Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

The Factors Influencing the Renal Glucose Threshold in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

Shan-Shan Cui¹ Li-Jun Duan¹ Jun-Feng Li¹ Yong-Zhang Qin² Su-Qing Bao¹ Xia Jiang¹

¹Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Tianjin First Central Hospital, Tianjin, People's Republic of China; ²Department of Endocrinology, First Affiliated Hospital of Gannan Medical University, Ganzhou, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Shan-Shan Cui Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Tianjin First Central Hospital, No. 24 of Fukang Road, Nankai District, Tianjin, People's Republic of China Tel +86 15022353629 Email shanshan87@tom.com **Objective:** This study aims to explore the factors influencing the renal glucose threshold (RT_G) in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted on 1009 hospitalized patients with T2DM using stratified random sampling. Blood glucose was monitored using a dynamic blood glucose monitor to obtain the mean blood glucose (MBG), which is used to calculate the RT_G . The factors influencing the RT_G were then analyzed.

Results: The mean RT_G in patients with newly diagnosed T2DM was 203.58 ± 55.22 mg/dl. The correlation between the RT_G and the various variables was analyzed, and the results demonstrated that the RT_G was correlated with the patient's age (r = -0.14539, P = 0.0001); MBG (r = -0.35009, P = 0.0001); renal long neck (r = 0.16762, P = 0.0001); homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance (r = -0.38322, P = 0.0001); homeostatic model assessment for beta-cell function (r = -0.22770, P = 0.0001); and the levels of glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c; r = 0.98994, P = 0.0001), blood urea nitrogen (r = -0.11093, P = 0.0004), creatinine (r = -0.26414, P = 0.0001), uric acid (r = -0.20149, P = 0.0001), total cholesterol (r = 0.13192, P = 0.0001), low-density lipoprotein (r = 0.12466, P = 0.0001), thyroid-stimulating hormone (r = -0.06346, P = 0.0460), beta-2 microglobulin (r = -0.08884, P = 0.0056), and 24-hour urine glucose (r = 0.32115, P = 0.0001). Multiple linear stepwise regression analysis revealed that the HbA1c, 24-hour urine glucose, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), D-dimer, and body mass index (BMI) should be included in the final model, and HbA1c had the greatest impact on the RT_G followed in descending order by the 24-hour urine glucose, eGFR, D-dimer, and BMI (P < 0.05).

Conclusion: The RT_G increases in most patients with newly diagnosed diabetes. The risk factors for the RT_G are HbA1c, 24-hour urine glucose, eGFR, D-dimer, and BMI.

Keywords: newly diagnosed, type 2 diabetes mellitus, renal glucose threshold, continuous glucose monitoring

Introduction

The kidney plays an important role in the regulation of energy metabolism in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and its regulatory effects on glucose metabolism include gluconeogenesis, glucose utilization, glomerular filtration, and proximal convoluted tubule reabsorption.¹ The renal glucose threshold (RT_G) refers to the plasma glucose concentration at which glucose begins to appear in the urine. The physiological relationship between the plasma glucose concentration and the maximum renal glucose reabsorption is a threshold relationship, but in practice, the RT_G is variable. A study revealed that the RT_G in patients with T2DM is related to age, duration of the disease,

Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy 2021:14 4497–4503 4497 © 2021 Cui et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and incorporate the ferms. Non-commercial use of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited. Press Limited, Provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). and the body mass index (BMI).² But few studies have been conducted on the factors influencing the RT_G in patients with newly diagnosed T2DM. The results from an Italian study showed the clinical usefulness of Glycated Albumin for the diagnosis of diabetes in Caucasian subjects at risk for diabetes.³ This study discusses the relevant contents to provide a more specific theoretical basis and medication guidance for clinical practice.

Subjects and Methods Subjects

The stratified random sampling method was used to enroll 1009 patients with newly diagnosed T2DM who were admitted to the Tianjin First Central Hospital from January 2019 to April 2021, including 530 male and 479 female patients, with an average age of 58.08 ± 8.80 y. Exclusion criteria: Patients with diabetic ketoacidosis, nonketotic hyperosmolar coma, severe infection (especially urinary tract infection), acute cardiovascular or cerebrovascular accident, severe liver or kidney insufficiency, severe allergic reaction, drugs used to influence sugar metabolism, immunological diseases, pregnancy, acute complications of diabetes mellitus at admission to hospital, clinical evidence of renal injury (estimated glomerular filtration rate <90 mL/ min, urinary microalbumin-creatinine ratio >30 mg/g, abnormal pathological results or abnormal biochemical components of urine, or abnormal imaging findings), anemia (diagnostic criterion for males is hemoglobin [Hb] < 120 g/ L and females is Hb < 110 g/L); patients taking vitamin C, aspirin, and other drugs affecting urine sugar in the preceding month; and patients with a history of significant blood loss or receiving a blood transfusion within the preceding three months. This study was conducted with approval from the Ethics Committee of Tianjin First Central Hospital (No:2018N160KY), and all patients provided signed informed consent.

Research Methods

(1) Test index: The patient's height, body mass, waist circumference, and hip circumference were measured, and the BMI, waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) and waist-to-height ratio (WtHR) were calculated. At rest, brachial artery pressure (BP) was measured in the sitting position. Blood urea nitrogen (BUN), blood creatinine, blood uric acid, triglyceride, total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDLC), total protein, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) were detected using the

automatic biochemical analyzer. Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was detected by high performance liquid chromatography, and the 24-hour urine glucose was detected using the Roche analyzer: 24hUGE (mg/mL) = 24-hour urine glucose (g) \times 1000 \div 24 \times 60. Radioimmunoassay was performed to determine the 24-hour urinary microalbumin (24hUMA). The oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) and insulin release test: The subjects were fasted overnight for 8-12 hours and fasting blood samples were taken. Exactly 75 g of anhydrous glucose was dissolved in 250 mL of water, the subject drank the mixture within five minutes, and blood samples were taken at 30, 60, 120, and 180 min to measure blood glucose and C-peptide (CP) levels. The islet β -cell Phase I secretion function was evaluated using the modified insulin CP secretion function index: homeostatic model assessment (HOMA)-CP = $0.27 \times CP \ 0 \ \text{min} \div (PG \ 0 \ \text{min} -$ (3.5) + 50, and the HOMA-insulin resistance (IR) index = $(FPG \times FIns) \div 22.5.$

(2) Diagnostic criteria for obesity: normal weight is a BMI \geq 18.5 and <24.0 kg/m², overweight is a BMI \geq 24 and <28.0 kg/m², and obese is a BMI \geq 28.0 kg/m².

(3) The glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the CKD-EPI creatinine formula published in 2009:⁴

Male : eGFR

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 141x(\mathrm{Scr} \div 0.9)^{-0.411}x0.993^{\mathrm{age}} & \mathrm{Scr} \le 80\mathrm{umol/L} \\ 141x(\mathrm{Scr} \div 0.9)^{-1.209}x0.993^{\mathrm{age}} & \mathrm{Ser} > 80\mathrm{umol/L} \end{array} \right\}$$

Female : eGFR

$$= \begin{cases} 141x(\text{Scr} \div 0.7)^{-0.329}x0.993^{\text{age}} & \text{Scr} \le 62\text{umol/L} \\ 141x(\text{Scr} \div 0.7)^{-1.209}x0.993^{\text{age}} & \text{Ser} > 32\text{umol/L} \end{cases}$$

(4) The mean blood glucose: After admission, all patients wore a Medtronic continuous glucose monitoring system for dynamic blood glucose monitoring. The mean blood glucose (MBG) was calculated according to the area under the blood glucose curve.

(5) The RT_G : A mathematical model for the clinical calculation of the RT_G has been developed:²

$$24hUGE(mg/min) = \begin{cases} 0 & BG \le RT_G \\ eGFR(dl/min) & BG > RT_G \\ \times [BG(mg/dl) - RT_G(mg/dl)] & BG > RT_G \end{cases}$$

Statistical Methods

The data were analyzed using IBM SPSS 25.0. In the statistical description, the categorical data were expressed as frequencies (percentages), and the measurement data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation or median and interquartile range. The independent sample *t*-test was used to compare normally distributed count data between two groups, and the chi-squared test was used to compare categorical data. The RT_G was grouped based on the quantile, and the baseline RT_G was compared. Since the RT_G was not normally distributed, it was logarithmically converted and then analyzed. The factors influencing the RT_G were analyzed using multiple linear stepwise regression.

Results

General Statistics

The average age of the patients in the present study was 55.58 ± 12.23 y, RT_G was 203.58 ± 55.22 mg/dl, and eGFR

was 94.40 ± 24.02 mL/min/1.73m². The RT_G was grouped using the quartile method, and the general data were compared using the *t*-test (rank-sum test) and chi-squared test between the groups. As shown in Table 1, the results revealed that there was no significant difference in gender, smoking history, drinking history, WHR, WtHR, systolic BP, HOMA-β, D-Dimer, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase, BUN, HDLC, RTG, thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH), thyroid peroxidase antibody, beta-2 microglobulin (β2-MG), and 24hUMA between the groups. As RT_G increased, there were significant differences in age, the course of disease, BMI, diastolic BP, MBG, renal long neck, HOMA-IR, HbA1c, ALT, AST, Cr, UA, TC, low-density lipoprotein (LDLC), 24-hour urinary glucose, and 24-hour uric acid between the groups (P < 0.05; see Table 1).

| Table | I | Comparison | of | General | Data | of | Patients | with | Different | Renal | Glucose | Threshold | Groups |
|-------|---|------------|-----|---------|------|-----|----------|------|-----------|-------|---------|-----------|--------------------|
| | - | | ••• | •••••• | | ••• | | | | | 0.40000 | | e . e a p e |

| Index | Renal Glucose Threshold Grouping | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | <161.54 (N=724) | 161.54–195.13 195.1311221195.131 (N=485) | 195.13-236.48 | >236.48 | | | | |
| Age* | 56.71±11.63 | 57.58±10.94 | 54.02±12.67 | 53.1±13.49 | | | | |
| Gender | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 284 | 156 | 153 | 137 | | | | |
| Female | 172 | 95 | 98 | 114 | | | | |
| Smoking history | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 156 | 78 | 82 | 95 | | | | |
| No | 206 | 99 | 103 | 119 | | | | |
| Drinking history | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 219 | 108 | 122 | 138 | | | | |
| No | 148 | 79 | 68 | 83 | | | | |
| BMI* | 26.37±4.04 | 27.06±4.07 | 27.25±4.37 | 26.63±4.7 | | | | |
| Waist-to-hip ratio | 0.95±0.08 | 0.95±0.06 | 0.95±0.06 | 0.95±0.07 | | | | |
| Waist-to-height ratio | 0.56±0.06 | 0.57±0.06 | 0.57±0.06 | 0.57±0.07 | | | | |
| Systolic BP | 133.91±16.95 | 136.03±17.18 | 136.16±18.13 | 33. 3± 8.88 | | | | |
| Diastolic BP* | 80.02±10.57 | 81.6±11.03 | 82.12±10.63 | 80.68±11.64 | | | | |
| Mean blood glucose* | 9.36±2.16 | 10.09±2.89 | 10.64±2.54 | . ±3.2 | | | | |
| Renal long neck* | 10.51±0.81 | 10.49±0.76 | 10.67±0.87 | 10.75±0.88 | | | | |
| HOMA-IR* | 200.64±855.06 | 75.33±60.1 | 124.15±409.52 | 73.28±227.94 | | | | |
| ΗΟΜΑ-β | 6.82±20.9 | 4.71±5.58 | 7.53±25.06 | 4.03±9.53 | | | | |
| HbA1c* | 7.51±2.06 | 7.67±0.44 | 8.97±0.5 | 11.24±1.48 | | | | |
| D-Dimer | 0.46±1.29 | 0.42±1.1 | 0.38±1.15 | 0.35±0.37 | | | | |

(Continued)

| Index | Renal Glucose Threshold Grouping | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | <161.54 (N=724) | 161.54–195.13 195.1311221195.131 (N=485) | 195.13-236.48 | >236.48 | | | | |
| ALT* | 24.56±17.74 | 24.61±18.59 | 28.06±23.45 | 27.27±27.06 | | | | |
| AST* | 22.03±13.64 | 20.95±10.13 | 23.89±18.15 | 22.56±15.44 | | | | |
| GGT | 40.75±49.84 | 36.5±39.43 | 45.08±66.63 | 37.99±37.79 | | | | |
| BUN | 7.61±15.41 | 6.19±2.32 | 6.63±8.87 | 6.26±8.36 | | | | |
| Cr* | 89.47±79.31 | 76.63±35.84 | 72.72±30.82 | 67.36±37.02 | | | | |
| UA* | 354.43±100.11 | 332.37±91.37 | 325.98±93.01 | 311.71±94.14 | | | | |
| TC* | 5.12±1.36 | 5.02±1.22 | 5.2±1.19 | 5.56±1.61 | | | | |
| HDL | 1.16±0.4 | 1.16±0.42 | 1.13±0.27 | 1.23±0.6 | | | | |
| LDL* | 3.23±0.96 | 3.22±0.93 | 3.34±0.97 | 3.54±1.11 | | | | |
| TG | 2.35±2.39 | 2.24±1.7 | 2.49±2.25 | 2.57±2.67 | | | | |
| тѕн | 5.97±24.09 | 4.91±15.46 | 4.19±12.06 | 3.41±8.41 | | | | |
| ТРО-АЬ | 25.31±62.3 | 36.75±95.71 | 43.75±103.1 | 28.45±72.13 | | | | |
| β 2-MG | 0.62±1.78 | 0.6±2 | 0.37±1.17 | 0.64±1.78 | | | | |
| 24hUMA | 70.79±111.33 | 75.92±134.98 | 78.61±108.38 | 63.33±106.63 | | | | |
| 24-hour urine glucose* | 4.44±9.90 | 6.45±9.89 | 10.92±12.34 | 11.58±14.82 | | | | |
| 24-hour uric acid* | 587.46±240.17 | 593.41±232.77 | 682.07±528.09 | 586.9±243.32 | | | | |

Table I (Continued).

Note: *It means that the difference between RTG groups under this variable grouping is statistically significant (*P<0.05).

Correlation Analysis Between the Variables

The correlation between the RT_G and the other variables was analyzed, and the results demonstrated that the RT_G was correlated with age (r = -0.14539, P = 0.0001), MBG (r = -0.35009, P = 0.0001), renal long neck (r = 0.16762, P = 0.0001), HOMA-IR (r = -0.38322, P = 0.0001), HOMA- β (r = 0.22770, P = 0.0001), HbA1c (r = 0.98994, P = 0.0001), BUN (r = -0.11093, P = 0.0004), Cr (r = -0.26414, P = 0.0001), UA (r = -0.20149, P = 0.0001), TC (r = 0.13192, P = 0.0001), LDLC (r = 0.12466, P = 0.0001), TSH (r = -0.06346, P = 0.0460), β 2-MG (r = -0.08884, P = 0.0056), and 24-hour urine glucose (r = 0.32115, P = 0.0001; see Figure 1).

Multiple Linear Stepwise Regression Analysis

Because the RT_G was not normally distributed, it was first logarithmically converted and then the

corresponding analysis was conducted. With RT_G as a dependent variable and each biochemical index as an independent variable, the factors influencing the RT_G were analyzed using multiple linear stepwise regression analysis. The results revealed that HbA1c, 24-hour urine glucose, eGFR, D-dimer, and BMI should be included in the final model (P < 0.05). HbA1c had the greatest impact on the RT_G followed in descending order by 24hour urine glucose, eGFR, D-dimer, and BMI (see Table 2).

Discussion

T2DM is a chronic disease with an imbalance of energy homeostasis in the body, and multiple organs are involved in its pathogenesis including the pancreas, liver, fat, intestines, muscle tissue, and kidneys. The regulatory effects of the kidney on glucose metabolism include gluconeogenesis, glucose utilization, glomerular filtration, and proximal convoluted tubule reabsorption.¹



Figure I The correlation between the RT_G and HbA1c, 24-Hour urine glucose, eGFR, D-Dimer, and BMI.

In healthy individuals, the kidneys filter 160–180 g of glucose per day, and the glucose concentration in the ultrafiltration of the renal capsule is equal to that in the plasma, but under normal circumstances, there is almost no glucose in the urine,² indicating that all the glucose has been reabsorbed. A micro-puncture experiment verified that the filtered glucose was reabsorbed in the proximal tubule, especially in the first half of the proximal tubule. There is a mechanism of SGLT on the apical membrane of the proximal tubular epithelial cells, and sodium and glucose in the tubular fluid are combined with transporters and transferred into cells, which is the secondary active transport. The glucose entering the cells is transported by the glucose transporter 2 on the basolateral membrane, such as the intercellular space, and SGLT2 located on the lumen side cell membrane of the proximal renal tubule is responsible for the reabsorption of 90% of the glucose in

the renal tubules. To a certain extent, the reabsorption of glucose by the proximal tubules is limited, and when the blood glucose concentration reaches 180 mg/100 mL, some renal tubules reach the limit of glucose absorption and glucose begins to appear in urine. The plasma glucose concentration above measurable glycosuria occurred is called the RTg. The physiological relationship between the plasma glucose concentration and the maximum renal glucose reabsorption is a threshold relationship, but the RT_G of each nephron is not identical. When the blood glucose concentration continues to increase, the glucose concentration in the urine also increases, and when the blood glucose concentration increases to 300 mg/100 mL, the reabsorption of glucose by all the renal tubules has reached or exceeded the maximum transport rate of glucose by the proximal tubules. At that time, the amount of glucose filtered per minute reaches the glucose

| Model Coefficient | Non Standardiz | zed Coefficient | Standardization Coefficient β | t | Significance |
|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---------|--------------|
| | β | Standard Error | | | |
| Intercept term | 4.082 | 0.025 | | 164.327 | 0.000 |
| HbAlc | 0.129 | 0.001 | 1.021 | 88.474 | 0.000 |
| 24-hour urine glucose | -0.003 | 0.000 | -0.143 | -12.237 | 0.000 |
| eGFR | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.056 | 4.661 | 0.000 |
| D-Dimer | -0.033 | 0.010 | -0.036 | -3.192 | 0.002 |
| BMI | 0.011 | 0.004 | 0.031 | 2.805 | 0.005 |

Table 2 Multiple Linear Stepwise Regression Analysis of Influencing Factors of RT_G

reabsorption limit of both kidneys, and the urinary glucose excretion rate increases parallelly with the increase in the blood glucose concentration. The average limit of glucose reabsorption for healthy people in both kidneys is 375 mg/ min for men and 300 mg/min for women.

However, clinically, differences have been found in urine glucose concentration at the same blood glucose level. One study⁴ showed that the RT_G in T2DM was related to age, the course of disease, and the BMI, but the factors influencing the RT_G in patients with newly diagnosed diabetes are still unclear. The ability of the renal tubules to change glucose reabsorption by regulating the expression of the SGLT2 changes dynamically with blood glucose levels. One study⁵ revealed that in diabetic animal models, the expression of the SGLT2s was upregulated, resulting in increased renal reabsorption of glucose, and causing malignant hyperglycemia. Previous studies revealed that in patients with early diabetic nephropathy, the expression of SGLT2 in the proximal renal tubular epithelial cells was upregulated, resulting in increased sodium reabsorption and reducing sodium ions passing through dense spots in the tubulospheric feedback mechanism. This resulted in high perfusion, high pressure, and high filtration of the glomerulus, with a compensatory hypertrophic hyperplasia of the renal tubular epithelial cells in diabetic patients, causing a significant increase in the expression of SGLT2, which increased the reabsorption of glucose and reduced the excretion of urinary glucose, thereby increasing the RT_G .

The step-by-step hyperglycemic clamp procedure was performed to accurately measure the renal glucose excretion threshold.⁶ However, due to its complex operation, it is not suitable for widespread clinical application. Dynamic blood glucose monitoring can continuously and comprehensively reflect the average blood glucose of patients in real-time and can be used as the gold standard for calculating the RT_G in clinical settings. In this study, dynamic blood glucose monitoring was performed to calculate the MBG of patients, which was used to calculate the RT_G. A total of 128 patients with T2DM were included in the study conducted by Yue et al⁴ in which the mean RT_G was 10.8 ± 1.2 mmol/L, and patients with increased RT_G accounted for 58.33%. A multicenter study conducted in the United States, Germany, and South Korea demonstrated that the average 24-hour RT_G of 116 patients with T2DM was $13.7 \pm 1.7 \text{ mmol/L}^{-7}$ The above studies showed that the RT_G in patients with T2DM is generally elevated, but racial and regional differences were found. However, the sample size in the present study was small, and multicenter large sample studies are needed to confirm whether the RT_G has racial and regional differences. This study revealed that the mean RT_G in patients with newly diagnosed T2DM was 203.58 ± 55.22 mg/dl, which is higher than that of healthy people, suggesting that the increase in the RT_G occurred in the early stage of diabetes.

By using the high glucose clamp test, Rave et al⁸ confirmed that the urinary glucose excretion rate of the kidney is directly proportional to the blood glucose level. A prediabetes study revealed that as time passed during the OGTT, the UGE increased with an increase in blood glucose.⁹ This study revealed that the RT_G was positively correlated with HbA1c, and the RT_G increased with an increase in eGFR and D-dimer in patients with newly diagnosed diabetes. An increase in D-dimer can promote platelet adhesion and aggregation; directly damage vascular endothelial cells; and deposit and form microcrystals in the renal capillary wall, resulting in renal microvascular stenosis or even occlusion. To a certain extent, the level of D-dimer reflects the extent of the renal injury, which suggests that D-dimer can be used as an indicator for predicting the risk of diabetic nephropathy and assisting in the early diagnosis of diabetic nephropathy.

Increasing BMI is also a risk factor for increasing RT_G . Obesity aggravates the disorder of glucose and lipid metabolism, increases insulin resistance, and leads to an increase in blood glucose.¹⁰ In addition, obesity will increase the glomerular filtration rate and can directly increase renal tubular reabsorption, which may lead to an increased RT_G in obese patients with diabetes.¹¹ This study revealed that there was no correlation between the RT_G and islet function in patients with newly diagnosed diabetes. But a previous study¹⁰ revealed that in prediabetes, the UGE was negatively correlated with islet function, and it is speculated that the determination of UGE (\geq 150 mg/min) in an OGTT can be used as a clinical index for the early detection of islet function injury.

In summary, this study revealed that the RT_G increased in most patients with newly diagnosed diabetes suggests beneficial anti-hyperglycemic effects of SGLT2 at this stage. The risk factors for the RT_G were HbA1c, 24-hour urine glucose, eGFR, D-dimer, and BMI. However, large sample multicenter cross-sectional studies are still needed to confirm the influencing factors for the RT_G in the future and to provide clinical guidance for the treatment of diabetes mellitus.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

This study was conducted with approval from the Ethics Committee of Tianjin First Central Hospital (No:2018N160KY). This study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Consent for Publication

All participants signed a document of informed consent.

Funding

National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO. 82000763); Spring project of Tianjin First Central Hospital (NO.2019CF20); Spring project of Tianjin First Central Hospital (NO.CF201824).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Mather A, Pollock C. Glucose handling by the kidney. *Kidney Int Suppl.* 2011;79:S1–S6. doi:10.1038/ki.2010.509
- Gerich JE. Role of the kidney in normal glucose homeostasis and in the hyperglycaemia of diabetes mellitus: therapeutic implications. *Diabet Med.* 2010;27(2):136–142. doi:10.1111/j.1464-5491.2009. 02894.x

- Bellia C, Zaninotto M, Cosma C. Clinical usefulness of glycated albumin in the diagnosis of diabetes: results from an Italian study. *Clin Biochem.* 2018;54:68–72. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2018.02.017
- Yue XD, Wang JY, Zhang XR, et al. Characteristics and impact factors of renal threshold for glucose excretion in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Korean Med Sci.* 2017;32(4):621–627. doi:10.3346/jkms.2017.32.4.621
- Vallon V, Gerasimova M, Rose MA, et al. SGLT2 inhibitor empagliflozin reduces renal growth and albuminuria in proportion to hyperglycemia and prevents glomerular hyperfiltration in diabetic Akita mice. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol.* 2014;306(2):F194–204. doi:10.1152/ajprenal.00520.2013
- 6. DeFronzo RA, Hompesch M, Kasichayanula S, et al. Characterization of renal glucose reabsorption in response to dapagliflozin in healthy subjects and subjects with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2013;36(10):3169–3176. doi:10.2337/dc13-0387
- Sha S, Devineni D, Ghosh A, et al. Pharmacodynamic effects of canagliflozin, a sodium glucose co transporter 2 inhibitor, from a randomized study in patients with type 2 diabetes. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(9):e110069. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105638
- Rave K, Nosek L, Posner J, et al. Renal glucose excretion as a function of blood glucose concentration in subjects with type 2 diabetes results of a hyperglycaemic glucose clamp study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2006;21(8):2166–2171. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfl175
- Ono Y, Ono S, Hinata T, et al. Usefulness of urinary glucose excretion after oral glucose tolerance testing to detect insulin secretion failure before the onset of diabetes mellitus. *Endocr J.* 2017;64 (1):75–81. doi:10.1507/endocrj.EJ16-0289
- Zameni F, Bakhtiyari M, Mansournia MA, Ramezankhani A, Azizi F, Hadaegh F. Is incident type 2 diabetes associated with cumulative excess weight and abdominal adiposity? Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2018;136:134–142. doi:10.1016/j. diabres.2017.12.002
- D'Agati VD, Chagnac A, de Vries AP, et al. Obesity-related glomerulopathy: clinical and pathologic characteristics and pathogenesis. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2016;12(8):453–471.

Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal committed to the rapid publication of the latest laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of diabetes, metabolic syndrome and obesity research. Original research, review, case reports, hypothesis formation, expert opinion and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/diabetes-metabolic-syndrome-and-obesity-targets-and-therapy-journal



4503