retrieval was performed using sodium citrate buffer (10 mM sodium citrate, 0.05% Tween 20, pH 6.0). Antibody staining was visualized with DAB (Histostain Plus Broad Spectrum, Invitrogen) and hematoxylin counterstain (Fisher Scientific). Representative images

at 400× were examined for positive staining in each primary sarcoma and matched metastatic sarcoma.

RNA interference (RNAi)

Cells were grown in 6-well plates and transiently transfected with KRT7 or MUC1-specific small interfering RNA (siRNA). The siRNA oligos were obtained from Sigma (KRT7 siRNA: SASI Hs02 00339809 & SASI_Hs01_00243071; MUC1 siRNA: TRCN0000122938; siRNA Universal Negative Control #1). Lipofectamine RNAiMAX Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen) was used for siRNA transfection according to the manufacturer's protocol. Twenty-four hours after siRNA transfection, confluent cells were subjected to wound-healing assay.

Wound-healing assay

Wound healing was assessed as previously described.^{[24](#page-9-3)} Briefly, cells were seeded into culture dishes to obtain a confluent monolayer. After incubating overnight at 37°C, the cell monolayer was washed with PBS and replaced with reduced serum medium (2%). Cells were allowed to acclimatize to low serum conditions for 24 hrs. The cell monolayer was scratched in lines of equal width with a 200 μL pipette tip and debris was removed by washing with 500 μL of PBS followed by addition of reduced serum medium. This was designated as the 0 hr time-point and images were acquired using a light microscope. Cells were then incubated and images were acquired at 24 and 48 hrs. To quantify the migration, four wounds were made for each condition, and cell migration was calculated by the average of differences in distance between 48 hr and 0 hr time-points. Three measurements were made per scratch. All experiments were conducted more than three times, and representative results are presented.

Western blotting

Total protein from KRT7 or MUC1 siRNA transfected whole-cell lysates was prepared with M-PER buffer (ThermoFisher Scientific) and supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche). Subsequently, protein concentrations were determined via a Bradford assay.

Table 1 Summary of genes and sequences of primers used for qRT-PCR

Gene	Forward primer	Reverse primer
GAPDH	CAATGACCCCTTCATTGACCC	GACAAGCTTCCCGTTCTCAG
KRT7	CATCGAGATCGCCACCTACC	GATATTCACGGCTCCCACTCC
MUCI	TCGTAGCCCCTATGAGAAGG	CCACTGCTGGGTTTGTGTAA

Equal quantities of proteins were combined with 5X protein loading buffer and separated by SDS-PAGE followed by PVDF membrane transfer. Membranes were blocked with 5% milk followed by incubation with appropriate antibodies. Primary antibodies used in these experiments were: KRT7 (Thermo Scientific #MA1-19045), MUC1 (Cell Signaling #14161T), and GAPDH (Ambion). Blots were developed with ECL Reagents (Pierce).

Data analysis

For each gene of interest, expression levels in primary tumor and in metastatic tumor were normalized to mRNA from pooled normal lung tissue (Clontech). Gene expression in primary tumors, metastasis, and the ratio of gene expression in metastasis versus primary tumor were calculated. Gene–gene interactions were evaluated using the product of gene expression of two genes of interest (e.g., KRT7*MUC1 represented gene–gene interactions between KRT7 and MUC1). Overall and recurrence-free survival following surgical resection were assessed by Kaplan– Meier analysis using a right-censored dataset – high gene expression was defined as the upper quartile in each data set. Survival differences were evaluated using a log-rank test. Associations between gene expression and disease recurrence were evaluated using a Wilcoxon rank-sum test after testing for normalcy using a Shapiro–Wilk test.

For all statistical tests, a pre-specified two-sided α of 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant. All statistical analyses were conducted using STATA/MP 11 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Analysis of differential gene expression in sarcoma patient samples

To identify differential gene expression in paired primary and metastatic sarcomas, mRNA samples from 4 sets of patients who underwent surgical resection of both primary and pulmonary metastatic lesions were subjected to genome-wide oligonucleotide microarray analysis using the Affymetrix GeneTitan Gene ST platform ([Table S1](https://www.dovepress.com/get_supplementary_file.php?f=218676.docx)). For this genome-wide exploration, we chose to limit our microarray analysis to RNA from patients whose primary tumor tissue and pulmonary metastasis samples were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen in the operating room to ensure the best preservation of RNA subjected to this extensive analysis. Although our sample size of four patients did not support a rigorous statistical analysis of the subsequent microarray data, the data derived from this higher quality RNA served as a screening exploration for genes with potentially large discrepancies in their expression between primary tumor and metastasis [\(Table S2](https://www.dovepress.com/get_supplementary_file.php?f=218676.docx)).

Patient characteristics, sarcoma sub-type, and other clinical findings from these four patients with matched primary and metastatic tumor samples are summarized in [Table 2.](#page-3-0) We did observe a pattern of differentially regulated gene sets. From our array of 48,226 genes, there were 593 whose expression differed between primary tumor and metastasis ≥2 fold (444 up- and 149 downregulated in metastatic samples). In particular, we observationally noted several subgroups of related genes falling into various functional groupings, including cell adhesion, chemotaxis, immune function, chromatin assembly, and blood development [\(Figure 1A\)](#page-4-0). We focused on gene sets known to be regulated in response to adhesion modules specifically because aberration in cell–cell adhesion may be a critical factor contributing to tumor transformation, invasion, and metastasis. Among the top gene signatures identified by this analysis, we found 10 differentially regulated genes ([Figure 1B](#page-4-0)). We decided to focus on KRT7 and MUC1 because they are commonly coexpressed based on functional protein association networks available on the STRING database; this functional co-expression, along with their identification among the differentially expressed genes in our exploratory microarray analysis, might reflect a functional metastatic phenotype that involved expression of both of these genes. 25

Confirmation of KRT7 and MUC1 upregulation in sarcoma metastases compared to primary tumors

To confirm our microarray data, qRT-PCR analyses were performed on FFPE tissue from both metastatic and

Table 2 Patient characteristics in included gene expression microarray studies

Sex	Age	Ethnicity	Vital status	Pathology
м	44	Caucasian	Dead	Osteosarcoma
F	37	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Dead	Ewing's sarcoma
м	64	Caucasian	Dead	Metastatic chondrosarcoma
м	73	Caucasian	Alive	Metastatic chondrosarcoma

Figure I KRT7 and MUCI transcriptional and translational programs are upregulated in metastatic sarcoma. (A) Genome-wide expression profiling in sarcoma via an oligonucleotide microarray revealed gene sets that are up- or downregulated ≥2 fold in metastatic relative to matched primary tumors. We noted several subgroups of related genes falling into various functional groupings such as cell adhesion and others listed. (B) Selection of KRT7 and MUC1 among the top 10 differentially regulated genes as they are the only two which are commonly co-expressed based on functional protein association networks. (C) Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of KRT7 and MUC1 levels in matched metastatic and primary sarcoma tumors from 30 patient samples presented as a ratio of metastatic/primary levels. The results shown are representative of two independent experiments. Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded samples from primary and metastatic sarcomas were stained for expression of KRT7 (D) and MUC1 (E) via immunohistochemistry. The results shown are representative of two independent experiments.

primary sarcoma tumors from 30 patients across 13 sarcoma sub-types. Twenty-four (80%) were identified histologically as high-grade (grade 3 of 3), and 25 were soft tissue sarcomas [\(Table 3](#page-5-0)). KRT7 expression was increased significantly in 22 metastatic sarcomas compared with matched primary tumors (73%), 5 showed no change and 3 had a slight reduction ([Figure 1C](#page-4-0) top panel). The trend was similar for MUC1, with elevated expression in 20 tumors (67%), 3 that showed no change, and 7 that had a slight reduction ([Figure 1C](#page-4-0) bottom panel). Eighteen (60%)

Number of	Sarcoma sub-type	
patients		
	Alveolar soft part sarcoma (ASPS)	
3	Chondrosarcoma	
	Ewing sarcoma	
3	Leiomyosarcoma	
2	Liposarcoma	
	Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (MPNST)	
2	Myxofibrosarcoma	
	Angiosarcoma	
2	Osteosarcoma	
	Rhabdomyosarcoma	
	Round cell sarcoma	
4	Synovial sarcoma	
8	Undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (UPS)	
Sex	24 Male (80%); 6 Female (20%)	
Median/mean	$53.5/51 \pm 16$	
age		
Ethnicity	22 Caucasian (73%); 4 African American (13%); 2	
	Asian (7%); 2 Hispanic (7%)	
Vital status	26 Deceased (87%); 4 Alive (13%)	

Table 3 Summary of 30 patients characterized across13 sarcoma sub-types used for quantitative real-time PCR

of samples demonstrated relatively higher expression of both genes in metastases versus primary tumors. Immunohistochemical analysis of KRT7 and MUC1 protein expression in representative paired samples also gave consistent results indicating that both proteins were also upregulated at metastatic sites relative to the primary tumor [\(Figure 1D](#page-4-0) and [E](#page-4-0)).

Clinical correlations of KRT7 and MUC1 expression

The potential clinical significance of increased metastatic KRT7 and MUC1 expression was supported by Kaplan– Meier analysis of overall survival from the time of metastasectomy in patients whose metastatic lesions were in the highest quartiles of either KRT7 or MUC1 expression [\(Figure 2A](#page-5-1) and [B](#page-5-1)). Worse overall survival was associated with this high level of metastatic expression of either KRT7 ($P=0.01$) or MUC1 ($P=0.05$) compared to patients whose metastatic tumors had lower expression of these genes. Although there was no statistically significant association between the level of either KRT7 or MUC1 gene expression and recurrence of metastasis, interaction analysis suggested that an overlap of high expression of these 2 genes was associated with significantly more rapid recurrence within 12 months of resection ([Figure 3A,](#page-6-0) $P=0.0001$). Interestingly, patients who experienced a recurrence of their sarcoma metastases also had higher expression of MUC1 $(P=0.02)$, but not of KRT7 $(P=0.28)$, in their primary tumors compared to patients who did not experience recurrent metastasis ([Figure 3B](#page-6-0) and [C](#page-6-0)).

Figure 2 Overall survival and expression level. Kaplan–Meier curves for overall survival in patients with high versus low levels of expression of KRT7 (A) or MUC1 (B) in their metastatic lesions.

Figure 3 Recurrence after initial metastasectomy. (A) Disease-free survival after initial metastasectomy in patients with a high versus low interaction term for KRT7 and MUC1 expression in their resected metastatic sarcoma lesions. (B and C) Boxplots of relative gene expression of either KRT7 or MUC1 in the primary tumors of patients who either did (Yes) or did not (No) experience recurrence of their pulmonary metastasis after metastasectomy.

Suppression of KRT7 and MUC1 reduces sarcoma cell migration

Epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) occurs when cells lose their cell–cell adhesive properties followed by acquisition of migratory potential. EMT is required for wound healing, tumor invasion, metastasis, and cancer progression. Wound-healing assays can inform about EMT status and thus are used as a surrogate to assess metastatic potential in vitro. 26 In the event that sarcoma metastases are supported by the contributions of upregulated KRT7 and MUC1 expression, then silencing KRT7 and MUC1 by siRNAs would be expected to result in reduced EMT and therefore reduced wound healing. To test this hypothesis, we transiently transfected sarcoma cell lines with siRNAs targeting KRT7 and MUC1. Administration of KRT7 and MUC1 siRNA in U2OS osteosarcoma cells, but not control siRNA, reduced the expression of these target genes as determined by Western blotting [\(Figure 4A\)](#page-7-0). Importantly, these knockdowns of either KRT7 or MUC1 in U2OS osteosarcoma cells also resulted in a reduction in wound healing in an in vitro assay [\(Figure 4B\)](#page-7-0). Furthermore, in addition to this finding which we quantified in U2OS osteosarcoma cells [\(Figure 4C\)](#page-7-0), we observed the same trend in two soft tissue sarcoma cell lines, SKUT1 (leiomyosarcoma) and MES-SA (uterine sarcoma), and in rhabdoid tumor cell line A204 ([Figure 4D](#page-7-0)). These results suggest that KRT7 and MUC1 may also contribute to cell migration during metastases.

Discussion

The goal of this study was to find potential prognostic biomarkers of tumor metastasis and corresponding targets that might be amenable to therapeutic intervention. We began with sets of matched primary and metastatic tumor from four patients that had all been snap frozen in the operating room, and in which the mRNA was most likely better preserved than RNA that could be extracted from FFPE tissues obtained after standard pathology processing. We subjected the RNA extracted from these higher quality tissue sources to genome-wide array analysis, and we observed relevant gene networks with a subset of gene sets belonging to cell adhesion modules and cytoskeletal components.

It has been suggested that the expression levels of several genes related to cell adhesion can be used to predict clinical outcomes in patients with metastases. 27 Furthermore, recent studies revealed that MUC1 and KRT7 have been associated with tumor transformation, invasion, and metastasis in multi-ple other types of cancer.^{28–[35](#page-9-8)} We therefore selected KRT7 and MUC1 from among the genes found to be relatively upregulated in metastatic tissues as initial target genes in this study.

Many genes involved in cell adhesion, chemotaxis, and immunity have previously been reported to be overex-pressed in cancer cells.^{[27](#page-9-6)} For example, MUC1 showed enhanced expression in colorectal, renal, squamous cell and gastric, pancreatic carcinomas and lung metastases from melanoma, prostate, breast, and ovarian carcinomas

Figure 4 Gene knockdown of KRT7 and MUC1 blocks metastasis. (A) Western blot of U2OS sarcoma cell line subjected to gene knockdown using siRNA directed at KRT7 (Left panel) and MUC1 (Right panel). GAPDH was used as a loading control. (B) Cell migration/wound healing assay on U2OS osteosarcoma cells from (A) were analyzed at 0, 24, and 48 hrs (Left panel: control siRNA; Center panel: KRT7 siRNA; Right panel: MUC1 siRNA). Representative images from three independent experiments in osteosarcoma U2OS cells are presented and quantified in (C). (D) Relative cell migration/wound healing in several cell lines: leiomyosarcoma SKUT1 cells, rhabdoid tumor A204 cells, and uterine sarcoma MES-SA cells. The length at Day 2 is subtracted from the length at Day 0. The error bars represent standard deviation. Representative calculations from three independent experiments are presented.

compared with matched normal controls, and was associated with cellular transformation, invasion, and meta-static potential.^{[28](#page-9-7)–[35](#page-9-8)} MUC1 has both cell adhesive as well as anti-adhesive properties, and influences the RAS/ MAPK pathway in immune T-cells. $36-41$ $36-41$ $36-41$

KRT7 forms part of the cellular cytoskeleton and functions as a membrane-cytoskeletal linker which contributes to regulation of cell adhesion.^{[42](#page-9-11)} KRT7 shows enhanced expression in two cancer types, colorectal and esophageal squamous cell carcinomas, and is also associated with cellular transformation.[42](#page-9-11) Cell adhesion molecules are thought to play important roles not only in preserving tissue architecture but also in tumor progression, which includes changes in morphology, invasion, and metastasis. Cytoskeletal component levels, such as the degree of keratinization, have been reported to be valuable in identifying patients at risk for developing regional metastases. 27

Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of 30 patients' matched samples across 13 sarcoma sub-types validated our microarray finding of KRT7 and MUC1 upregulation in metastases versus primary sarcoma. Immunohistochemical staining also confirmed elevated protein expression of KRT7 and MUC1 in samples of metastatic sarcoma compared to matched primary tumor from the same patient. Finally, we found that suppression of KRT7 and MUC1 reduces cell migration in an in vitro wound healing/EMT assay, suggesting that changes in these proteins that are related to cell adhesive properties and cytoskeletal function may contribute to sarcoma cell mobility, migration, and metastases.

Interestingly, high KRT7 and MUC1 expression levels in sarcoma metastases were correlated for the first time with worse overall survival in sarcoma. Our data may also suggest that the interaction of high expression of both of these genes may also be associated with more rapid recurrence of metastatic disease. The very high recurrence rate for metastatic sarcoma suggests that more accurate prognostic analysis based on the expression levels of key metastatic factors might enhance personalization of treatment regimens after metastasectomy, including the balance of aggressive chemotherapeutic versus repeated surgical approaches. Additional studies will focus more attention

on expression profiles including KRT7, MUC1, and other metastasis-specific candidate genes.

Although accumulated evidence has previously shown that MUC1 and KRT7 are involved in the progression, invasion, and metastasis of human cancers, our study is one of the first attempts to characterize the difference in transcriptional profiles from metastatic sites in different patients with sarcoma and the first to implicate MUC1 and KRT7 in sarcoma metastasis. Our findings suggest that these differentially expressed genes may be important factors in tumor metastasis. Additional studies, with more tumor samples and additional clinical follow-up, as well as further corroboratory experiments, will help determine specific alternations at the molecular level in patients with metastasis. These studies, in turn, may provide a pathway for better prognostic tools and the identification of actionable biomarkers.

Conclusion

In conclusion, analysis of gene expression profiles by genome-wide microarray can provide useful information for clarifying the mechanism underlying the development and metastasis of sarcomas, not only revealing the differentially expressed genes, but also providing information for identifying novel diagnostic and therapeutic targets. Early evidence suggests that KRT7 and MUC1 maybe two such targets.

Abbreviations

KRT7, keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7; MUC1, Mucin-1; ASPS, alveolar soft part sarcoma; MFH, malignant fibrous histiocytoma; PNET, primitive neuroectodermal tumor; UPS, undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma; MPNST, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor; cDNA, complementary deoxyribonucleic acid; RNA, ribonucleic acid; mRNA, messenger ribonucleic acid; FFPE, formalinfixed, paraffin-embedded; qRT-PCR, quantitative realtime PCR; siRNA, small interfering ribonucleic acid; IHC, immunohistochemistry; SD, standard deviation.

Acknowledgment

We thank the Department of Pathology at the University of California, San Francisco for assistance with this study. This work was supported by Cardiothoracic Translational Research Laboratory funds at UCSF (MJM) and Kazan McClain Partners' Foundation (DMJ).

Author contributions

All authors contributed to data analysis, drafting or revising the article, gave final approval of the version to be published, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Koh KH, Rhee H, Kang HJ, et al. Differential gene expression profiles of metastases in paired primary and metastatic colorectal carcinomas. Oncology. [2008](#page-0-2);75(1–2):92–101. doi:[10.1159/00015](https://doi.org/10.1159/000155211) [5211](https://doi.org/10.1159/000155211)
- 2. Ramaswamy S, Ross KN, Lander ES, Golub TR. A molecular signature of metastasis in primary solid tumors. Nat Genet. 2003;33:49– 54. doi:[10.1038/ng1060](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1060)
- 3. Inamura K, Shimoji T, Ninomiya H, et al. A metastatic signature in entire lung adenocarcinomas irrespective of morphological heterogeneity. Hum Pathol. 2007;38(5):702–709. doi:[10.1016/j.humpath.20](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humpath.2006.11.019) [06.11.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humpath.2006.11.019)
- 4. Fidler IJ, Kripke ML. Metastasis results from preexisting variant cells within a malignant tumor. Science. 1977;197(4306):893–5.
- 5. van 't Veer LJ, Dai H, van de Vijver MJ, et al. Gene expression profiling predicts clinical outcome of breast cancer. Nature. [2002](#page-0-2);415:530–536. doi:[10.1038/415530a](https://doi.org/10.1038/415530a)
- 6. Park KJ, Zivanovic O, Konner J, et al. Heterogeneous tumor-immune microenvironments among differentially growing metastases in an ovarian cancer patient. Cell. [2017](#page-1-0);170(5):927–938. doi:[10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.07.025) [cell.2017.07.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.07.025)
- 7. Andor N, Harness JV, Müller S, Mewes HW, Petritsch C. EXPANDS: expanding ploidy and allele frequency on nested subpopulations. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(1):50–60. doi:[10.1093/bioinformatics/btt622](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt622)
- 8. Tse E, Kwong YL. T-cell lymphoma: microenvironment-related biomarkers. Semin Cancer Biol. [2015;](#page-1-0)34:46–51. doi:[10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2015.06.001) [semcancer.2015.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2015.06.001)
- 9. Pantel K, Speicher MR. The biology of circulating tumor cells. Oncogene. [2016](#page-1-1);35(10):1216–1224. doi:[10.1038/onc.2015.192](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2015.192)
- 10. Thierry AR, El Messaoudi S, Gahan PB, Anker P, Stroun M. Origins, structures, and functions of circulating DNA in oncology. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2016;35(3):347–376. doi:[10.1007/s10555-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10555-016-9629-x) [016-9629-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10555-016-9629-x)
- 11. Chang Y, Tolani B, Nie X, Zhi X, Hu M, He B. Review of the clinical applications and technological advances of circulating tumor DNA in cancer monitoring. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2017;13:1363–1374. doi:[10.2147/TCRM.S141991](https://doi.org/10.2147/TCRM.S141991)
- 12. Conti A, Fredolini C, Tamburro D, et al. Identification of novel candidate circulating biomarkers for malignant soft tissue sarcomas: correlation with metastatic progression. Proteomics. [2016;](#page-1-1)16(4):689– 697. doi:[10.1002/pmic.201500164](https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201500164)
- 13. Wang N, Zhou F, Xiong H, et al. Screening and identification of distant metastasis-related differentially expressed genes in human squamous cell lung carcinoma. Anat Rec (Hoboken). [2012](#page-1-2);295 (5):748–757. doi:[10.1002/ar.22441](https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.22441)
- 14. Xie HL, Li ZY, Gan RL, et al. Differential gene and protein expression in primary gastric carcinomas and their lymph node metastases as revealed by combined cDNA microarray and tissue microarray analysis. J Dig Dis. 2010;11(3):167–175. doi:[10.1111/j.1751-2980.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-2980.2010.00432.x) [2010.00432.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-2980.2010.00432.x)
- 15. Ohno Y, Izumi M, Tachibana M, et al. Characterization and gene expression analysis of novel matched primary and metastatic renal cell carcinoma cell lines. Oncol Rep. 2008;20(3):501–509.
- 16. Wang L, Zhu JS, Song MQ, Chen GQ, Chen JL. Comparison of gene expression profiles between primary tumor and metastatic lesions in gastric cancer patients using laser microdissection and cDNA microarray. World J Gastroenterol. 2006;12(43):6949–6954. doi:[10.3748/](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v12.i43.6949-Bellvis) [wjg.v12.i43.6949-Bellvis](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v12.i43.6949-Bellvis)
- 17. Unique gMuñoz L, Fontanillo C, González-González M, et al. Unique genetic profile of sporadic colorectal cancer liver metastasis versus primary tumors as defined by high-density single-nucleotide polymorphism arrays. Mod Pathol. 2012;25(4):590–601. doi:[10.1038/modpathol.2011.195](https://doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2011.195)
- 18. Belbin TJ, Singh B, Smith RV, et al. Molecular profiling of tumor progression in head and neck cancer. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2005;131(1):10–18. doi:[10.1001/archotol.131.1.10](https://doi.org/10.1001/archotol.131.1.10)
- 19. Ohali A, Avigad S, Zaizov R, et al. Prediction of high risk ewing's sarcoma by gene expression profiling. Oncogene. 2004;23(55):8997– 9006. doi:[10.1038/sj.onc.1208060](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1208060)
- 20. Naruke A, Azuma M, Takeuchi A, et al. Comparison of site-specific gene expression levels in primary tumors and synchronous lymph node metastases in advanced gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer. 2015;18 (2):262–270. doi:[10.1007/s10120-014-0357-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10120-014-0357-z)
- 21. Del Valle PR, Milani C, Brentani MM, et al. Transcriptional profile of fibroblasts obtained from the primary site, lymph node and bone marrow of breast cancer patients. Genet Mol Biol. [2014](#page-1-2);37(3):480–489.
- 22. Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin. [2012](#page-1-3);62(1):10–29. doi:[10.3322/caac.20138](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.20138)
- 23. Hoang NT, Acevedo LA, Mann MJ, Tolani B. A review of soft-tissue sarcomas: translation of biological advances into treatment measures. Cancer Manag Res. [2018;](#page-1-3)10:1089–1114. doi:[10.2147/CMAR.S159641](https://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S159641)
- 24. Liang CC, Park AY, Guan JL. In vitro scratch assay: a convenient and inexpensive method for analysis of cell migration in vitro. Nat Protoc. [2007;](#page-2-1)2(2):329–333. doi:[10.1038/nprot.2007.30](https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2007.30)
- 25. Szklarczyk D, Franceschini A, Wyder S, et al. STRING v10: proteinprotein interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life. Nucleic Acids Res. [2015](#page-3-1);43(Database issue):D447–52. Available from: [https://](https://string-db.org) string-db.org
- 26. Jolly MK, Ware KE, Gilja S, Somarelli JA, Levine H. EMT and MET: necessary or permissive for metastasis? Mol Oncol. [2017;](#page-6-1)11 (7):755–769. doi:[10.1002/1878-0261.12083](https://doi.org/10.1002/1878-0261.12083)
- 27. Martin TA, Ye L, Sanders AJ, et al. Madame curie bioscience database cancer. invasion and metastasis: molecular and cellular perspective. Landes Biosci. [2000-2013](#page-6-2). Available from: [https://www.ncbi.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK164700) [nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK164700.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK164700) Accesses Jan 30, 2019
- 28. Nakamori S, Ota DM, Cleary KR, Shirotani K, Irimura T. MUC1 mucin expression as a marker of progression and metastasis of human colorectal carcinoma. Gastroenterology. [1994;](#page-6-3)106(2):353–361. doi:[10.1016/0016-5085\(94\)90592-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-5085(94)90592-4)
- 29. Roy LD, Sahraei M, Subramani DB, et al. MUC1 enhances invasiveness of pancreatic cancer cells by inducing epithelial to mesenchymal transition. Oncogene. 2011;30(12):1449–1459. doi:[10.1038/onc.20](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2010.526) [10.526](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2010.526)
- 30. Wang X, Lan H, Li J, Su Y, Xu L. Muc1 promotes migration and lung metastasis of melanoma cells. Am J Cancer Res. 2015;5(9):2590–2604.
- 31. Shi M, Chen D, Yang D, Liu XY. CCL21-CCR7 promotes the lymph node metastasis of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma by up-regulating MUC1. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2015;34:149. doi:[10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-015-0268-9) [s13046-015-0268-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-015-0268-9)
- 32. Deng J, Wang L, Chen H, et al. The role of tumour-associated MUC1 in epithelial ovarian cancer metastasis and progression. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2013;32(3–4):535–551. doi:[10.1007/s10555-013-9423-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10555-013-9423-y)
- 33. Lee SH, Park HK, Kim JH, Han HS. Significance of MUC1 expression in biopsy specimens of submucosal invasive gastric carcinoma: the association with lymph node metastasis. Oncol Lett. 2015;10 (3):1437–1443. doi:[10.3892/ol.2015.3483](https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2015.3483)
- 34. Rausch S, Beermann J, Scharpf M, et al. Differential expression and clinical relevance of MUC1 in renal cell carcinoma metastasis. World J Urol. 2016;34(12):1635–1641. doi:[10.1007/s00345-016-1804-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00345-016-1804-8)
- 35. Ciborowski P, Finn OJ. Non-glycosylated tandem repeats of MUC1 facilitate attachment of breast tumor cells to normal human lung tissue and immobilized extracellular matrix proteins (ECM) in vitro: potential role in metastasis. Clin Exp Metastasis. [2002](#page-6-3);19 (4):339–345.
- 36. Kumar P, Ji J, Thirkill TL, Douglas GC. MUC1 is expressed by human skin fibroblasts and plays a role in cell adhesion and migration. Biores Open Access. [2014;](#page-7-1)3(2):45–52. doi:[10.1089/biores.2013.0045](https://doi.org/10.1089/biores.2013.0045)
- 37. Makiguchi Y, Hinoda Y, Imai K. Effect of MUC1 mucin, an antiadhesion molecule, on tumor cell growth. Jpn J Cancer Res. 1996;87 (5):505–511. doi:[10.1111/j.1349-7006.1996.tb00252.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1349-7006.1996.tb00252.x)
- 38. Singh PK, Hollingsworth MA. Cell surface-associated mucins in signal transduction. Trends Cell Biol. 2006;16(9):467–476. doi:[10.1016/j.tcb.2006.07.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2006.07.006)
- 39. Aaboe M, Marcussen N, Jensen KM, Thykjaer T, Dyrskjøt L, Orntoft TF. Gene expression profiling of noninvasive primary urothelial tumours using microarrays. Br J Cancer. 2005;93(10):1182–1190. doi:[10.1038/sj.bjc.6602813](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6602813)
- 40. Lim S, Nam H, Jeon JS. Chemotaxis model for breast cancer cells based on signal/noise ratio. Biophys J. 2018;S0006-3495(18): 31110–X.
- 41. Verdú M, Román R, Calvo M, et al. Clinicopathological and molecular characterization of colorectal micropapillary carcinoma. Mod Pathol. [2011](#page-7-1);24(5):729–738. doi:[10.1038/modpathol.2011.1](https://doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2011.1)
- 42. Sano M, Aoyagi K, Takahashi H, et al. Forkhead box A1 transcriptional pathway in KRT7-expressing esophageal squamous cell carcinomas with extensive lymph node metastasis. Int J Oncol. [2010;](#page-7-2)36(2):321–330.

Cancer Management and Research [Dovepress](http://www.dovepress.com)

Publish your work in this journal

Cancer Management and Research is an international, peer-reviewed open access journal focusing on cancer research and the optimal use of preventative and integrated treatment interventions to achieve improved outcomes, enhanced survival and quality of life for the cancer patient.

The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit<http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/cancer-management-and-research-journal